Executive Commissioner Chris Traylor held stakeholder meetings in 2015 to gather input on ways to improve the managed care landscape, from both the member and provider perspective. According to Executive Commissioner Traylor, the purpose was to improve provider experience in managed care and ultimately to ensure the 4.5 million people relying on the Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) programs have appropriate access to services to enable them to live strong, productive lives. He also shared thoughts that it is important as Texas evolves from fee-for-service (FFS) to managed care, to project future needs to create the best system possible.

After receiving recommendations, additional meetings were held with stakeholders on November 9, 2015, and December 8, 2015, to further discuss the ideas and potential next steps. Executive Commissioner Traylor explained that some recommendations the agency can handle administratively, some will require legislative action, and then there will be items on which the Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC) will not take any action. He committed to posting decisions made for each recommendation on the website along with an explanation of why action is or is not being taken, and he advised staff they should do everything possible to implement the stakeholder recommendation. Executive Commissioner Charles Smith is equally committed to improving member and provider experience in Medicaid Managed Care. Enrique Marquez, Deputy Executive Commissioner of the Medical and Social Services Division in coordination with Stephanie Muth, State Medicaid Director, hold responsibility for coordination and implementation of this project and monitoring its progress.

HHSC responses were shared directly with stakeholder groups in February 2016, updates were posted to the website on April 11, 2016 and July 22, 2016, and biannual updates on items in progress or under discussion will continue to be shared on the website. This document contains items that were closed as of the last update, either as complete, no action to be taken, or other (issue to be addressed through another existing process). Questions about this project can sent to <a href="MedicaidManagedCare@hhsc.state.tx.us">MedicaidManagedCare@hhsc.state.tx.us</a>.

Table 1: Explanation of Response Fields

Agenda / Division	The abbreviation of the agency and division leading this response. Responses include:						
	COS: Chief of Staff						
	FSD: Financial Services Division						
	MCD: Medicaid and CHIP Division						
	HHSC: Health and Human Services Commission						
Status	The overall status of the activity. Choices include:						
	No action to be taken						
	Complete						
	• In progress						
	Under consideration						
	Other (Issue to be addressed through another existing process.)						
Number	The item number or numbers from the recommendation from the April 2016 update.						
Recommendation	The summary language provided in the April 2016 update for the recommendation by the stakeholder. In general, it begins with a						
	summary statement and then the full recommendation.						
Additional	If additional information was provided by stakeholders in the subsequent stakeholder meetings or by email to the program or project						
Stakeholder	manager, then this is included here with notes of the source of the information.						
Background							
Category	The category for the type of recommendation assigned to the recommendation for the April 2016 update. Categories include alternative						
	payment mechanisms, benefits, claims, communications, contract provisions, service coordination / member assistance, network						
	adequacy / access to care, continuity of care, rates, and stakeholder engagement and feedback.						
Provided By	The stakeholder group that provided the recommendation.						
HHSC Response	A high-level summary of the response from the agency to this recommendation. The HHSC response previously shared on the HHSC						
	website in April 2016 is included in black. New wording displayed in red, and red strikethrough indicates old wording that no longer						
	applies.						
Date Last Updated	The date when language for this item was last updated.						
Major Milestones	The key steps planned to complete this item or to obtain a decision (if the item is under consideration).						
with Status Updates							

Table 2: Abbreviations Used in Document

Acronym	Definition					
ACA	Affordable Care Act					
API	Atypical Provider Identifier					
ASC	Ambulatory Surgical Center					
BHIAC	Behavioral Health Integration Advisory Committee					
CAHPS	Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers & Systems					
CHAT	Children's Hospital Association of Texas					
CHIP	Children's Health Insurance Program					
CMS	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services					
CVO	Credentialing Verification Organization					
DADS	Department of Aging and Disability Services					
DD	Developmental Disability					
DME	Durable Medical Equipment					
DMO	Dental Maintenance Organization					
DUR	Drug Utilization Review					
EPSDT	Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment					
EQRO	External Quality Review Organization					
FDA	Food and Drug Administration					
FFS	Fee-for-service					
FSD	Financial Services Division					
HCBS	Home and Community Based Services					
HDIS	Health, Developmental and Independence Services					
HEDIS	Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set					
HHS	Health and Human Services					
HHSC	Health and Human Services Commission					
HMO	Health Maintenance Organization					
HPM	Health Plan Management					
HSRI	Human Services Research Institute					
IDD	Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities					
LARC	Long Acting Reversible Contraception					
LIDDA	Local Intellectual and Developmental Disability Authorities					
LTSS	Long-term Services and Supports					
MCO	Managed Care Organization					
MCS	Medicaid and CHIP Services (division)					
MHPAEA	Mental Health Parity and Addictions Equity Act					
MSS	Medical Social Services					
NA	Not Applicable					
NAIP	Network Access Improvement Project					
NASUAD	National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities					
NCI-AD	National Core Indicators - Aging and Disabilities					
NPI	National Provider Identifier					
PA	Prior Authorization					
PACSTX	Providers Alliance for Community Services of Texas					
PCP	Primary Care Physician					
PDL	Preferred Drug List					
PPAT	Private Providers Association of Texas					
PPS	Prospective Payment System					
RRT	Research and Resolution					

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Acronym	Definition						
SAMHSA	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration						
SB	Senate Bill						
SRAC	System Redesign Advisory Committee						
SSI	Supplemental Security Income						
SSLC	State Supported Living Centers						
STAR	State of Texas Access Reform						
STP	Significant Traditional Provider						
TAHP	Texas Association of Health Plans						
TBD	To Be Determined						
THA	Texas Hospital Association						
THSteps	Texas Health Steps						
TIERS	Texa						
	s Integrated Eligibility Redesign System						
TMA	Texas Medical Association						
TMHP	Texas Medicaid and Healthcare Partnership						
TPI	Texas Provider Identifier						
TPS	Texas Pediatric Society						
TSHA	Texas Speech-Language-Hearing Association						
UMCC	Uniform Managed Care Contract						
UMCM	Uniform Managed Care Manual						
VDP	Vendor Drug Program						

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	1e	
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:			
			In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Increase utilization	of out-of-network p	roviders where gaps in networks exi	st. Evaluate utilization	n of out-of-network	
	providers and if no	t widely used determ	nine why and, as appropriate, identify	y ways to increase acc	ess to such, particularly	
	in cases when an M	ICO is experiencing	challenges in attracting healthcare p	roviders to their netw	orks.	
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care				
Provided By:	PPAT					
HHSC Response:	implementation of access in-network pstaff to better assist 2017 to require MC hotline staff, and prochoice to either parassist as a staff have received may be required to section to member efforts to amend coat this time.	SB 760. Rather than providers. The SB 76 with scheduling app COs to provide three-rovider's office to enticipate in three-way feedback from stake access out-of-network handbooks that discontracts to improve mation regarding SB	k adequacy requirements and better emphasizing out-of-network utiliza 50 implementation plans include a prointments. HHSC has amended may calling between a member or a sure that appointments are made in a calling or receive a list of providers that many members are unark non-emergency covered services asses how members can access out-onembers' ability to access in-network 760 implementation can be found at edicaid-and-chip/provider-informatic	tion, efforts will focus roposal, to require MC anaged care contracts of uthorized representati a timely fashion. Mem is in their area.  The ware that a prior auth Staff are-considered of of-network services. He is services are the best	on helping members CO member services effective in March 1, ve, member services abers will have the orization or referral options for adding a lowever, HHSC believes	
Date Last Updated:	03/01/2017	v, ser vices, nearth, me	valeura una empriproviaer information	JII SCHULC OHI 100		
Date Last Opuated.	03/01/2017					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date		If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Review MCO out-of-network utilization.	6/1/2016	Completed	
2	Submit proposed contract changes.	9/1/2016	Completed	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

3	Contract changes related to telephone appointment	3/1/2017	Completed	1) HHSC has focused some efforts on amending contracts to
	assistance effective.			improve members' ability to access in-network services; 2)
				HHSC will not be updating the UMCM at this time

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	2a			
rigency/Division/Department.	MCS Department	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	r (uniber )				
	Three B optimination		In Progress:					
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:  Continue to explore ways to improve the MCO online directories, including how to improve act the online directories. This includes HHSC continuing to 'ghost' call doctors in each MCO's di  We recognize the challenges in trying to maintain the accuracy of the MCO Provider Directories recent efforts of HHSC and MCOs to improve the MCO Provider Directories. Although efforts improve the directories, the need for the recommendation to remain in the forefront cannot be of doctors is current and accurate, if it does not include a specialist one needs (such as a psychial directory is of no value. Directories also serve of no value if doctors for the type care one needs patients, refuse to see persons with IDD or are too far away for a family and more importantly for not tolerate long drives very well, followed by long waits in a doctor's office. This also places a having to travel out-of-town to take an individual to an appointment typically requires having a present and available to ensure the other persons in a group home setting receive needed care. So					thus appreciate the re already underway to erstated. Even if the list rist or neurologist) the re not taking new ran individual who may ourden on providers as other staff member			
		viders receive no rei						
Additional Stakeholder	•							
Background:								
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care						
Provided By:	PPAT							
HHSC Response:  The SB 760 workgroup has developed critical elements for the MCO online provider directories for inclusion UMCM. These will be proposed to MCOs in October 2016 for a November 2016 effective date. In addition, the EQRO is conducting "secret shopper" calls to MCO network providers in the MCOs' provider directories.  HHSC solicited stakeholder comments on provider directory standards, including a stakeholder forum on 11/3 These comments were incorporated into draft Provider Directory Standards released for additional comment in 2016. The updated MCO provider directory standards will include new requirements for both print and online MCO Provider directories.				In addition, the HHSC rectories.  Forum on 11/30/2015.  All comment in May				
Date Last Updated:	HHSC collected additional feedback during the subsequent SB760 stakeholder forum held on 6/6/2016. HHSC incorporated the additional comments into revised MCO provider directory standards as appropriate.  03/10/2017							

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	<b>Completion Date</b>	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Develop MCO online directory standards.	6/1/2016	Completed	
2	Conduct stakeholder forum to receive feedback on implementing SB 760.	6/6/2016	Completed	
3	Reassess and revise proposed standards based on stakeholder feedback.	8/15/2016	Completed	
4	Begin fielding 2016 Appointment Availability study.	8/23/16	Completed	
5	Complete 2015 Appointment Availability Study report.	11/1/16	Completed	
6	Amend managed care contracts and agency rules as necessary.	3/1/2017	Completed	

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	2b		
		No Action to be Taken:					
			In Progress:				
			Complete: X				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Require managed c	are organizations (M	ICOs) to find doctors for long-term s	services and supports (	(LTSS) clients.		
Additional Stakeholder			policy placing the responsibility of				
Background:			milies alike were told prior to the tra				
	in securing access to doctors and other healthcare professionals would be alleviated. To date such has not happened with						
	providers and families spending inordinate amounts of time searching for healthcare providers.]						
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care					
Provided By:	Private Providers A	ssociation of Texas	(PPAT)				
HHSC Response:	HHSC contractually	y requires Medicaid	MCOs to provide service manageme	ent and coordination to	members, including		
	assistance in finding a provider.						
	The HHSC Senate Bill (SB)760 workgroup is considering additional options to strengthen this requirement as described						
	in response to recor	nmendation 1e. Plea	se see the response to 1e for addition	nal information.			
Date Last Updated:	7/1/2016						

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	6a		
			No Action to be Taken:				
			In Progress:				
		Complete: X					
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Streamline MCO p	rior authorization pr	ocesses and standard authorization g	uidelines for targeted	case management and		
	mental health rehal	oilitation services.					
			isory Committee (BHIAC) develope				
			en experience in a managed care env				
			cesses, requiring prompt prior author				
	follow standardized	d authorization guide	lines for targeted case management	and mental health reha	abilitation services.		
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Network Adequacy						
Provided By:	Texas Council of C						
HHSC Response:	HHSC staff apprec	iates the time the BF	IIAC took to craft these recommendation	ations.			
			dardized the prior authorization prod				
			tative services. HHSC has leveraged				
			orm and detailed specific guidance v				
			geted case management and mental h				
	has issued specific guidance related to maximum timeframes MCOs have to respond to and approve requested se						
	HHSC monitors infractions of this policy and addresses them as needed.						
	As recommended, HHSC is continuing to address the challenges of this workforce and is committed to working with all stakeholders on effective solutions to reduce administrative requirements.						
D 4 I 4 II 1 4 I		ective solutions to re	duce administrative requirements.				
Date Last Updated:	04/11/2016						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	U	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration: No Action to be Taken: In Progress: Complete: X	Number:	6b		
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Challenges with dit	ferent MCO process	ses.				
	With the recent State of Texas Access Reform (STAR) Kids program awards, HHSC now contracts with 20 MCOs throughout the State, many of which have different requirements for credentialing and service authorization. In addition, many of the MCOs subcontract behavioral health services to behavioral health organizations that also have with different processes.						
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Network Adequacy						
Provided By:					1 0) (00 1)		
HHSC Response:	In order to offer choices to our clients in their managed care plan, HHSC contracts with a large number of MCOs. We are committed to finding ways to help providers navigate the differences and are working toward modernizing and streamlining our enrollment and credentialing systems. HHSC is working towards these goals through the implementatio SB 1150 (83R), the Texas Association of Health Plans (TAHP) uniform credentialing process, and TDI's standard prior authorization as described below.  SB 1150  Following the passage of SB 1150 (83R), HHSC developed the following Provider Protection Plan, which was added to the Uniform Managed Care Contract (UMCC) and all managed care contracts, effective September 2013.  UMCC 8.1.4.12 Provider Protection Plan  The MCO must comply with HHSC's provider protection plan requirements for reducing the administrative burdens placed on Network Providers, and ensuring efficiency in Network enrollment and reimbursement. At a minimum, the pla must comply with the requirements of Texas Government Code § 533.0055, and:  Provide for timely and accurate claims adjudication and proper claims payment in accordance with Uniform Managed Care Manual (UMCM) Chapters 2.0 through 2.3.  Include Network Provider training and education on the requirements for claims submission and appeals including the MCO's policies and procedures (see also Section 8.1.4.6, "Provider Relations Including Manual, Materials and Training.")  Ensure Member access to care, in accordance with Section 8.1.3, "Access to Care," and the UMCM's Geo-Mapping requirements (see UMCM Chapters 5.14.1 through 5.14.4.)						

### Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

improving Member and Fro	vider Experience in vicultatia vianaged Care
	<ul> <li>Ensure prompt credentialing, as required by Section 8.1.4.4, "Provider Credentialing and Recredentialing."</li> <li>Ensure compliance with state and federal standards regarding prior authorizations, as described in Sections 8.1.8, "Utilization Management," and 8.1.21.2, "Prior Authorization for Prescription Drugs and 72-Hour Emergency Supplies."</li> <li>Provide 30 days' notice to Providers before implementing changes to policies and procedures affecting the prior authorization process. However, in the case of suspected fraud, waste, or abuse by a single Provider, the MCO may implement changes to policies and procedures affecting the prior authorization process without the required notice period.</li> <li>Include other measures developed by HHSC or a provider protection plan workgroup, or measures developed by the MCO and approved by HHSC.</li> <li>HHSC also established an SB 1150 workgroup, which held its first meeting in May 2014. The workgroup helped HHSC develop instructions for ambulance prior authorizations to accompany the standard prior authorization form developed by</li> </ul>
	TAHP Credentialing Process  TAHP is working on developing a statewide credentialing verification organization (CVO) for Medicaid MCOs. The concept for a statewide CVO emerged from discussions that began in 2014, between TAHP and Medicaid health plans, aimed at streamlining the administrative process for providers joining health plan networks. The CVO is intended to reduce administrative time and burden for providers seeking to deliver quality care to Texans enrolled in a Medicaid health plan. TAHP is in negotiations with potential vendors and has not announced an award yet. Further updates will be provided in response to recommendation 10 a-b.  TDI Standard Prior Authorization Form  Effective 9/1/2015, MCOs are required to accept the Texas Standard Prior Authorization Request Form for Health Care Services developed by TDI. A copy of the form can be found here: <a href="http://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/lhlifehealth/nofr001.pdf">http://www.tdi.texas.gov/forms/lhlifehealth/nofr001.pdf</a> .
Date Last Updated:	7/1/2016

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	 If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA		

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Submit proposed UMCM changes for quarterly reports from MCOs.	6/30/2016	Completed	
2	Develop "Pharmacy Clinical Prior Authorization Assistance Chart" sample, and share with TMA and TPS for feedback.	9/1/2016	Completed	
3	Meet with TMA and TPS to obtain feedback on responses.	9/1/2016	Completed	TMA and TPS did not have changes, and there was agreement that this was useful as a first step in this process.
4	Add Pharmacy Clinical Prior Authorization Assistance chart to VDP website.	9/1/2016	Completed	
5	Develop processes to consolidate quarterly MCO reports into a single document.	9/15/2016	Completed	
6	Review options to update or replace the existing "Texas Medicaid Pharmacy Prior Authorization" video to include better clinical prior authorization information.	9/30/2016	Completed	
7	Review and correct MCO first quarterly report.	10/10/2016	Completed	
8	Compile and post first MCO quarterly report.	10/15/2016	Completed	
9	Obtain examples from other states of PDL document.	11/1/2016	Completed	
10	Obtain feedback from TMA and TPS on the examples from other states.	11/15/2016	Completed	
11	Research into options of working with an existing vendor to implement changes.	11/15/2016	Completed	
12	Meet with TMA and TPS to discuss timelines.	11/15/2016	Completed	
13	Work with PDL contractor to develop timeline for site revisions.	11/30/2016	Completed	
14	Begin quarterly MCO Clinical PA reporting process.	11/30/2016	Completed	
15	Replace "Texas Medicaid Pharmacy Prior Authorization" video on the vendor drug website with one-page document explaining the process as an interim step until video can be updated.	12/15/2016	Completed	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

16	Incorporate Clinical PA links into PDL document.	2/1/2017	Completed	
17	Work with TMA and TPS to obtain feedback from	3/1/2017	Complete	
	providers and administrators to test the revised			
	tutorial (to replace the previous video).			
18	Work with TMA and TPS to identify providers and	3/17/2017	On Target	
	administrators to test the revised PDL document			
	prior to full launch.			
19	Work with THSteps to update and revise tutorial to	3/15/2017	On Target	Delayed by two weeks.
	include clinical prior authorizations in the			
	explanation of the drug authorization process.			
20	Share draft document with TMA/TPS for feedback	5/1/2017	On Target	
	from the associations and a sampling of providers.			
	This will be the draft revision of the PDL document			
	incorporating links to clinical prior authorization			
	criteria.			
21	Fully launch revised PDL document incorporating	6/1/2017	On Target	
	links to clinical prior authorization criteria.			

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Status: Under Consideration:		8	
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken: X			
			In Progress:			
			Complete:			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Require acceptance	of online referrals.				
	Currently providers have the ability to fax referrals for specialist services, but an online option could speed up the process.					
Additional Stakeholder Background:						
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care				
Provided By:	Children's Hospital	Association of Tex	as (CHAT)			
HHSC Response:	Children's Hospital Association of Texas (CHAT)  HHSC is exploring online options for prior authorizations. HHSC finalized a new chapter to its UMCM that includes critical elements and functionality that must be part of each MCO's website. The chapter is posted on the HHSC website with an effective date of 7/1/2016. MCOs will be provided a timeline to execute the UMCM 3.32 system requirements with a projected implementation date of 1/1/2017. Although MCOs will be required to accept online prior authorization requests in 3.32, acceptance of online referrals by MCOs is not a requirement. HHSC staff believed that this plan would address the issue described by CHAT. However, after further consultation with CHAT it was determined that this recommendation does specifically relate to referrals and reducing the administrative burden associated with faxing referrals. HHSC requested additional documentation about the administrative burden of this process. This item will be closed until additional documentation is received and reviewed.					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	New UMCM Chapter 3.32 finalized which includes	6/1/2016	Completed	
	critical elements and functionality that must be part			
	of each MCO website, including acceptance of			
	online prior authorization requests. It is posted on			
	HHSC website with the effective date of 7/1/2016.			
2	HHSC staff will contact CHAT to confirm that this	7/31/2016	Completed	
	solution will address the issue described.			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

3	MCOs implement new website functionality as	1/1/2017   Completed	
	required in UMCM 3.32.		

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	11b		
	Department		No Action to be Taken:				
			In Progress:				
			Complete: X				
			Other:				
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Limit changing dru	gs from preferred to	non-preferred status on the PDL to a	annual revisions.			
Additional Stakeholder	This recommendati	on was discussed in	a meeting with TMA and TPS on 8/	10/2016, and it was cl	arified that drugs are		
Background:			iew date is not clear to providers. It				
			hen the drug was reviewed and wher	n it will be reviewed a	gain. In addition, easier		
	access to the review	v schedule would be	helpful.				
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS						
HHSC Response:	With few exception	is, individual drug cl	asses are only reviewed and changed	d once per year. Semi-	annual updates to the		
	PDL only affect ha	If the drugs. State lav	w requires quarterly reviews of drugs	s for the PDL.			
			include the date of review, and date	when the drug will be	e reviewed again, and to		
	make the review sc	hedule easier to loca	te on the website.				
<b>Date Last Updated:</b>	3/9/2017						

		Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
]	1	Revise the PDL to include the date when a drug was last reviewed, and the date when it will be reviewed again.	2/1/2017	Completed	
2	2	Revise the PDL website to make the review schedule easier to find.	2/1/2017	Completed	
	3	Review communications regarding the DUR meeting and related notices to improve clarity around the drug review schedule and review process.	2/1/2017	Completed	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	11c	
g,	Department	2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	No Action to be Taken:	- 1,0		
	•		In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Provide rationale for	or changing a drug st	atus from preferred to non-preferred	•		
Additional Stakeholder	When a drug's statu	is on the preferred li	st is changed (e.g. from preferred to	non-preferred), provio	le the rationale for the	
Background:	change so that physicians understand HHSC's justification for the revision.					
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care				
Provided By:	TMA / TPS					
HHSC Response:			rationale for the change is posted for			
	posted explains the	primary clinical or f	iscal factors that the committee cons	sidered in making their	r recommendation.	
	HHSC will work with its PDL vendor and DUR Board to explore options for enhancing the published rationale without					
	divulging confident	ial information.				
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017					

	3.50	Targeted		If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed / Ongoing	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Capture rationale at next DUR Board Meeting.	07/29/2016		
2	Develop sample document to share rationale for	10/1/2016	Completed	
	next meeting.			
3	Share sample document with TMA and TPS, and	11/15/2017	Completed	
	obtain feedback from TMA and TPS.			
4	If new descriptions are developed to explain the	2/1/2017	Completed	Note: The addition of the three-columns to the PDL
	rationale for changes, the new descriptions will be			recommendation document should meet this expectation.
	included in the next PDL (effective January 2017).			PDL Recommendations are published within 10 business days
				of every board meeting. Next meeting Jan. 27.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

			<u> </u>		
Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	11d
	Department		No Action to be Taken:		
			In Progress:		
			Complete:		
			Other:X (See explanation in		
			HHSC Response)		
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Improve access to o	clinical edits in Epoc	rates.		
Additional Stakeholder	For physicians usin	g Epocrates, establis	sh electronic mechanism to convey v	whether a drug/drug cla	ass is subject to an
Background:	additional clinical	edit, provide a mecha	anism to easily and quickly access th	e edit, and indicate wl	nich HMOs use the same
	edit.				
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care			
Provided By:	TMA / TPS				
HHSC Response:	The VDP formular	y is currently availab	ole to providers via Epocrates and ea	ch drug includes a link	to inform prescribers
	whether it is subject	t to additional clinic	al PA criteria. An Epocrates limitation	on prevented the link f	from working on iOS
	products, but has re	ecently been upgrade	d. Additionally, VDP will review the	e provided clinical PA	criteria for added ease
	_	¥ •	does not provide sufficient space to		
	clinical PA criteria.	HHSC contacted its	s Prospective DUR vendor that mana	ages the Texas Medica	id Epocrates contract.
			fied, but actions taken in response to		•
		PDL. Technical issue	es for users of the product through iF	Phone and other Apple	products have been
	addressed.				
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017				

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Consult with Epocrates regarding feasible options.	8/31/2016	Completed	
2	Develop scope of work and obtain high-level	9/30/2016	NA	Epocrates declined our request to make these changes at this
	estimate from Prospective DUR vendor.			time.
3	Contact MCOs to find out if they are using	10/31/2016	Completed	
	Epocrates as required, and if not why.			
4	Follow up with Epocrates regarding work around	11/30/2016	Completed	
	for broken links, and obtain an estimate on when			
	this will be addressed.			
5	Technical issues with Epocrates for iPhone users	11/30/2016	Completed	
	addressed.			

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	11e	
	Department		No Action to be Taken:			
			In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:			o notify MCOs and physicians of dr	<u> </u>		
Additional Stakeholder			edited communication plan so that H			
Background:			o use instead. This issue was discuss			
			issue relates to specific situations wl	here there are changes	during a public health	
	emergency or heavy	y flu season.				
Category:	Communications					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS					
HHSC Response:	When HHSC make	s off-cycle formulary	y or PDL changes to address sudden	shortages or other ind	lustry problems, the	
	agency's GovDelive	ery service is used to	notify subscribers by e-mail.			
	HHSC will review this situation and determine changes needed based on the clarification received.					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Review this issue with the VDP Contractor	10/1/2016	Completed	
	Performance Management and Formulary teams to		_	
	understand issue and identify what changes need to			
	be made.			
2	Develop internal process.	10/31/2016	Completed	
3	Share process with external stakeholders and seek	3/01/2017	Completed	
	feedback (include meeting, if needed).		_	
4	Finalize and implement process.	3/01/2017	Completed	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	11f		
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:				
			In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other: X				
Recommendation:	Revise requirement	ts managing drug l	penefit to the package insert instead o	f indication.			
	Legacy Food and I	Orug Administration	on (FDA) reviews of drugs excluded p	pediatric, obstetric and	geriatric patients,		
			cial FDA approval for treatment of the	* *	•		
	A .	•	quired to obtain prior approval to use	a drug for a non-label	l population even		
	though there is clin	ical evidence supp	orting such usage.				
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS						
HHSC Response:	Federal law allows	state Medicaid pro	ograms to go beyond the FDA indicat	ions of a drug when so	etting its coverage		
	criteria. It allows st	ates to use eviden	ce from medical compendia; especial	ly to support appropria	ate off-label use. HHSC		
	relies on this medic	relies on this medical evidence to expand access to treatments.					
			TPS to gain clarification on this recor	nmendation. This iten	n will be closed until		
	further information	is received.					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
		-	/ Ongoing	date.
1	Schedule meeting with TMA/TPS to discuss this	7/31/2016	Completed	
	issue.			
2	Obtain examples of this issue from TMA and TPS.	12/1/2016		TMA and TPS working with members to obtain examples.
3	Review examples to determine next steps.	2/1/2017		

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	16	
	Department		No Action to be Taken:			
	1		In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	HHSC should enco	urage MCOs to "gol	d star" provider practices that can sh	low a history of proper	utilization of medical	
	services and waive	certain prior authoriz	zation requirements.			
Additional Stakeholder	Prior authorizations	can be replaced wit	h retroactive reviews of a physician	s services provided fo	llowed by education	
Background:	when needed.	•		·		
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care				
Provided By:	TMA / TPS					
HHSC Response:	Health plans curren	tly are able to utilize	this practice. HHSC will coordinate	e with TAHP to survey	the health plans and	
	determine whether	changes can be imple	emented to appropriately address thi	s recommendation.		
			activity and shared information with			
	others are addressing this issue through alternative methods. HHSC will identify steps to be taken to encourage adoption					
	of practices that reduce the administrative burden for, and encourage utilization of, providers that can show a history of					
	proper utilization of	f medical services.				
Date Last Updated:	3/15/17					

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Review contract and manual language to determine	9/30/2016	Completed	
	whether clarifications are needed to encourage this			
	process.			
2	Develop plan to address this recommendation.	3/1/2017	Completed	HHSC has developed new MCO contract language related to
				alternative payment models (APM) and APM targets for
				FY18. The new provisions categorize this kind of
				administrative relief (i.e. Gold Carding a provider) as an
				APM. This may have the effect of incentivizing more MCOs
				to explore this practice.

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	17
			No Action to be Taken: X		
			In Progress:		
			Complete:		
			Other:		
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Eliminate pre-autho	orization for simple p	procedures in the office.		
Additional Stakeholder			procedures in the office. Examples in		
<b>Background:</b>			nt has an ear infection, chemical caut	ery for umbilical gran	ulomas, or treating
	molluscum contagiosum warts.				
Category:	Network Adequacy / Access to Care				
Provided By:	TMA / TPS				
HHSC Response:	At this time, HHSC cannot mandate to MCOs which benefits require prior authorization or that MCOs follow the same				
	processes for prior authorization. HHSC will continue to explore other opportunities to help providers better understand				
	MCO processes.				
Date Last Updated:	4/11/2016				

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA		

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	22
rigorioj, 21 (15101112 opur viitorio)	Department	2000	No Action to be Taken:	110-11-20-21	
			In Progress:		
			Complete: X		
			Other:		
Recommendation:	Promote adoption of	of innovative paymen	nt models.		
	Traditional FFS promodels. This payme include counseling professionals provice communication, phasme day, and mem structures in a way consistent with emeabuse and Mental both encourage states.	vider reimbursemer ent model reimburse sessions, mental hea de many services tha one conversations water navigation. The that achieves meaning erging federal policies Health Services Adnues to develop value-	ns to encourage the use of innovative at is the most common form of payments for specific services. For behaviorally the rehabilitative services, and target at are not reimbursed under the FFS path members, services provided by mose vital yet uncompensated services and laterally the compensated services. The CMS proposed managed care ministration (SAMHSA) grant for Ce based, alternative payment models for	ent in both the Texas I al health providers, the red case management. payment model, such a nultiple providers in the could be captured through tencies. The BHIAC re- terule revisions (May 2) retified Community Be- for managed care provided	FFS and managed care see services generally Behavioral health as: provider-to-provider see same group on the sugh alternative payment ecommendation is 2015) and the Substance havioral Health Clinics ders.
Additional Stakeholder			der meeting with Executive Commiss	•	anette Castle, Texas
Background:			ed the following additional informati		
			ation of care and integration of finan		
			tion of financing. The next step is to		
			ecommendations again as they were sure also pleased that the state submitt		
			nd clinics planning grant through SA		
			rnative payment mechanisms can be		
			vsical health components for people		
	serve people well a		vision floates compositions for people of	and move the diar in to	orms of the domey to
Category:	Alternative Paymer				
Provided By:	Texas Council of C				
HHSC Response:			ively recognize medical costs when	setting MCO rates. Th	is is an activity driven
			counts as administrative vs. medica		
			ap, which is working on a two-year p		
	integrate the new C providers.	MS guidance. This	effort could support greater payment	innovation by MCOs	and healthcare

#### Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Additionally, HHSC received funding through CMS/SAMHSA for a planning grant to establish a certification process for integrated care clinics (mental health, substance use disorder, and limited primary care), and develop a prospective payment model (e.g., bundled payment) to support innovative and effective service provision. HHSC applied for a demonstration grant, but did not receive the grant. However, HHSC is exploring ways to leverage the processes and framework developed under the planning grant to potentially pilot innovative and effective care models (alternative payment model for integrated care (mental health, substance use disorder and primary care services), certification process for integrated care clinics, and use of measures and incentives to promote effective integrated care)

In 2014, HHSC initiated a contract provision into the managed care contracts that required MCOs to implement VBP models with providers and to submit to HHSC annual reports on their VBP activities. This began the process of "signaling" to the MCOs HHSC's interest in moving provider payments to VBP. This contract provision was augmented with one-on one "quality" meetings with MCOs. A priority topic for these web-based meetings was the identification of opportunity areas and barriers related to provider VBP. Data driven discussions related to MCO performance on key quality/efficiency metrics was woven into the discussions. If a MCO had positive trends for quality metrics, it led to discussion of clinical and/or payment models put in place which may have led to the positive trends. Conversely, if a MCO had negative trends on quality metrics, it became an opportunity to explore underlying reasons, and whether VBP could improve the trends. This framework, based on regular, *individual* interactions with MCOs centered on VBP and performance trends, leveraging existing publicly reported data, set expectations and provided a constructive forum for MCOs to more openly discuss their performance, as well as their VBP direction.

To continue this forward progress on MCO VBP efforts, HHSC is strengthening the 9/1/17 MCO contract requirements to include:

- 1. **Establishment of MCO VBP Targets:** Overall *and* Risk-Based VBP contractual targets based on MCO expenditures on VBP contracts relative to all medical expense. Each MCO's targets will begin for calendar year 2018, beginning at 25% of provider payments in Overall VBP and 10% of provider payments in Risk Based VBP. These targets will increase over four years to 50% overall VBP and 25% Risk-Based VBP in calendar year 2021. For Dental Managed Care Organizations (DMOs), these targets are set at 25% Overall VBP and 2% Risk Based VBP in 2018. The targets increase to 50% Overall VBP and 10% Risk Based VBP in 2021.
- 2. **Requirements for MCOs to adequately resource this activity:** MCOs must dedicate sufficient resources for provider outreach and negotiation, assistance with data and/or report interpretation, and other collaborative activities to support VBP and provider improvement.
- 3. **Requirements for MCOs to establish and maintain data sharing processes with providers:** Requires data/report sharing between MCOs and providers.
- 4. **Requirements for MCOs to have a process in place to evaluate VBP models:** Requires that the MCO dedicate resources to evaluate the impact of APMs on utilization, quality and cost, as well as return on investment.

Date Last Updated: 0

03/13/2017

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	<b>Completion Date</b>	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	The SAMHSA Grant project requires identification	12/1/15	Completed	
	of special populations for different prospective			
	payment system (PPS) rates. HHSC staff will begin			
	working with the eight potential project sites to			
	identify these populations. This will drive cost			
	reporting and PPS development. The locations are a			
	mix of rural, urban, and hybrid areas.			
2	The templates for the Quality Improvement	6/1/16	Completed	
	tracking tool section of the Financial Statistical			
	Reports (FSRs) will be designed and distributed to			
	the MCOs.			
3	MCOs will begin reporting Quality Improvement	9/1/2016	Completed	
	Costs to HHSC on their FSRs.			
4	HHSC is in the process of producing a de-identified	10/1/16	Completed	
	summary document to post onto HHSC's quality			
	website of current innovative payment models			
	being used in managed care. In addition, the			
	tracking tool used to capture and monitor MCO use			
	of value-based payment models is being reviewed			
	for revision to capture additional information.	40.07		
5	Demonstration Grant application due to CMS.	10/2016	Completed	
6	CMS notification of award to states.	12/2016	Completed	
7	Implementation (if awarded)	8/2017	Completed	HHSC has formed an internal workgroup to pursue the model
			(not	absent the grant award. Several meetings have been held and
ı			awarded)	a decision on whether this is feasible is forthcoming.

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	26		
•		No Action to be Taken:					
		In Progress:					
			Complete:				
			Other: X				
			This recommendation is				
			addressed through an existing				
			process. See details below.				
Recommendation:	Texas Medicaid co	verage of Health &	Behavior codes should be expanded	to include services pro	vided in the tertiary care		
	environment.		_	_			
			or assessment and intervention has be				
			he services are provided by a license		ealing arts (LPHA) who		
	is co-located in the	same office or build	ling complex as the client's primary	care provider.			
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Benefits						
Provided By:		Association of Texa					
HHSC Response:			wing Medicaid medical benefits. Sta				
			Information about how to submit a to	opic nomination form	can be found on the		
	HHSC webpage: h	ttp://www.hhsc.state	.tx.us/medicaid/MPR/index.shtml.				
			tted, HHSC staff will scan policy and				
			to be completed before a decision car				
		exceeds \$500,000, th	ne Legislative Budget Board will hav	e to approve the fundi	ng associated with the		
	policy change.						
	Timeline is depend	Timeline is dependent upon prioritization within the medical policy review process.					
	HHSC staff contac	ted CHAT to provid	e the form, and confirmed awareness	of the process			
Date Last Updated:	June 17, 2016	ica CHAT to provid	e me form, and commined awareness	of the process.			
Date Last Opuateu:	Julie 17, 2010						

	ration is interested with pattern (rad additional lines as needed to detail each major innestones do not need to be completed sequentiary.)								
			Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in				
		Milestone	<b>Completion Date</b>	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted				
				/ Ongoing	date.				
Ī	1	NA							

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	27			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:					
	Department		In Progress:					
	1		Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Texas Medicaid coverage should be expanded to include coverage for services provided by Psychology predoctoral interns and postdoctoral fellows who are in the process of acquiring the supervised experience required for independent licensure as a Psychologist, when these services are supervised by a Licensed Psychologist who is a Medicaid provider.  Under chapter 501 of the Texas Occupations Code, a licensed psychologist may delegate psychological services to a							
	provisionally licensed psychologist, a newly licensed psychologist who is not eligible for managed care panels, a person who holds a temporary license, and a person who is in the process of acquiring the supervised for independent licensure — which includes predoctoral interns and postdoctoral fellows. However, Texas Medicaid does not allow the supervising Licensed Psychologist to bill for the services of trainees at either the predoctoral or postdoctoral levels. Importantly, such services are provided within the context of accredited training programs that entail rigorous supervisory requirements, and under the close supervision of a licensed provider (as mandated by Texas Law under the Texas State Board of Examiners of Psychologists). Moreover, psychology predoctoral interns and postdoctoral fellows under supervision have typically exceeded both the educational requirements and the hours of supervised clinical experience than are required for independent licensure for LPCs and LCSWs.							
Additional Stakeholder Background:		_						
Category:	Benefits							
Provided By:	CHAT							
HHSC Response:	https://hhs.texas.g HHSC received for that HHSC consideration	gov/services/health eedback from stak ler extending the of the outpatient	delegation to include postdoctor behavioral health policy that wi	licaid/draft-medicai y and reviewed all c ral fellows, as this v				
Date Last Updated:	02/02/2017							

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Finalize fiscal analysis.	TBD	Completed	
2	Schedule briefing with leadership.	TBD	Completed	
3	Conduct rate hearing.	11/16/2016	Completed	

### Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

4	Policy Implemented	01/01/17   Completed	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	28		
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:				
			In Progress:				
			Complete: X				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	Texas Medicaid should include coverage for services without the patient present for clients under the age of 20 (e.g., 90846).  It is standard of care for services provided to children and adolescents to have sessions with parents in which the child or adolescent is not present. In fact, evidence-based interventions require sessions of this type (e.g., Parent Management Training for disruptive behavior). Currently, Texas Medicaid will not cover services in which the child or adolescent patient is not physically present (e.g., 90846). This deprives children and adolescents who are Medicaid recipients of the						
Additional Stakeholder	ingliest quality, mo	st evidence based at	ssessment and treatment services.				
Background:							
Category:	Benefits						
Provided By:	CHAT						
HHSC Response:	The policy was pos	sted on HHSC's Med	lical Policy Review webpage for sta	keholder comments:			
	https://hhs.texas.go	v/services/health/mo	edicaid-and-chip/about-medicaid/dr	aft-medicaid-medical-	and-dental-policies.		
	HHSC received feedback from stakeholders on the proposed policy and reviewed all comments. This is part of the						
	outpatient behavioral health policy that will be implemented January 2017. A rate hearing will be required to implement						
	the policy changes.						
Date Last Updated:	02/02/2017						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Finalize fiscal analysis.	TBD	Complete	
2	Conduct leadership review.	TBD	Complete	
3	Conduct rate hearing.	11/16/2016	Complete	
4	Policy Implemented	01/01/17	Complete	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

mproving Member and i		ce ili Mieulcaic							
Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	<b>Status:</b>	Under Consideration:	Number:	29				
			No Action to be Taken:						
			In Progress:						
			Complete:						
			Other: X						
			This recommendation is						
			addressed through an existing						
			process. See details below.						
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Texas Medicaid she	ould include covera	age for HSAT for clients under 20.						
	Currently, Texas M	edicaid does not re	imburse for HSAT in this age group.	We strongly believe th	nat this should be				
	reconsidered in ord	er to provide the m	ost effective patient care in the most of	efficient, timely manne	er. Dr. David Gozal's				
			(August 2015) recommends home tes						
	an alternative in he	althy children with	moderate to severe OSA, particularly	in settings where acco	ess to polysomnography				
	is limited or unavai		•	•					
	We strongly encoun	age reconsideratio	n of coverage for this procedure in he	althy adolescents and	teenagers to facilitate				
	the management of	OSA in these indiv	viduals. HSAT for this population wil	l improve timely acces	ss to in-laboratory				
	studies for younger	, higher-acuity chil	dren, which is currently delayed due t	to limited in-laborator	y infrastructure.				
Additional Stakeholder	· ·		•						
Background:									
Category:	Benefits								
Provided By:	CHAT								
HHSC Response:			ewing Medicaid medical benefits. Sta						
		• •	Information about how to submit a to	opic nomination form	can be found on the				
	HHSC webpage: ht	<u>tp://www.hhsc.stat</u>	e.tx.us/medicaid/MPR/index.shtml.						
			itted, HHSC staff will scan policy and	1 2					
			to be completed before a decision ca						
	the fiscal estimate e	the fiscal estimate exceeds \$500,000, the Legislative Budget Board will have to approve the policy change.							
	Timeline is depend	Timeline is dependent upon prioritization within the medical policy review process.							
	HHSC staff contact	ed CHAT to provi	de the form, and confirmed awareness	s of the process					
Date Last Updated:	6/17/2016	Ja Cili i to piovi	are not form, and commind an archeren	, 31 the process.					
Date Dasi Opuateu.	5/11/2010								

### Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	30			
		No Action to be Taken:						
		In Progress:						
			Complete:					
			Other: X					
			This recommendation is					
			addressed through an existing					
			process. See details below.					
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Texas Medicaid co	verage should includ	le mask sensitization.					
	N/ 1 ''' ''			COAD DDAD 1	1 6 1			
			ades techniques for gradual initiation					
			s education about PAP therapy and a or patients who have developmental					
	claustrophobia or a		or patients who have developmentar	uciay, sensormeurar p	robienis, patients with			
Additional Stakeholder	ciaustrophobia or a	iixiety, etc.						
Background:								
Category:	Benefits							
Provided By:	CHAT							
HHSC Response:			wing Medicaid medical benefits. Sta					
			information about how to submit a to	opic nomination form	can be found on the			
	HHSC webpage: ht	tp://www.hhsc.state	tx.us/medicaid/MPR/index.shtml.					
	Once a topic nomir	ation form is submit	tted, HHSC staff will scan policy and	d the policy nominatio	n will be considered and			
			o be completed before a decision car					
	the fiscal estimate exceeds \$500,000, the Legislative Budget Board will have to approve the policy change.							
	Timeline is depend	Timeline is dependent upon prioritization within the medical policy review process.						
	HHSC staff contact	ed CHAT to provide	e the form, and confirmed awareness	s of the process.				
Date Last Updated:	6/17/2016	-		-				

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	U	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	31 / 33 / 38		
	MCS		No Action to be Taken:				
	Department		In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other: X				
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Texas Medicaid	l coverage shou	ld include peer support services.				
	Improve access to mental health and substance use peer services provided by certified peer specialists. To accomplish this, HHSC should develop rules to define peer services, identify the requirements for certification, and specify supervision requirements. This needs to be done to ensure that quality services are available. We have accomplished a lot in this area already but the timing is right for refining and expanding. MCOs should be educated on the benefits of peer support services and encouraged to make these services available. Currently, peers are approved providers of mental health rehab services, but "peer support services" do not always align with rehab services. Additionally, LMHAs are currently the only providers of rehab services so until "peer support services" are validated as a reimbursable service, where these services can be provided will continue to be limited.  Similar to peer support for individuals with mental illness, implement peer support services as a Medicaid paid benefit for people with developmental disabilities.						
Additional Stakeholder					uncil for Developmental Disabilities,		
Background:					ed feedback that although the HHSC		
			not address the recommendation omental disabilities.	n to implement peer	support services as a Medicaid paid		
Category:	Benefits	-					
Provided By:	Disability Right	ts Texas/TMA/	TPS/Hogg Mental Health Foundation	ation			
HHSC Response:	leadership consi priorities and bu legislative appro	C and the Office of Mental Health Coordination staff, with input from stakeholders, drafted an exceptional item for rship consideration that would add peer support services to the Medicaid program. Due to competing budgetary ties and budget constraints facing the state at this time, peer support services was not included in the HHSC ative appropriations request for fiscal years 2018-19. Staff will pursue the recommendation that peer support sees be a Medicaid benefit if directed by the 85 <sup>th</sup> legislature to do so.					
Date Last Updated:	03/08/2017	-	•				

### Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in	
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted	
			/ Ongoing	date.	
1	Review cost assumptions.	9/30/2016	Completed	Completed as part of LAR process.	
2	Review recommendation related to peer supports	3/08/17	Completed	Staff will pursue the recommendation that peer support	
	for individuals with developmental disabilities and			services be a Medicaid benefit if directed by the 85 <sup>th</sup> legislature	
	consider next steps.			to do so.	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	37			
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:					
			In Progress:					
			Complete:					
			Other: X					
Recommendation:	Eliminate prior authorization for medical drug screens.							
	Texas Medical Board rules regarding chronic pain specify physicians must conduct random drug screens. By requiring prior approval, physicians cannot fulfill that requirement for Medicaid patients. This limits physicians' ability to properly screen patients at high risk for opioid abuse.  Further, we have received information that when physicians do attempt to follow Medicaid requirements, the form requires individual authorization for each component of the drug test rather than allowing the entire panel to be completed. This is a non-standard approach physicians do not bill for individual components for these tests. Thus codes are not easily obtained.							
Additional Background:								
Category:	Benefits							
Provided By:	TMA / TPS							
HHSC Response:	HHSC will work with stakeholders to identify which drug screens are not being covered and circumstances when authorization may have been inappropriately applied. In FFS Medicaid, there is no prior authorization requirer drug screens.				•			
	rug screens that are not oplied. This item will b							
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017							

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	<b>Completion Date</b>	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Obtain examples from TMA/TPS of this issue	8/1/2016	In Progress	TMA will revisit this issue and the others submitted at their
	occurring.			annual meeting in the fall to determine if these issues have
				been resolved since they were originally submitted, and to
				identify the issues that are highest priority to address.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	40				
rigency/21/15/01/2 eparement	MSS MCS	Status	No Action to be Taken:	1 (dilloci )	. •				
	Department		In Progress:						
	2 op an amone		Complete: X						
			Other:						
Recommendation:	Ensure full ac	ccess to Early and	Periodic Screening, Diagnostic,	and Treatment (EPS	DT) services.				
	The EPSDT mandate ensures for the provision of screening, diagnosis, and treatment. While individual state Medicaid programs may place a limitation on the number of treatment sessions provided annually, they also include—for most part—exceptions processes to address those medically necessary services that require treatment beyond the stated limitation caps. HHSC should be sure to monitor such limits to ensure the children covered under MCOs have full access to EPSDT mandated services as stipulated in the Texas Medicaid Manual.								
Additional Stakeholder	This issue wa	as discussed in a m	eeting with TSHA on 8/16/2016	, and it was clarified	that this issue specifically relates to				
Background:					cope of treatment provided by the				
			ACOs are not following the medi	ical policy outlined i	n the Texas Medicaid Provider				
	Procedure M	anual.							
Category:	Benefits								
Provided By:	TSHA								
HHSC Response:	MCOs are required to provide EPSDT services (also known as THSteps in Texas) to all members 0 through 20 years of age, including all services in the TMPPM (See UMCC 8.1.3.2). EPSDT mandated services are stipulated in Medicaid policy and the Texas Medicaid Provider Procedures Manual. MCOs must provide services in the same amount, duration, and scope as those services are offered in Traditional Medicaid.								
	To help address potential inconsistencies between MCOs, HHSC will issue policy guidance in the Uniform Managed Care Manual, effective 9/1/17, to provide additional definition and clarification around HHSC's expectations for amount, duration, and scope. HHSC will also continue to monitor and address provider and member complaints related to this issue through its established complaint resolution processes.								
Date Last Updated:	03/20/2017								

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	HHSC will request examples of instances where an	7/31/2016	Ongoing	
	MCO has placed a treatment cap from THSteps.			
2	HHSC will review examples and determine	05/31/2017	On target	HHSC continues to review examples and working with MCOs
	appropriate next steps.			to determine the processes they used and next steps.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	43			
	MCS		No Action to be Taken: X					
	Department		In Progress:					
			Complete:					
			Other:					
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Expedite processi	ng of new provide	ers to facilitate claims processing	Ţ <b>.</b>				
			s for claim determination. Once					
					o providers. It would be beneficial			
				ame attestation syst	em to prevent delays in providers			
	being added to the							
Additional Stakeholder	U C	· ·	C	-	lor, Ms. Kathy Eckstein, Children's			
Background:		ion of Texas, expi	ressed concern over the length of	f time for managed	care plans to update their system.			
Category:	Claims							
Provided By:	CHAT							
HHSC Response:					hay be needed. This issue concerns			
					process underway in SB760. HHSC			
	staff will reach out to CHAT to discuss and obtain examples to determine next steps. Examples were received and							
	reviewed by staff. From the examples provided, it was seen in many cases the process took fewer than 30 days. This issue							
	was reviewed and	it was determined	d that the current requirement to	process within 60 d	lays would remain.			
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017							

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Obtain examples from CHAT of this issue occurring.	7/31/2016	Completed	
2	HHSC review the examples, reach out to health plans to obtain additional information, and determine root cause of issue.	11/1/2016	Completed	
3	Develop recommended solution.	2/1/2017	NA	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	44	
	MCS		No Action to be Taken: X			
	Department		In Progress:			
	1		Complete:			
			Other:			
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Require consisten	cy of claim denial	reasons for both TMHP and Me	COs.		
			me reason, but we receive different			
	TMHP. This is an	administrative bu	urden for the provider's staff who	en attempting to rec	tify denials for the same reason.	
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Claims					
Provided By:	CHAT					
HHSC Response:	All adjudication e	ntities are require	d to use HIPAA code values in o	communicating with	providers. HHSC coordinated with	
	CHAT to understa	and the specifics of	of the reported issue.			
					be legitimate reasons for varying	
	denials codes as there may be more than one denial code. It was determined that no change would be made for this item					
	and this item is no	ow closed.				
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017					

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	<b>Completion Date</b>	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Request examples from CHAT of this having occurred.	6/1/2016	Completed	
2	Review examples to determine issue.	11/1/2016	Completed	Examples received. Staff reviewed and determined no action will be taken.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	45			
	Department	No Action to be Taken:						
			In Progress:					
			Complete:					
			Other: X					
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Ensure Texas Medi	caid recognizes all a	ppropriate claims modifiers. If a mo	difier is not covered, t	the Medicaid			
	FFS or MCO provi	der manual should li	st any modifiers that are not recogni	zed.				
		frustration and prac						
Additional Stakeholder			der meeting with Executive Commis	sioner Traylor, Dr. Jol	nn Holcomb, TMA,			
Background:		ng additional inform						
			e past has not recognized add-on serv					
			two procedures the same day, but of	nly gets paid for one w	which is unfair. If the			
	physician cannot ge	et paid for both, it sh	ould at least be recognized.					
Category:	Claims							
Provided By:	TMA / TPS							
HHSC Response:	All adjudication en	tities are required to	use HIPAA code values in commun	icating with providers	. Information should be			
	made available by t	he adjudicator that s	pecifies allowable modifiers for clai	ms processing.				
	To address this issue in FFS would take a significant amount of resources and time. It is not cost effective to do so at this							
	time with the transition to managed care.							
	This item will be closed until further information is received.							
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017							

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Reach out to TMA/TPS	8/1/2016	Completed	TMA will revisit this issue and the others submitted at their
				annual meeting in the fall to determine if these issues have
				been resolved since they were originally submitted, and to
				identify the issues that are highest priority to address.

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	46			
	MCS Department		No Action to be Taken:					
			In Progress:					
			Complete:					
			Other: X					
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Texas Medicaid sh	ould include reimbu	rsement to physicians for venipunct	ure performed and ana	alyzed in the			
	physician's in-offic	e lab.						
	Revise the payment policy to reimburse physicians for venipuncture performed and analyzed in the physician's in-office lab. The Medicaid manual (section 9.2.41.2 Laboratory Handling Charge) states that a physician may bill a laboratory handling charge for obtaining a specimen via venipuncture or catheterization and sent to an outside lab. Many physicians have in-office, moderately complex labs and run many tests in house. The current policy does not reimburse them for the staff costs or supplies of obtaining the specimen.							
Additional Stakeholder Background:								
Category:	Benefits							
Provided By:	TMA / TPS							
HHSC Response:	HHSC requires additional information from TMA/TPS to determine whether changes can be implemented to appropriately address this recommendation; Medicaid currently provides reimbursement for numerous laboratory procedures and to numerous provider types.							
	HHSC will follow-up with TMA and TPS to identify in-office lab services not covered. This item will be closed until further information is received.							
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017							

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
		•	/ Ongoing	date.
1	Request examples from TMA and TPS.	7/1/2016	Completed	
2	Obtain examples of this issue occurring.	TBD	Pending	TMA will revisit this issue and the others submitted at their annual meeting in the fall to determine if these issues have been resolved since they were originally submitted, and to identify the issues that are highest priority to address.

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration: No Action to be Taken: In Progress: Complete: X Other:	Number:	47				
Recommendation:	Require MCOs to directly communicate changes in rates, codes, practices etc. at least 60 days in advance of effective date.  Current examples: Adjustment of rates to reflect increase in attendant wage on 9-1-15 not communicated, Community First Choice code and rates not communicated. Implementation of CFC in Star Plus waiver changed without notice. Communications simply by a website posting is inadequate.								
Additional Stakeholder									
Background: Category:	Communications								
Provided By:		s with Disabilities							
HHSC Response:	Coalition of Texans with Disabilities  The relationship between an MCO and a provider is governed by the contract between the parties. A provider could request this provision in its contract with the MCO. After researching the current examples, HHSC determined these examples are not the fault of the MCO, but an issue from HHSC:  • Attendant wage rates for SFY2016 were not published until mid-October. HHSC instructed the MCOs to reprocess eligible claims back to 9/1/2016 and every MCO reported they had completed this by February. If a provider experienced something different, HHSC encourages that they file formal complaints and move through the formal grievance process for HHSC to track systemic issues.  • HHSC changed the Community First Choice codes and modifiers and changed the STAR+PLUS billing matrix to include CFC for children. HHSC directed MCOs to reauthorize services with the appropriate codes and modifiers, as this is the only way to track CFC services for federal reporting requirements. HHSC published this information in the STAR+PLUS Handbook, which is available publicly.  • HHSC directed MCOs to change the delivery of personal assistance services (PAS) and emergency response services (ERS) from STAR+PLUS HCBS to CFC in such a way that members would experience no disruption in services. This direction could have resulted in some confusion. HHSC is still working through issues related to the implementation of CFC with MCOs including additional training for their staff and training for providers and provider associations.								
	HHSC established a list of contacts for STAR+PLUS MCO provider relations departments to facilitate the communication of urgent information to providers. Additional efforts to improve timeliness of communications are ongoing. HHSC is working with MCOs to ensure changes like those cited happen less frequently.								
Date Last Updated:	6/22/2016	-							

#### Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS		Under Consideration:	Number:	48		
<b>gj</b>	Department	~ ****	No Action to be Taken:	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
			In Progress:				
			Complete: X				
			Other:				
Recommendation:	HHSC should requi	re Dental Maintenar	nce Organizations (DMOs) to share t	heir client outreach ef	forts with the dentist		
		h can work together	to help remove barriers that prevent				
	Clients breaking dental appointments are a problem for dentist providers and the DMOs. Both DMOs allow providers to log a client's broken appointment into the DMO provider portal. However, that is where the information sharing stops. The DMOs do not communicate with the provider about efforts to help the client keep appointments. Broken appointments are a costly and unnecessary expense for providers and a concern for the state about client benefit utilization.						
Additional Stakeholder	During the Decemb	er 8, 2016 stakehold	ler meeting with Executive Commiss	sioner Traylor, Ms. Di	ane Rhodes, Texas		
Background:			ing additional information:	• •	,		
			issue for providers, and DMOs have	systems where provide	ders can log broken		
			for increased coordination between I				
			eliminate broken appointments by ac				
	not be making appo			C			
Category:	Communications						
Provided By:	Texas Dental Assoc	ciation					
HHSC Response:	Providers have the ability to refer a patient who frequently misses appointments to the THSteps Outreach & Informing Unit for follow-up. DMOs are required by contract to train providers about the availability of the THSteps Outreach & Informing Unit's services. DMO member handbooks emphasize the importance of keeping or properly rescheduling appointments. And DMO member advocates conduct activities to identify members who miss appointments so they ca help minimize barriers to care.						
	HHSC will work with the DMOs to identify possibilities for sharing information on outreach activities to reduce missed appointments.						
	HHSC will review procedures utilized by the THSteps Outreach and Informing Unit to better inform the review of the DMOs' operational procedures regarding frequently missed appointments. HHSC will then review the issue with the DMOs to determine if operational refinements can be made to achieve improved communication.  Based on review of operational procedures for reporting missed appointments utilized by the DMOs and THSteps, it was determined that existing procedures are adequate to address this concern. Missed appointments are of concern to dental well as medical providers. For members who miss appointments, often there are factors such as lack of transportation or						
			s ability to keep appointments. The				

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

_	0	
		medical appointments ultimately rests with the member. In lieu of implementing tracking and reporting that could represent an additional administrative burden on providers, HHSC recommends that providers actively utilize the
		following options to address this concern:
		<ul> <li>Notify the member's dental plan of members who regularly miss appointments. The dental plan's Member Services department can assist with member education and case management, including coordinating travel arrangements.</li> <li>Notify the Texas Health Steps Outreach and Informing Unit of Texas Health Steps patients who miss appointments, need help scheduling appointments, or coordinating transportation. Providers can contact Texas Health Steps at 1-877-THSteps (847-8377) or submit a referral at this website:</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li><a href="http://www.dshs.texas.gov/thsteps/POR.shtm">http://www.dshs.texas.gov/thsteps/POR.shtm</a></li> <li>Promote awareness among patients of the Medicaid Transportation Program (MTP). This program provides free</li> </ul>
		transportation for Texas Health Steps patients and most others who use Medicaid medical and dental services.  Providers and patients can obtain information about MTP at 1-877-633-8747 or
		<u>www.hhsc.state.tx.us/medicaid/mtp/.</u> MTP also provides educational materials such as posters that providers can use in-office to promote patient awareness of the program.
		<ul> <li>Help patients understand the importance of keeping scheduled appointments, and send timely reminders of upcoming appointments.</li> </ul>
<b>Date Last</b>	Updated:	3/7/2017

	NG)	Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	<b>Completion Date</b>	Completed / Ongoing	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Research THSteps Outreach & Informing Unit policies and procedures.	3/7/17	0 0	
2	Based on results of research, review DMO operational procedures by DMOs to determine if procedures can be refined further.	3/7/17	Completed	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Aganay/Division/Danautments	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	49			
Agency/Division/Department:		Status:		Number:	49			
	Department		No Action to be Taken:					
			In Progress:					
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
<b>Recommendation:</b>			ve" designation is shared with the D					
		•	ituations where the primary head of	household is not avail	able to accompany the			
	client to the dentist	's office.						
			usehold could change a client's prim					
			family member will bring to them to					
			in the main dentist needs to happen					
			te such a change, and unless the dent					
	of household, the d	entist has to send the	e client home until the head of house	hold or guardian is av	ailable.			
Additional Stakeholder								
Background:								
Category:	Communications							
Provided By:	Texas Dental Associ							
HHSC Response:			ermined that the authorized represen					
	will review the pro-	cess of sharing name	s of authorized representatives to ide	entify areas where cha	nges can be made to			
	improve the proces	S.						
	HHSC received fee	dback from the Texa	as Dental Association that there are a	not specific examples	available, but that			
	providers have give	en feedback that this	issue is occurring.					
	HHSC reviewed this issue and identified system changes that may be impacting the transfer of this information							
	issues were addressed and resolved and this should improve the transfer of data. However, the SSI file will con-							
	override any information in the authorized user field as this is considered more accurate. This is the one situation in which							
	the authorized repre	esentative designated	l in TIERS may not be transferred.					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017							

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date		If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Obtain examples from Texas Dental Association of this issue occurring.	8/1/2016	Complete	

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

2	Further explore system processes to confirm that	12/1/2016	Completed	
	information is transferring to DMOs as expected.			
3	Develop recommended solution based on system	1/1/2017	Completed	
	information received.			
4	Modify system to address issues of data transfer.	3/1/2017	Completed	

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	52a	
	Department		No Action to be Taken:			
			In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Require MCOs to s	hare meaningful and	l actionable data with physicians.			
	Require MCOs to share meaningful and actionable data with network physicians, such as notification of patient emergency department usage and prescription data, as well as providing confidential comparative data on their practice's utilization and costs. Further, some health plans indicate they meet at least quarterly with network physicians to review performance data and practice issues. This promotes dialogue between the physicians and MCOs as well as opportunities for the MCO to be aware of hassles experienced by physicians and patients that might not otherwise be elevated.					
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Communications					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS					
HHSC Response:	HHSC will survey plans to find out how frequently they share data with physicians and acute care providers and will					
	consider implementing a contract requirement if appropriate.					
Date Last Updated:	5/4/2017					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Develop and send survey to MCOs and TAHP.	12/1/2016	Completed	
2	Compile and follow-up as needed on survey	2/1/2016	Completed	
	responses.			
3	Research possible solutions resulting from survey responses in consultation with TAHP.	3/31/2017	Completed	HHSC distributed a survey to MCOs, TAHP, and provider groups regarding value based purchasing and associated activities, to include data sharing. The survey closed 3/31/17 and the results are being collated. Prior to the survey, HHSC has been working on MCO contract language for value based contracting to include the activity of data sharing between MCOs and providers. See milestone #4 below.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

4	Amend MCO contracts to include provisions for data sharing between MCOs and physicians (as well as other providers) that are operating under an alternative payment model	3/15/17	Complete	FY18 MCO contracts have been amended to include a requirement for MCOs to share data.
---	---	---------	----------	---

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	52b	
	Department		No Action to be Taken:			
			In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Require MCOs to p	romptly notify physi	icians when the practice's assigned p	rovider representative	has changed.	
	We frequently receive calls from physicians who have attempted to resolve complaints with a plan, but were stymied because their provider representative kept changing, often without notice, requiring the practice to start again with the resolution process.					
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Communications					
Provided By:	TMA / TPS					
HHSC Response:	HHSC will propose a contract amendment to address this recommendation.					
Date Last Updated:	03/12/2017					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	On Target / Completed / Ongoing	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Develop a proposed amendment for the managed care contracts including the proposed requirement.	9/9/2016		
2	Contract change reviewed by MCOs.	10/4/2016	On Target	
3	Contract change submitted to CMS for review.	Winter 2016	On Target	
4	Contract change effective.	3/1/2017	Complete	The contract change requires any MCO to notify a provider in writing within five days of a change to a designated provider relations representative, including the name and contact information of the new representative.

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	53	
•			No Action to be Taken: X			
			In Progress:			
			Complete:			
			Other:			
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Establish measures	for growth of consu	mer directed services (CDS) and cov	ver support consultatio	n services. CDS	
			ne support consultation in CDS in p			
			om Financial Management Services	Agencies, yet there se	ems to be no	
	mechanism for authorization, no billing code and no provider rates.					
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Benefits					
Provided By:	Coalition of Texans					
HHSC Response:			DS utilization in managed care and			
			he Consumer Direction Advisory Co		•	
			out the CDS option. For example, H			
	service coordinators to ensure they are able to accurately and more completely explain the CDS option for both					
	STAR+PLUS and STAR Kids. Services like support management provided through Community First Choice and some					
	assessments are also not reimbursable, and are considered part of the cost of doing business. Developing reimbursement					
		vices like support co	onsultation would require legislative	direction and correspo	onding appropriations.	
Date Last Updated:	7/1/2016					

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	NA			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Aganay/Division/Danautments	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	54	
Agency/Division/Department:		Status:		Number:	34	
	Department		No Action to be Taken:			
			In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Clarify the respons	ibilities of all subcon	tractors regarding Electronic Data I	nterchange transaction	s within the MCO	
	contracts. MCOs th	at are using transpor	tation logistic companies are not con	ntracting with compan	ies who can receive and	
	accept ANSI electr	onic files.	-			
	Establishes continu	ity of electronic repo	orting from subcontractors to contrac	ctors who are required	to report data	
			ne administrative burden for transpor			
	entities).		1	1		
Additional Stakeholder	/					
Background:						
Category:	Contract provisions	3				
Provided By:	Acadian Ambulanc	e Service of Texas				
HHSC Response:	The HHSC contrac	t requires the MCOs	, and, by extension, their subcontrac	tors, to comply with a	ll state and federal	
	regulations. HHSC	believes that applies	in the case of transportation compa	nies specifically with	regard to ANSI/HIPAA	
	formatting for their	electronic remittanc	es. In addition, the Uniform Manage	ed Care Contract was a	amended to make clear	
	that the MCO must	provide a provider p	portal that supports functionality to r	educe administrative b	ourden on Network	
	Providers at no cos	t to the Providers and	d functionality must include the foll	owing:		
		bility verification	·			
		of electronic claims	3			
	Prior Authorization requests					
	Claims appeals and reconsiderations					
	Exchange of clinical data and other documentation necessary for prior authorization and claim processing					
Date Last Updated:	3/9/2017					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date		If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Schedule meeting with Acadian Ambulance Service of Texas.	8/1/2016	Complete	Meeting occurred on 9/28/2016.
2	Determine next steps.	12/1/2016	Complete	

A concu/Division	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	56		
Agency/Division:	HUSC MCD	Status:	No Action to be Taken:	Number:	30		
			In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other: X				
			This recommendation is				
			addressed through an existing				
7	10 10 1	40 Cd T	process. See details below.	C1 '11	D (CITID)		
Recommendation:			edicaid UMCC to give Medicaid and		•		
			actice registered nurses (APRNs) as		s (PCPs) in their		
	networks, regardles	s of whether or not	the delegating physician is in-networ	·k.			
	By law, Texas Medicaid and CHIP MCOs are required to use APRNs as PCPs to increase the availability of these						
		providers in the organization's provider network. The requirement of an in-network supervising physician for APRNs not only prevents compliance with these laws, but also greatly hinders the use of APRNs in MCO healthcare networks where					
			e the greatest. (Relevant Code: CHIF				
	Service - §32.024(g	Service - §32.024(gg), Human Resource Code; Managed Care - §533.005(a)(13), Government Code).					
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:	~ ~ ~						
Category:	Contract Provisions						
Provided By:	Texas Nurse Practit						
HHSC Response:			MCOs to contract with APRNs who				
			consulted with several MCOs about		e. At that time, TAHP		
			HHSC decided not to make contract				
			inkages back to PCP, and potential b				
			d a best practice—MCOs should be a				
			ak (NPDB) and Medical Board if she	0 0	C		
			e need of the member require escalati	on of the supervising	physician, the MCO		
	would want this ph				1,5537		
			n instance when an APRN who misd				
	supervising physician, and the MCO will possibly held liable. If the supervising physician is in the MCO's network, the						
	MCO will have reviewed their credentials, potentially adding protection for member.						
	HHSC continuously strives to not only improve access to care, but also streamline delivery of services and quality care.						
	After evaluating feedback from multiple stakeholder groups, HHSC has decided not to take further action on this issue						
	without legislative		<i>5</i>				
Date Last Updated:	6/30/2016						

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	<b>Completion Date</b>	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
		-	/ Ongoing	date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	57			
			No Action to be Taken: X					
			In Progress:					
			Complete:					
			Other:					
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Require that the dental maintenance organizations (DMOs) submit proposed administrative changes to their respective							
	"provider advisory	committees" for inp	ut and then to HHSC health plan ope	erations for approval b	efore they are			
	implemented.							
			stitute administrative changes that w	_				
			nly the state may change Medicaid p					
			s misrepresented AAPD policy in a					
			ter to HHSC explaining that the DM					
			, it results in frustration and confusion		iders until the matter is			
	resolved. It can also result in clients not being able to access their legally entitled dental benefits.							
Additional Stakeholder								
Background:								
Category:	Contract provisions							
Provided By:	Texas Dental Associ							
HHSC Response:			the same amount, duration, and scop					
			atitude to mandate different prior au					
	requirements. Prior authorization or pre-payment review are within the scope of the DMOs' business operations. One							
	DMO initiated an administrative change that was determined to be allowable within the scope of its contract. The							
	administrative change by the other DMO was determined to be a misinterpretation of a benefit limitation and has since							
		addressed by HHSC						
Date Last Updated:	4/11/2016							

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA		

Agency/Division:	HHSC-MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	59			
rigency/Division.	THISC WED	Status.	No Action to be Taken:	rumber.	37			
			In Progress:					
			Complete: X					
			Other:					
Recommendation:	Incorporate contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value (quality) based contracting with providers.							
	Quality Based Contracting – TAHC&H views quality-based contracting in managed care as the alternative solution to the across-the-board rate reductions we have seen over the years in managed care. Managed care companies seek to control costs and minimize their administrative burden by contracting with fewer providers. Indiscriminate, sweeping rate cuts have been the result when managed care seeks the lowest bidder. Rather than trimming the network in this way, TAHC&H would like to see managed care companies contracting based on quality and outcomes. For this to occur, much work will need to be done to identify which quality measures are going to accurately represent good care and ultimately any preferred contracting scenario.							
Additional Stakeholder Background:								
Category:	Alternative Paymer	nt Mechanisms						
Provided By:	·	for Home Care & Ho	ospice					
HHSC Response:	For the past three fi	scal years, HHSC h	as incorporated contract provisions r	equiring MCOs to mo	ve down the path of			
			Each MCO submits to HHSC an an					
			this effort is further reinforced during					
			ts are a standing agenda item. MCC					
			value DSRIP projects into their netw					
			, there are observable increases in th					
			ents. HHSC has observed MCOs ofte	en tend to adopt HHSC	s Pay-for-Quality			
	Program measures	for their value-based	l contracting with providers.					
	HHSC is continuing to work with the MCOs to encourage the use of value-based purchasing with providers. HHSC met internally to discuss what changes should be made for the fiscal year 2017 contract. It was determined that the contract language that is in place will be sufficient for next contract cycle. However, the deliverable associated with the contract provision (MCO submitted tracking tool and narrative description of their payment models) is being modified to help ensure accurate data collection. This will further enable HHSC to track MCO progress in this area. For future updates on the status of this activity, please see the response to recommendation 23. In addition, the value based purchasing (VBP) summary document for 2015 will be posted on the VBP webpage <a href="http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/hhsc_projects/ECI/Value-">http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/hhsc_projects/ECI/Value-</a>							
	Based-Payments.sh	<u>tml</u> .						
Date Last Updated:	6/20/2016							

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	<b>Completion Date</b>	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
		•	/ Ongoing	date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration: No Action to be Taken: In Progress:	Number:	60	
			Complete: X			
Recommendation:	Reward quality car.	 e through payment in	Other:			
Recommendation.	Reward quarity car	e unough payment n	icentives.			
	Quality Based Payments – Since SB 7 passed in the 83rd Texas Legislative Session (and even before then), Texas has been striving toward the ideal of rewarding quality care through payment incentives. But as the Sunset Commission alluded to in their report on the HHS enterprise, such endeavors have been somewhat uncoordinated. The new Office of Policy and Performance, as directed by SB 200 (84th regular session) should help with this. We would like to see health plan management staff work closely with Policy and Performance to gradually encourage the key system elements of a quality based payment system in managed care. Furthermore, for QBP to work for LTSS the state will need to continue its efforts to develop unique LTSS quality measures. TAHC&H would be grateful to continue our participation on this project.					
Additional Stakeholder	1 J					
Background:						
Category:	Alternative Paymer					
Provided By:		for Home Care & Ho		41 ( 1 : : ( . : 1	1 1 111 1	
HHSC Response:	HHSC agrees that quality-related endeavors should be well coordinated and that administrative burdens should be kept to a minimum. HHSC continues to keep those goals in the forefront while exploring value-based contracting opportunities. HHSC agrees that the upcoming consolidation of quality areas from across the Enterprise required by SB 200 (Sunset Bill) presents an opportunity for this cooperation and streamlining.					
	A number of Texas-specific measures have now been developed, but implementation of payment incentives for these measures is on hold due to the need for standardized, nationally recognized measures. LTSS will be included in the value-based payment program when such measures become available.					
	HHSC will continue the internal workgroup focusing on coordination and streamlining efforts required by SB 200 (Sunset Bill).					
	HHSC has incorporated contract provisions requiring MCOs to move down the path of value-based contracting with providers. Each MCO submits to HHSC an annual inventory of their value-based contracting initiatives with providers. This effort is further reinforced during quarterly one-on-one web-based meetings with MCOs where value-based payments are a standing agenda item. MCOs are also strongly encouraged to seek ways to evaluate and, if feasible, integrate high-value DSRIP projects into their networks. Based on the MCO deliverables and through HHSC discussions with MCOs, there are observable increases in the numbers of providers who are being paid via such value (quality) based					

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	contracting arrangements. HHSC has observed MCOs often tend to adopt HHSC's Pay-for-Quality Program measures for their value-based contracting with providers.
	HHSC is continuing to work with the MCOs to encourage the use of value-based purchasing, and additional information will be reported in response to recommendation 23. The value based purchasing (VBP) summary document for 2015 will be posted on the VBP webpage <a href="http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/hhsc_projects/ECI/Value-Based-Payments.shtml">http://www.hhsc.state.tx.us/hhsc_projects/ECI/Value-Based-Payments.shtml</a> .
<b>Date Last Updated:</b>	7/1/2016

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	U	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS		Under Consideration:	Number:	69	
gj	Department	2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	No Action to be Taken:	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	1		In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Require DMOs to u	pdate their network	rosters.			
	The DMOs need to clean up their network rosters. This includes the "Find a Dentist" roster that is accessed by clients and the "Referring Dentist" roster that is accessed by main dentists needing to refer a client to a dental specialist. For each DMO, the rosters are a bloated confusing mess of dentist providers' contact information. Regarding the referring dentist roster, some provider dentists are listed upwards of 20 times at the same location/multiple locations while other dentists are listed only once at one location. Regarding the find a dentist roster, certain dentist providers are listed as a main dentist for locations in which it is logistically improbable for them to practice as a main dentist. Meaning, for example, that a dentist provider lives in Houston, but is shown in the roster as a main dentist for dental practices in Laredo, Mt Pleasant, El Paso, etc. The DMOs report that they have limited providers to four entries on the find a dentist roster, but that remains suspect. HHSC must require the DMOs to maintain accurate network rosters.					
Additional Stakeholder	that remains suspec	t. 11115C must requi	te the Divios to maintain accurate ne	twork fosters.		
Background:						
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care				
Provided By:	Texas Dental Associ	ciation				
HHSC Response:	HHSC conducts provider directory verification for the DMOs on a quarterly basis to identify inaccurate directory listings. HHSC may review DMO directory listings and request additional information from DMOs regarding credentialing practices and network adequacy as needed. HHSC is implementing additional standards for network adequacy as part of SB 760.  The SB 760 workgroup is currently developing critical elements for the MCO online provider directories for inclusion in the UMCM. HHSC solicited stakeholder comments on Provider Directory Standards, including a Stakeholder Forum on 11/30/2015. These comments were incorporated into draft Provider Directory Standards released for additional comment in May 2016. The updated MCO Provider Directory standards will include new requirements for both print and online versions of MCO Provider Directories.					
	Additional feedback was requested and received during the subsequent SB760 Stakeholder Forum held on 06/06/2016. HHSC will incorporate the additional comments into revised MCO Provider Directory standards. After the revisions have been added, the new draft of the Provider Directory standards will be provided to the S.B. 760 workgroup for agreement prior to submission through the HHSC UMCM amendment process.					
			mplaints and examples of inaccurate to the HHSC Ombudsman (clients			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

	1
	HHSC Ombudsman
	Phone: 1-866-566-8989
	Online: https://hhs.texas.gov/ombudsman
	HHSC HPM
	Email: HPM_complaints@hhsc.state.tx.us
Date Last Updated:	3/10/2017

	350	Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed / Ongoing	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	HHSC held Stakeholder Forum at which input was received regarding new MCO Provider Directory standards.	11/30/2015	Completed	
2	HHSC held another Stakeholder Forum at which additional input was received regarding draft MCO Provider Directory standards.	6/6/2016	Completed	
3	Incorporate additional recommendations from June 2016 Stakeholder Forum into draft MCO Provider Directory standards.	8/15/2016	Completed	
4	Obtain SB 760 workgroup agreement on the draft provider directory standards prior to submitting the new critical elements through the UMCM amendment process.	9/1/2016	Completed	
5	Submit HHSC new critical elements for MCO Provider Directories through UMCM amendment process.	10/1/2016	Completed	

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	72/75				
			No Action to be Taken:						
			In Progress:						
			Complete: X						
			Other:						
Recommendation:		Medical decisions should be made by trained medical providers who actually treat the person rather than by reading a written record or having a record reviewed by person from an unrelated medical discipline.							
	needs rather than b  • If the person and emergencies. Parer allowed to use a w  • Both an informal disagree with a dec  • Parents of childre willing specialist a  • Reductions and d	<ul> <li>Long term supports and services authorizations should be made by persons who know the person and his/her support needs rather than by reading a written record.</li> <li>If the person and the managed care system disagree with a decision, ensure a timely process to accommodate emergencies. Parents of children with special health care needs and adults with complex, chronic medical needs should be allowed to use a willing specialist as a primary care provider.</li> <li>Both an informal independent and a formal external process is available if the person and the managed care system disagree with a decision, with a timely process to accommodate emergencies.</li> <li>Parents of children with special health care needs and adults with complex, chronic medical needs may decide to use a willing specialist as a primary care provider.</li> <li>Reductions and denials in covered services by managed care companies, such as reductions in attendant service hours authorized, should be tracked and aggregated data should be available quarterly to HHSC and the public by health plan,</li> </ul>							
Additional Stakeholder Background:	by contract area an	d by type of service							
Category:	Service Coordinati	on / Member Assist	ance						
Provided By:	EveryChild, Inc./ 7	exas Council for D	evelopmental Disabilities/ The Arc of	f Texas/ Disability Rig	thts Texas				
HHSC Response:	needs, prior to auth submits a prior aut services are reduce liquidated damages	HHSC STAR+PLUS and STAR Kids contracts require service coordinators to meet with members when assessing LTSS needs, prior to authorizing services. Prior authorizations are not required for emergency services and, when a provider submits a prior authorization request for non-emergency services, the MCO must respond within 72 hours. If a member's services are reduced or denied, the member (or their provider) may appeal. HHSC tracks appeals, grievances, and assesses liquidated damages against MCOs that do not meet the state's requirements related to timeframes. HHSC reports appeals and grievances related to STAR+PLUS in regular stakeholder meetings.							
	Health Steps exam specialists serve as STAR Kids, all me	HHSC allows specialists to be PCPs so long as they agree to fulfill the requirements of a PCP, which include the Texas Health Steps exams for children and young adults. Currently, members with special health care needs may have specialists serve as their PCPs in accordance with UMCC Section 8.1.4.2, "Primary Care Providers." In STAR+PLUS and STAR Kids, all members are considered members with special healthcare needs.							
Date Last Updated:	6/22/2016								

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
		•	/ Ongoing	date.
1	NA			

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	74f	
	Department		No Action to be Taken:			
			In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Ensuring data regar	ding network adequ	acy is publicly disclosed and requiri	ng MCOs to report pul	blicly on the impact of	
	their provider netwo	orks on access to car	e.			
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care				
Provided By:	EveryChild, Inc./ Texas Council for Developmental Disabilities/The Arc of Texas					
HHSC Response:	SB 760 requires HHSC to submit to the Legislature and make public a biennial report containing information on Medicaid					
	members' access to healthcare services in managed care.					
Date Last Updated:	3/13/2017					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date		If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	Internal completion of report; begin routing through internal processes.	9/15/2016	Completed	
2	Complete and publish report on MCO compliance with established network adequacy requirements.	12/1/2016	Completed	

Agency/Division:	HHSC FSD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	74h	
			No Action to be Taken:			
			In Progress:			
			Complete:			
			Other: X			
			This recommendation is			
			addressed through an existing			
			process. See details below.			
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Medicaid reimburse	ement rates for provi	ders need to be appropriate to pay for	or services provided to	people with disabilities.	
Additional Stakeholder	Some people with o	lisabilities may requ	ire more resources and longer visits	to provide quality care	and providers need to	
<b>Background:</b>	be reimbursed to re	flect the additional t	ime and resources needed.			
Category:	Rates					
Provided By:	EveryChild, Inc. / 7	Texas Council for De	evelopmental Disabilities / The Arc	of Texas		
HHSC Response:	Rate increases are of	contingent on legisla	tive appropriations. HHSC regularly	requests increased fur	nding to address rates	
	where it deems inci	reases are necessary.				
	HHS agencies are currently preparing legislative appropriations requests for the FY18-19 biennium including exceptional					
	items. Stakeholders	will have an opport	unity to provide input and recommen	ndations through that p	process.	
<b>Date Last Updated:</b>	4/11/2016					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	N/A		

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	741		
			No Action to be Taken: X				
			In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Allow for members	s to access out-of-net	work providers without prior author	ization if there is not a	provider within 30		
	minutes or 10 mile	s from their home an	d/or if a request from a service coord	dinator does not get a	response within 24		
	hours.						
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care					
Provided By:	EveryChild, Inc./ T	exas Council for De	velopmental Disabilities/ The Arc of	Texas			
HHSC Response:			uire HHSC to establish minimum ac	cess standards, includ	ing time and distance,		
	for MCO provider	networks for certain	provider types.				
	CMS new federal regulations regarding Medicaid and CHIP managed care requirements were finalized in May 2016. The						
			distance standards, but rather left it u				
	_	2 2	standards as part of the SB 760 wor	kgroup, but does not h	have any plans to require		
	out-of-network acc	ess without prior aut	horization.				
	Today, if MCOs cannot provide medically necessary covered services through network providers, the MCO must, upon						
	_	•	a referral to a non-network physicia	an or provider. The M	CO may require a prior		
	authorization for th	e service.					
Date Last Updated:	6/20/2016						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	Completed	1 , ,
1	NA		/ Ongoing	date.

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	77	
			No Action to be Taken: X			
			In Progress:			
			Complete:			
			Other:			
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Payment that is equ	Payment that is equal to the published state benefit for all MCOs.				
Additional Stakeholder						
<b>Background:</b>						
Category:	Rates	Rates				
Provided By:	Outpatient Independent Rehabilitation Association					
HHSC Response:	HHSC currently does not set rates for services reimbursed by MCOs. MCOs are delegated the responsibility of managing					
	a provider network and setting rates.					
<b>Date Last Updated:</b>	4/11/2016					

_	<u> </u>	1 \		<u> </u>	1 1 1/
			Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
		Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
				/ Ongoing	date.
Ī	1	N/A			

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	78	
			No Action to be Taken:			
			In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
<b>Recommendation:</b>	When Star Kids is	effective 9/1/2016, w	what will be the procedure for allowing	ng providers to enroll	in the contracted	
	network?					
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care				
Provided By:	Outpatient Indepen	dent Rehabilitation A	Association			
HHSC Response:	When STAR Kids	is implemented on 1	1/1/2016, the program will follow al	l procedures as other c	arve-ins. HHSC will	
	require MCOs to re	cruit and offer contr	acts to significant traditional provide	ers (STPs) who have b	een delivering benefits	
	to individuals who will be served in STAR Kids.					
	As in previous managed care expansions, STAR Kids MCOs are required to offer contracts to STPs who have been					
	actively serving chi	ldren and young adu	ilts eligible for the STAR Kids progr	am.		
<b>Date Last Updated:</b>	4/11/2016	·				

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	 If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA		

Agency/Division:	HHSC-MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	82		
			No Action to be Taken: X				
			In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Change the timefra	me when a member	can switch plans from 30 to 90 days.	•			
			witch plans: Currently members can				
			ry 90 days. When a change occurs, pr				
	_		d a new PA. Members are not aware	of the potential conse	equences of the change		
	<u> </u>	their current and fut					
Additional Stakeholder			ler meeting with Executive Commiss	sioner Traylor, Mr. Jei	remy Crabb, Texas		
Background:			following additional information:				
	Mr. Jeremy Crabb stated that after discussing this in the previous meeting, his organization went back and researched the						
	patient population to identify where the switches occurred. In the last 90 days, 3 percent switched back to MCOs, 30						
	_	vitched two or more	times. Half of that population is elig	gible for STAR Kids.			
Category:	Continuity of Care						
Provided By:	Texas Rehab Provi	ders Council					
HHSC Response:	HHSC must follow	federal regulations	and state law with respect to Medica	id members' ability to	change plans. Federal		
	regulation requires	HHSC to let membe	ers change plans at any time for speci	ific reasons. Review o	f data has shown that		
	the majority of men	nbers who change pl	lans are doing so for reasons allowed	l by federal regulation			
Date Last Updated:	4/11/2016						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	0	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:	HHSC Financial	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	84 / 86			
rigency/21/18/01/	Services	Statust	No Action to be Taken:	1101115011				
			In Progress:					
			Complete:					
			Other: X					
			This recommendation is					
			addressed through an existing					
			process. See details below.					
Recommendation:	Ensure that provide	er payments, includii	ng direct service professionals/attend	ants, are sufficient to	support service delivery			
		ch as expansion of n			, and a second s			
Additional Stakeholder			ls - Ensure that provider payments, in	ncluding direct service				
Background:			to support service delivery transform					
			es and the impact of rates on timelin					
			t and retention of attendant/direct sup					
			ble events such as hospital or long ter					
			ted; trends and quality improvements					
			fits across settings within Medicaid i					
			ons to improve rates when gaps in acc					
	•	across settings are id			11			
		_	d and compensated to meet the needs	s of individuals with c	omplex behavior and			
			d elsewhere versus being the lowest					
			pay for services provided to people v					
			visits to provide quality care and pro					
	additional time and	resources needed.						
Category:	Rates							
Provided By:	Disability Rights T	exas / EveryChild, I	nc. / Texas Council for Development	tal Disabilities / The A	arc of Texas			
HHSC Response:			tive appropriations. HHSC regularly					
			HHS agencies are currently preparin					
	FY18-19 biennium	including exception	al items. Stakeholders will have an o	pportunity to provide	input and			
	recommendations t	recommendations through that process.						
Date Last Updated:	4/11/2016							

	, rajo	rajor ranestones with status e paties. (rad additional times as needed to detail each inajor innestones do not need to be completed sequentian).)					
			Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in		
		Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted		
				/ Ongoing	date.		
Ī	1	N/A					

Agency/Division:	HHSC Financial Services	Status:	Under Consideration: No Action to be Taken:	Number:	87
			In Progress:		
			Complete: X		
D	In annual and	to access of abo	Other:	ible contrologations (I	ADCa) analy as HIDs to
Recommendation:			rsicians acquiring long-acting reversito help reduce Texas' rate of unplant		ARCs), such as IODs, to
Additional Stakeholder					
Background:					
Category:	Rates				
Provided By:	TMA / TPS				
HHSC Response:			ites are reviewed every two years. Ra		
			ovider costs. Practitioners also have		
	and have the LARC shipped to the practitioner's office; this option eliminates any cost to the provider relating to the actual LARC.				
	HHSC has reviewed this issue, and will now review LARC rates every year. The review of LARCs will be presented				
	annually in the November public rate hearing with an effective date of January 1, starting with November 2016.				
Date Last Updated:	6/24/2016	<u>-</u>			

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	0	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division/Department:	HHSC MSS MCS   Status:		Under Consideration:	Number:	91
	Department		No Action to be Taken:		
			In Progress:		
			Complete:		
			Other: X		
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Allow for a commu	nity-based, outside p	party, like a local authority, to contra	ct with an MCO to pr	ovide acute care service
	coordination.				
Additional Stakeholder		_			
Background:					

Improving Member and Provider Experience in Medicaid Managed Care

Category:	Service Coordination / Member Assistance				
Provided By:	EveryChild, Inc., Texas Council for Developmental Disabilities, The Arc of Texas				
HHSC Response:	This option is available under STAR Kids through an integrated health home contracted with the MCO beginning 11/1/16. STAR Kids MCOs may allow a member to receive service coordination through an integrated health home if the individual providing service coordination and the service coordination structure meet STAR Kids program requirements. The MCO must reimburse a health home that provides service coordination to its members through an enhanced rate structure, a per-member-per-month fee, or other reasonable methodology agreed to between the MCO and health home. This is outlined in Attachment B-1, Section 8.1.38.7 of the STAR Kids contract.				
Date Last Updated:	HHSC's contract with STAR+PLUS MCOs allows MCOs to employ this model of service coordination, although it is not as explicit as the STAR Kids Contract. HHSC will evaluate the effectiveness and feasibility of this model in STAR Kids and determine whether explicit direction to STAR+PLUS MCOs is appropriate.  03/12/2017				

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	Implement STAR Kids	11/1/16	Completed	
2	Evaluate the use, effectiveness, and outcomes of third party service coordination in STAR Kids	12/1/2017	On Target	Managed care contracts allow MCOs to contract care coordination to health homes. HHSC will continue to evaluate the efficacy of health homes in all programs and make systematic improvements based on the evaluation.
3	Determine if appropriate and necessary to make changes to the STAR+PLUS contract	3/1/2018	On Target	

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	94		
g v			No Action to be Taken:				
			In Progress:				
			Complete: X				
			Other:				
Recommendation:			s, families and LTSS providers regar				
	and delay access to services, streamlining such as appropriate via a combination of ongoing workgroups and at least						
	annual feedback from	om stakeholders.					
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Stakeholder engage	ement and feedback					
Provided By:	PPAT						
HHSC Response:	HHSC appreciates the ongoing commitment of our stakeholders to provide meaningful feedback on the Medicaid program. We will continue to look for ways to strengthen our communication with members, advocates, providers, and MCOs. HHSC has initiated a new Medicaid and CHIP stakeholder forum as an opportunity to learn about changes to policy that impact the many individuals served by Medicaid and CHIP. The first of these all-inclusive stakeholder meetings will be held on July 26, 2016, 1:00 - 5:00 p.m.  Through our advisory committees, individuals with disabilities are given opportunities to serve and express their concerns regarding the quality of care received. Several advisory committees are in the process of identifying members as a result of the Executive Commissioner's decisions to reestablish the Texas Council on Consumer Direction and the State Medicaid Managed Care Advisory Committee. These committees—in addition to the Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (IDD) System Redesign Advisory Committee (SRAC), the BHIAC, Medical Care Advisory Committee, and the STAR Kids Advisory Committee—provide a forum for stakeholder input on policies impacting the delivery of Medicaid managed care services.						
	Using the forums described above, HHSC will continue to consider feedback from families, individuals with disabilities receiving services, and LTSS providers on a number of policies, including ways to alleviate burdensome processes. HHSC will actively seek feedback by adding topics to current appropriate stakeholder forum agendas.						
Date Last Updated:	6/24/2016						

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	96		
			No Action to be Taken: X				
			In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Regularly schedule	d meetings of LTSS	IDD providers, MCOs, and Local Ir	ntellectual and Develop	omental Disability		
	Authorities (LIDDA	As) should be held at	the local level.				
Additional Stakeholder							
<b>Background:</b>							
Category:	Network Adequacy	/ Access to Care					
Provided By:	PPAT						
HHSC Response:	The IDD SRAC rec	ommended MCOs,	LIDDAs, and the LTSS Department	of Aging and Disabili	ty Services (DADS)		
	waiver providers m	eet routinely through	regional healthcare collaborations	to address operational	issues and specific case		
	issues. Regional he	althcare collaboratio	n meetings may assist in resolving d	ay-to-day operational	challenges as the		
	MCOs, LIDDAs, an	nd providers have an	opportunity to work through specifi	ic cases.			
	One LIDDA, Texana, has used a regional collaborative to problem-solve issues around implementation of Community						
	First Choice .The collaborative was so successful they intend to continue to meet to problem solve other issues. HHSC						
	encourages problem solving and collaboration at a local level.						
Date Last Updated:	June 22, 2016			<u> </u>			

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	Completion Date	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:	HHSC MCD	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	99	
			No Action to be Taken:			
			In Progress:			
			Complete: X			
			Other:			
Recommendation:	Hold stakeholder n	neetings with HHSC	and MCOs to specifically discuss is	sues with MCOs on a	quarterly basis to	
	increase the transpa	arency of MCO oper	rations.			
Additional Stakeholder						
Background:						
Category:	Stakeholder engage	ement and feedback				
Provided By:	Outpatient Indepen	dent Rehabilitation	Association			
HHSC Response:	Though some of th	e MCOs conduct the	eir own forums with stakeholders on	a regular basis, the sug	ggestion for a more	
	inclusive forum that	nt includes HHSC sta	aff as well as MCO representatives is	s appreciated and will l	be taken under	
	consideration.					
			work closely with the MCOs and va			
			aid Managed Care Advisory Commit			
			sage of SB 200, 84th Legislature. HI	ISC plans to use the S	MMAC to work with	
	stakeholders and M	ICOs.				
			continue to hold the IDD Managed			
		iost regular STAR K	ids stakeholder meetings. These mee	etings include stakehol	ders, MCOs, and HHSC	
	and DADS staff.					
	T 1111 THY CO	4	M. P. J. LOWER J. L. L. C.			
	In addition, HHSC has initiated a new Medicaid and CHIP stakeholder forum as an opportunity to learn about changes to					
	policy that impact the many individuals served by Medicaid and CHIP. The first of these all-inclusive stakeholder					
		eld on July 26, 2016.	, 1:00 - 5:00 p.m.			
Date Last Updated:	6/24/2016					

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	O	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:			Under Consideration:	Number:	102		
			No Action to be Taken: X				
			In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other:				
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Move non-emergen	cy ambulance transp	portation out of the Managed Care Sy	ystem and under the o	versight of HHSC.		
			here are numerous ways that transpo				
			ourcing it to numerous transportation				
	ambulance providers that provide non-emergency transportation are experiencing an enormous administrative burden						
	regarding plan eligibility, plan requirements and claim submission requirements.						
Additional Stakeholder							
Background:							
Category:	Contract Provisions	3					
Provided By:	Acadian Ambulance Service of Texas						
HHSC Response:	HHSC does not plan to carve-out ambulance services from Medicaid managed care. However, HHSC is currently						
	exploring options to streamline non-emergency ambulance transportation and will continue to work with stakeholders.						
<b>Date Last Updated:</b>	7/1/2016	<u>-</u>		·			

		Targeted	On Target /	If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in
	Milestone	<b>Completion Date</b>	Completed	achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted
			/ Ongoing	date.
1	NA			

Agency/Division:	HHSC Financial	Status:	Under Consideration:	Number:	105		
	Services		No Action to be Taken:				
			In Progress:				
			Complete:				
			Other: X				
			This recommendation is				
			addressed through an existing				
			process. See details below.				
<b>Recommendation:</b>	Raise the current ba	ase HCBS rate for co	ommunity attendants.				
Additional Stakeholder	The current base H	CBS rate for Commi	unity Attendants is \$7.86. On Septen	nber 1, 2015 the base	rate will increase \$.14		
Background:			t 18 months had engaged in a \$10 Ca				
	for Community Attendants during the 84th Legislative Session. The outcome of only a \$.14 increase to \$8 for workers in						
	HCBS programs was disappointing.						
Category:	Rates						
Provided By:	ADAPT Texas						
HHSC Response:	Rate increases are o	contingent on legisla	tive appropriations. HHSC regularly	requests increased fur	nding to address rates		
	where it deems increases are necessary.						
	HHS agencies are currently preparing legislative appropriations requests for the FY18-19 biennium including exceptional						
	items. Stakeholders will have an opportunity to provide input and recommendations through that process.						
Date Last Updated:	4/11/2016						

	Milestone	Targeted Completion Date	 If not on target, explain variance(s)/challenge(s) in achieving successful milestone completion by the targeted date.
1	N/A		