Platelet Aggregation Inhibitors Therapeutic Class Review (TCR)

October 4, 2017

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, digital scanning, or via any information storage or retrieval system without the express written consent of Magellan Rx Management.

All requests for permission should be mailed to:

Magellan Rx Management
Attention: Legal Department
6950 Columbia Gateway Drive
Columbia, Maryland 21046

The materials contained herein represent the opinions of the collective authors and editors and should not be construed to be the official representation of any professional organization or group, any state Pharmacy and Therapeutics committee, any state Medicaid Agency, or any other clinical committee. This material is not intended to be relied upon as medical advice for specific medical cases and nothing contained herein should be relied upon by any patient, medical professional or layperson seeking information about a specific course of treatment for a specific medical condition. All readers of this material are responsible for independently obtaining medical advice and guidance from their own physician and/or other medical professional in regard to the best course of treatment for their specific medical condition. This publication, inclusive of all forms contained herein, is intended to be educational in nature and is intended to be used for informational purposes only. Send comments and suggestions to PSTCREditor@magellanhealth.com.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

Magellan Rx MANAGEMENT℠

FDA-APPROVED INDICATIONS

Drug	Manufacturer	FDA-Approved Indication(s)
aspirin ER (Durlaza®)*[1]	New Haven	▪ Risk reduction of myocardial infarction (MI) and death in patients with chronic coronary artery disease, such as patients with a history of MI, unstable angina, or chronic stable angina ▪ Risk reduction of recurrent stroke and death in patients who have had an ischemia stroke or transient ischemic attack
aspirin/dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox®)[2]	generic, Boehringer-Ingelheim	▪ Risk reduction of stroke in patients who have had transient ischemia of the brain or completed ischemic thrombotic stroke due to thrombosis
aspirin/omeprazole DR (Yosprala™)[3]	Aralez	▪ For patients who require aspirin for secondary prevention of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events and who are at risk of developing aspirin-associated gastric ulcers – The aspirin component is indicated for reducing the combined risk of death and nonfatal stroke in patients who have had ischemic stroke or transient ischemia of the brain due to fibrin platelet emboli; reducing the combined risk of death and nonfatal MI in patients with a previous MI or unstable angina pectoris; reducing the combined risk of MI and sudden death in patients with chronic stable angina pectoris; and use in patients who have undergone revascularization procedures when there is a pre-existing condition for which aspirin is already indicated. – The omeprazole component is indicated for decreasing the risk of developing aspirin-associated gastric ulcers in patients at risk for developing aspirin-associated gastric ulcers due to age (≥ 55 years) or documented history of gastric ulcers
clopidogrel (Plavix®)[4]	generic, Bristol-Myers Squibb	▪ To reduce MI and stroke in patients with recent MI, recent stroke, or established peripheral artery disease (PAD) ▪ Acute coronary syndrome (ACS): – To reduce MI and stroke in non-ST-segment elevation ACS (unstable angina [UA] or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction [NSTEMI]) – To reduce MI and stroke in ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI)
dipyridamole†[5]	generic,	▪ Adjunctive therapy to coumarin anticoagulants in the prevention of postoperative thromboembolic complications of cardiac valve replacement

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

FDA-Approved Indications (continued)

Drug	Manufacturer	FDA-Approved Indication(s)
prasugrel (Effient®)[6]	generic, Eli Lilly	▪ Reduction of thrombotic CV events (including stent thrombosis) in patients with ACS who are to be managed with PCI as follows: patients with UA or NSTEMI, or patients with STEMI when managed with either primary or delayed PCI
ticagrelor (Brilinta®)[7]	AstraZeneca	▪ Reduction in CV death, MI, and stroke in patients with ACS or a history of MI (shown to be superior to clopidogrel for at least the first 12 months following ACS) ▪ Reduces the rate of stent thrombosis in patients who have been stented for treatment of ACS
ticlopidine[8]	generic	▪ Secondary prevention of thrombotic stroke (fatal or nonfatal); second-line therapy ▪ Adjunct to aspirin to reduce the incidence of subacute stent thrombosis for patients undergoing coronary stent placement
vorapaxar (Zontivity®)[9]	Merck, Aralez	▪ Reduction of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with a history of MI or PAD; it has been shown to reduce the rate of the combined endpoint of cardiovascular death, MI, stroke, and urgent cardiovascular revascularization

* Limitation of use: should not be used in situations where a rapid onset of action is required (such as acute treatment of MI or prior to PCI

† Boehringer Ingelheim is permanently discontinuing Persantine and its authorized generic. Supplies are expected to be exhausted in May 2016 for the brand and August 2017 for the authorized generic. Generic dipyridamole is available from other manufacturers.

Immediate release aspirin is available over the counter and used for secondary prevention of myocardial infarction (MI), stable and unstable angina, including coronary artery disease (CAD), arterial thromboembolism prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic heart valves in combination with warfarin, secondary prevention of stroke/transient ischemic attack (TIA), and acute treatment of stroke in patients not eligible for thrombolysis.

OVERVIEW

The 2017 Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics update cites cardiovascular (CV) disease as the cause of 30.8% of all deaths in the United States (U.S.) in 2014; however, death rates attributable to CV disease have decreased by 25.3% in the 10-year period from 2004 to 2014.[10] Stroke also causes significant morbidity and mortality in the U.S. and is the fifth leading cause of death behind heart disease, cancer, chronic lower respiratory disease, and accidents.[11] Inhibitory effects on platelet aggregation have led to a significant decrease in the rate of vascular events for both primary and secondary CV prevention trials.[12,13] Aspirin has been shown to reduce CV morbidity and mortality in both primary and secondary prevention trials.[14,15,16,17,18]

Studies have identified inter-patient variability of response to the antiplatelet agents. A small percentage of patients with CV disease have aspirin resistance and, therefore, may be at higher risk for CV events.[19] The definition of aspirin resistance is quite variable in the literature and has been described as the failure to prevent a thrombotic event, the inability to inhibit platelet thromboxane formation, or the inability to cause prolongation of bleeding time. Guidelines for the management of aspirin resistance have not been developed. It is unknown if aspirin resistance can be overcome by

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

increasing the dose of aspirin or adding another agent.[20,21,22,23,24,25,26] More high quality clinical trials evaluating aspirin resistance are needed. An extended-release formulation of aspirin (Durlaza) was approved in 2015. FDA approval was based on efficacy results associated with immediate-release aspirin.

Other antithrombotic drugs have been developed to improve the platelet aggregation inhibition and to improve the safety profile of platelet aggregation inhibitor therapy. Clopidogrel (Plavix), aspirin/dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox), and ticlopidine are platelet aggregation inhibitors and are useful in the treatment and prevention of CV and cerebrovascular thrombotic events. Prasugrel (Effient) has shown better efficacy compared to clopidogrel in preventing myocardial infarction (MI) and stent thrombosis in ACS patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).[27] While long-term safety information is still limited with the use of prasugrel, it has been associated with significantly more major bleeding episodes when compared to clopidogrel-treated patients.[28] Studies have shown ticagrelor (Brilinta) to be favored over clopidogrel in preventing deaths from CV causes, MI, or stroke.[29]

Variability in responsiveness to clopidogrel has also been documented.[30,31,32,33] Inconsistencies in response to clopidogrel may be due to pre-existing variability in platelet response to adenosine diphosphate (ADP), genetic variability (polymorphisms in the hepatic enzymes [e.g., CYP2C19] involved in clopidogrel metabolism, or within the platelet $P2Y_{12}$ receptor), or drug interactions (e.g., proton pump inhibitors).[34,35,36,37,38,39] An association between a CYP2C19 variant and recurrent thrombotic coronary events in patients taking clopidogrel has been reported.[40,41,42,43] Unpredictability in response to thienopyridines has led to the development of point-of-care devices to assess ADP induced platelet aggregation.[44] Currently, recommendations are not in place regarding the use of these devices. In addition, dual aspirin and clopidogrel non-responsiveness has been documented and linked to patients at very high risk of drug-eluting stent thrombosis or death.[45]

The role of the agents included in this review in specific cardiovascular conditions is discussed below.

Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease

The 2016 U.S. Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF) updated the recommendations for aspirin for the primary prevention of CV disease and colorectal cancer.[46] They recommend low-dose aspirin use in adults aged 50 to 59 years who meet the following requirements: ≥ 10% 10-year CV disease risk, no increased risk of bleed, a life-expectancy of ≥ 10 years, and willingness to take aspirin daily for ≥ 10 years (Grade B). For those aged 60 to 69 years and a 10-year CV disease risk ≥ 10%, the decision to use daily low-dose aspirin should be individualized. Those most likely to benefit are those meeting the criteria described above (Grade C). Data are inconclusive for the benefit of daily low-dose aspirin in patients younger than 50 years and 70 years or older.

The 2012 American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) evidence-based practice guidelines state that aspirin therapy slightly reduces total mortality, regardless of CV risk profile, if taken over 10 years. In people at moderate to high risk of CV events, the reduction in MI is closely balanced with an increase in major bleeds.[47] For primary prevention in persons aged 50 years or older without symptomatic CV disease, ACCP suggests aspirin low-dose (75 to 100 mg daily; Grade 2B). However, FDA guidance from May 2014 states that aspirin should not be used for primary prevention of CV disease or stroke which contradicts the ACCP and USPSTF guidelines.[48]

The 2014 American Heart Association/American Stroke Association (AHA/ASA) Guidelines for the Primary Prevention of Stroke address this contradiction.[49] While these guidelines state that aspirin is

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

not useful for preventing a first stroke in low-risk individuals, the use of aspirin for cardiovascular prophylaxis (including but not limited to stroke) is reasonable for people whose risk is sufficiently high (10-year risk exceeding 10%) for the benefits to outweigh the risks associated with treatment (update in progress).[50]

Stroke and Transient Ischemia Attack (TIA) Treatment and Prevention

The 2012 ACCP evidence-based practice guidelines recommend early (within 48 hour of stroke onset) aspirin therapy (initial dose 160 mg to 325 mg) for acute ischemic stroke or TIA (Grade 1A).[51] For long-term stroke prevention in patients who have experienced a noncardioembolic stroke or TIA, aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily), aspirin/dipyridamole ER (25 mg/200 mg twice daily), clopidogrel (75 mg daily), or cilostazol (Pletal®) are all acceptable options for initial therapy (Grade 1A). In these patients, clopidogrel or aspirin/dipyridamole ER are recommended over aspirin or cilostazol (Grade 2B-2C).

The AHA/ASA guidelines for the early management of stroke recommend an initial dose of 325 mg aspirin within 24 to 48 hours after stroke onset (Class I recommendation, Level of Evidence A), but not prior to 24 hours.[52] The 2014 AHA/ASA Stroke and TIA guidelines state that aspirin 50 to 325 mg daily monotherapy (Class I recommendation, Level of Evidence A) or aspirin/dipyridamole ER 25 mg/200 mg twice daily (Class I, Level of Evidence B) are indicated as initial therapy for TIA or ischemic stroke for prevention of future stroke.[53] Clopidogrel 75 mg daily monotherapy is a reasonable option for secondary prevention of stroke in place of aspirin or aspirin/dipyridamole ER, especially for patients allergic to aspirin (Class IIa, Level of Evidence B). The combination of aspirin and clopidogrel might be considered within 24 hours of a minor ischemic stroke or TIA and continued for 90 days (Class IIb, Level of Evidence B). The addition of aspirin to clopidogrel increases the risk of hemorrhage and is not recommended for routine secondary prevention after ischemic stroke or TIA (Class III; Level of Evidence A). For patients who have an ischemic stroke while taking aspirin, there is no evidence that increasing the dose of aspirin provides additional benefit. Although alternative antiplatelet agents are often considered, no single agent or combination has been studied in patients who have had an event while receiving aspirin (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C).

Aspirin may play a role in the primary prevention of stroke in select patients, such as those with atrial fibrillation, after a risk assessment. As risk of stroke increases in patients with atrial fibrillation, an anticoagulant (e.g., warfarin or newer agent) is preferred over aspirin alone or no-treatment.[54,55]

AHA/ASA guidelines regarding stroke prevention in women recommend aspirin therapy (75 mg to 325 mg/day) in women with diabetes and women undergoing carotid endarterectomy.[56] Aspirin may also be appropriate for women ≥ 65 years (81 mg/day) to prevent ischemic stroke and myocardial infarction when benefits outweigh the risks of gastrointestinal bleeding and/or hemorrhagic stroke. Clopidogrel is recommended in high-risk patients (e.g., 10-year predicted risk ≥ 10%) intolerant to aspirin.

Acute Coronary Syndrome Treatment and Prevention

In 2014, the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the AHA released practice guidelines for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes (NSTE-ACS).[57] The majority of patients who present with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) have unstable angina (UA) or non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). Since the presentation of these conditions is similar, the ACC/AHA now refers to both as NSTE-ACS. The 2014 guideline recommends that non-enteric-coated, chewable aspirin (162 mg to 325 mg) should be given to all NSTE-ACS patients without

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.
Magellan Rx MANAGEMENT℠

contraindications as soon as possible after presentation, and a maintenance dose of aspirin (81 mg to 325 mg/day) should be continued indefinitely. For NSTE-ACS patients who are unable to take aspirin because of hypersensitivity or major gastrointestinal intolerance, a loading dose of clopidogrel is recommended followed by a daily maintenance dose.

Many of the guidelines related to ACS and related procedures (e.g., PCI, CABG) have been published individually. However, in 2016, the ACC/AHA published guidelines specifically regarding dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) in various CV conditions.[58] These supersede their recommendations in prior publications while unaddressed ACC/AHA guideline recommendations remain unchanged. In all cases where aspirin is used in DAPT, they continue to recommend 81 mg of aspirin daily as a maintenance dose (range, 75 mg to 100 mg) (Class I). Likewise, they recommend against the use of prasugrel in patients with a prior history of stroke or TIA (Class III). Patients on DAPT undergoing surgery should have aspirin continued, if possible, and the antiplatelet restarted as soon as possible after surgery if it must be discontinued for the surgery (Class I).

For patients with anterior MI and left ventricular (LV) thrombus, or at high risk for LV thrombus who do not undergo stenting, the 2012 ACCP guidelines recommend warfarin plus aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) for the first 3 months (Grade 1B) and DAPT with aspirin plus ticagrelor (Brilinta) or clopidogrel for up to 12 months, as per their ACS recommendation.[59] After 12 months, single antiplatelet therapy with aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) or clopidogrel is recommended as per the ACCP established CAD recommendation. Similar recommendations from the 2016 ACC/AHA DAPT guidelines apply for patients with any ACS who are managed with medical therapy alone and treated with DAPT.[60] Either clopidogrel or ticagrelor is recommended in combination with aspirin, and a duration shorter than 12 months is not recommended.

According to the 2013 American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF)/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with STEMI, a loading dose of a $P2Y_{12}$ platelet inhibitor is recommended for STEMI patients for whom PCI is planned in addition to aspirin (162 to 325 mg).[61] The guidelines recommend aspirin 162 to 325 mg before primary PCI (Level of Evidence B, Class I Recommendation); after PCI, aspirin should be continued indefinitely (Level A, Class I). The guidelines also recommend use of prasugrel and ticagrelor as alternatives to clopidogrel. These agents should be given as a loading dose as early as possible to patients with STEMI or at time of PCI. After the placement of a drug-eluting stent (DES) or bare-metal stent (BMS), they recommend DAPT with aspirin indefinitely and a $P2Y_{12}$ platelet inhibitor (clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor) for at least 12 months (Class I, Level of Evidence B). This was confirmed in the 2016 DAPT guidelines with the caveat that it is reasonable to use ticagrelor over clopidogrel for maintenance therapy (Class IIa).[62] In STEMI patients with a prior history of stroke and TIA for whom primary PCI is planned, prasugrel is not recommended as part of a dual-antiplatelet therapy regimen (Level of Evidence C).[63] The 2016 ACC/AHA DAPT guidelines state that STEMI patients treated with a fibrinolytic and DAPT should receive at least 14 days of clopidogrel, although 12 months of therapy is preferred (Class I) and a longer duration may be reasonable in select patients (Class IIb).[64]

The 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI PCI guidelines state that patients already on aspirin therapy should take 81 mg to 325 mg before PCI (Class I, Level of Evidence B), although guidelines specific for PCI in STEMI patients supersede this recommendation. Patients not on aspirin therapy should be given non-enteric aspirin 325 mg before PCI (Class I, Level of Evidence B).[65] After PCI, aspirin should be continued indefinitely (Class I, Level of Evidence A), and aspirin 81 mg daily is preferred to higher aspirin

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

maintenance doses (Class IIa, Level B).[66] $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor therapy (clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor) should be continued for at least 12 months in NSTE-ACS post-PCI patients treated with coronary stents (Class I), which was also confirmed in the 2016 DAPT recommendations for both STEMI and NSTE-ACS post-PCI.[67] Early discontinuation of DAPT greatly increases the risk of stent thrombosis, MI, and death, and poor adherence in post-DES patients within the first 30 days of therapy and has been shown to reduce the beneficial effects on mortality.[68,69] Specifically, the 2016 DAPT recommendations further state that in patients with ACS (STEMI or NSTE-ACS) treated with DAPT, it is reasonable to use ticagrelor over clopidogrel for maintenance therapy (Class IIa).[70] Likewise, in those without a high bleeding risk and without a history of stroke or TIA, it is reasonable to choose prasugrel over clopidogrel (IIa). Longer use may be reasonable in select patients who have tolerated DAPT therapy (Class IIb), and 6 months of therapy may be reasonable in those with DES and a high bleed risk (Class IIb).

Both clopidogrel and ticlopidine, with the addition of aspirin, are efficacious in reducing major cardiac adverse events following successful coronary stent implantation. However, clopidogrel may be better tolerated than ticlopidine and has a faster onset of action. Serious hematologic adverse effects limit the use of ticlopidine.[71,72,73,74] The 2014 ACC/AHA NSTE-ACS guidelines and the 2013 ACCF/AHA STEMI guidelines state the duration of triple antithrombotic therapy with a vitamin K antagonist, aspirin, and a $P2Y_{12}$ receptor inhibitor should be minimized as much as possible in order to limit the risk of bleeding. Additionally, both of these guidelines suggest lowering the therapeutic INR range to 2 to 2.5 for NSTE-ACS patients treated with triple antithrombotic therapy (Class IIb).[75,76]

The 2012 ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines recommend the combination of aspirin and ticagrelor, clopidogrel, or prasugrel for up to 12 months in patients who undergo stent placement following ACS (Grade 1B) with a preference of aspirin plus ticagrelor over aspirin plus clopidogrel (Grade 2B).[77] For patients undergoing elective PCI but no stenting, ACCP suggests aspirin 75 to 325 mg daily and clopidogrel for the first year and single antiplatelet therapy after 12 months (Grade 1A [month 1]; Grade 2C [months 2-12]; Grade 1B [> 1 year]). For secondary prevention in patients with established CAD, defined as 1-year post- ACS, with prior revascularization, coronary stenosis > 50%, and/or evidence for cardiac ischemia, ACCP recommends long-term low-dose aspirin or clopidogrel (Grade 1A).

The 2011 ACCF/AHA CABG guidelines state that, for elective CABG, clopidogrel and ticagrelor should be discontinued for at least 5 days before surgery (Class I, Level B) and prasugrel for at least 7 days before surgery (Class I, Level C).[78] In urgent CABG, clopidogrel and ticagrelor should be discontinued for at least 24 hours to reduce major bleeding complications (Class I, Level B). The 2016 DAPT guidelines state that in patients with ACS undergoing CABG and treated with DAPT, the $P2Y_{12}$ platelet inhibitor should be resumed postoperatively to complete 12 months of treatment (Class I).[79] The 2012 ACCP guidelines suggest that, in patients who are receiving dual antiplatelet drug therapy and require CABG surgery, aspirin should be continued around the time of surgery and clopidogrel/prasugrel should be interrupted 5 days before surgery (Grade 2C).[80]

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

The 2016 AHA/ACC DAPT guidelines also weighed in on the role of DAPT in patients with stable ischemic heart disease (SIHD).[81] In stented SIHD patients treated with DAPT, they state clopidogrel should be used for at least 1 month in those with a BMS and for at least 6 months in those with a DES (Class I). Longer use may be reasonable in select patients who have tolerated DAPT therapy (Class IIb). Likewise, 3 months of therapy may be reasonable in those with a DES and a high bleed risk (Class IIb). In patients undergoing CABG following stent implantation treated with DAPT, the antiplatelet should be resumed postoperatively until the recommended duration of therapy is completed (Class I). It also is reasonable to use DAPT for 12 months to improve vein graft patency in this population (Class IIb) and to continue DAPT in SIHD patients treated for an MI 1 to 3 years earlier if the therapy has been tolerated (Class IIb). DAPT therapy is not beneficial in SIHD patients without a history of ACS, stent implantation, or recent (< 12 months) CABG.

Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD)

The 2016 AHA/ACC guidelines state that aspirin alone 75 to 325 mg per day or clopidogrel alone (75 mg per day) is recommended to reduce the risk of MI, stroke, and vascular death in patients with symptomatic PAD (Class I, Level of Evidence B).[82] Data on the effectiveness of DAPT in PAD with both aspirin and clopidogrel are limited, but it may be considered in select high-risk patients. The addition of vorapaxar to existing antiplatelet therapy in symptomatic patients is uncertain.

For the primary prevention of CV events in patients with asymptomatic PAD, 2012 ACCP guidelines suggest aspirin 75 to 100 mg daily (Grade 2B).[83] However, the FDA's guidance from May 2014 discussed above states that aspirin should not be used for primary prevention of CV disease or stroke, which contradicts the ACCP's earlier guidance.[84] For secondary prevention in those with symptomatic PAD, ACCP recommends aspirin 75 to 100 mg daily or clopidogrel (Grade 1A). In patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis, ACCP recommends clopidogrel, aspirin/dipyridamole extended-release, or aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) (Grade 1A), with preference to either clopidogrel or aspirin/dipyridamole extended-release over aspirin (Grade 2B).

PHARMACOLOGY[85,86,87,88,89,90,91,92,93,94,95]

Aspirin (Durlaza, Yosprala) irreversibly inhibits platelet cyclooxygenase and, thus, inhibits the generation of thromboxane A2, a powerful inducer of platelet aggregation and vasoconstriction.

Dipyridamole increases intraplatelet cyclic-3',5'-adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) levels, a platelet inhibitor, by inhibiting cAMP degradation and uptake of adenosine into platelets, endothelial cells, and erythrocytes. Dipyridamole presumably inhibits adenosine deaminase, as well as phosphodiesterase, allowing levels of cAMP to remain increased.

Aspirin/dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox) provides 2 mechanisms of anti-aggregation effects on platelets by administration of aspirin and dipyridamole together.

Yosprala contains omeprazole in addition to aspirin to reduce the risk of aspirin-associated gastric ulcers. Omeprazole, a proton pump inhibitor (PPI), blocks the final step of gastric acid production.

Clopidogrel (Plavix) is metabolized by CYP450 enzymes to its active metabolite that selectively inhibits the binding of adenosine diphosphate (ADP) to platelet $P2Y_{12}$ receptors and the subsequent ADP-mediated activation of the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa complex. Activation of this complex leads to irreversible inhibition of platelet aggregation.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

Prasugrel (Effient), a thienopyridine $P2Y_{12}$ platelet inhibitor, is converted to an active metabolite by CYP3A4 and CYP2B6, which inhibits platelet action by irreversibly binding to the platelet ADP receptor.

Ticagrelor (Brilinta), a cyclopentyltriazolopyrimidine $P2Y_{12}$ platelet inhibitor, and its major metabolite reversibly inhibit the platelet $P2Y_{12}$ ADP-receptor and thereby prevent signal transduction and platelet activation and aggregation.

Ticlopidine interferes with platelet membrane function by inhibiting ADP-induced platelet-fibrinogen binding and subsequent platelet-platelet interactions. The effect on platelet function is irreversible for the life of the platelet.

Vorapaxar (Zontivity), a reversible antagonist of the protease-activated receptor-1 (PAR-1), inhibits thrombin-induced and thrombin receptor agonist peptide (TRAP)-induced platelet aggregation.

PHARMACOKINETICS[96,97,98,99,100,101,102,103,104]

Drug	Half-Life (hr)	Metabolites	Excretion (%)
aspirin (immediate release)*	0.33	metabolites	Renal: pH dependent Feces: varies
clopidogrel (Plavix)	6 – parent drug 8 – inactive metabolite	carboxylic acid derivative is inactive; parent is inactive	Renal: 50 Feces: 46
dipyridamole (Aggrenox)	13.6	monoglucuronide metabolite (low activity)	Renal: < 5 Feces: 95
dipyridamole	10	inactive glucuronide metabolite	Predominately feces
omeprazole (Yosprala)	1	hydroxyomeprazole (major) and other metabolites; minimal activity	Renal: 77 Feces: 23
prasugrel (Effient)	7 (2 to 15) – active metabolite	active and inactive metabolites	Renal: 68 Feces: 27
ticagrelor (Brilinta)	7 – parent drug 9 – active metabolite	active metabolite	Renal: 26 Feces: 58
ticlopidine	At steady state, 4 to 5 days	> 20 inactive metabolites	Renal: 60 Feces: 23
vorapaxar (Zontivity)	3 to 4 days	active metabolites	Renal: 25 Feces: 58

nr = not reported

*Extended-release aspirin (Durlaza) contains aspirin in encapsulated microparticles, delaying absorption. Once absorbed, the pharmacokinetics are similar to those of immediate release aspirin products. Time to maximum concentration with aspirin ER is delayed by approximately 1 hour compared to immediate release aspirin. The half-life of the aspirin component of Yosprala is 2.4 hours.

The pharmacokinetics of the individual agents of aspirin/dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox) are not affected by concurrent administration.

Clopidogrel is converted to its active form by the CYP2C19 enzyme. Clopidogrel active metabolite pharmacokinetics and antiplatelet effects differ according to CYP2C19 genotype. Patients with a CYP2C19 variant may be poor metabolizers of the drug and do not effectively convert clopidogrel to its active form, making clopidogrel less effect on platelets and less able to prevent MI, stroke, and CV death. The prevalence of CYP2C19 alleles that result in intermediate and poor CYP2C19 metabolism

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

differs according to race. Published frequencies for poor CYP2C19 metabolizer genotypes are approximately 2% for Caucasians, 2% for African Americans, and 14% for Chinese.[105] The *CYP2C19*2* and *CYP2C19*3* alleles account for 85% of reduced function alleles in Caucasians and 99% in Asians. The impact of CYP2C19 genotype on the pharmacokinetics of clopidogrel's active metabolite has been evaluated in several studies. Reduced CYP2C19 metabolism in intermediate and poor metabolizers decreased the maximum concentration (Cmax) and exposure (area under the curve [AUC]) of the active metabolite by 30% to 50% following 300 or 600 mg loading doses and 75 mg maintenance doses. The association between CYP2C19 genotype and clopidogrel treatment outcome was evaluated in *post-hoc* analyses and several cohort studies.[106,107,108,109,110,111,112] The results ranged from increased CV events in impaired metabolizers to no CV event rate difference in various genotypes. In addition, paraoxonase-1 (PON1) has been recently identified as a new enzyme for clopidogrel bioactivation, with the *Q19R* polymorphism determining both the rate of active metabolite and clopidogrel clinical activity.[113]

In March 2010, the FDA added a boxed warning to the label for clopidogrel regarding patients that are poor metabolizers of clopidogrel who may not receive the full benefits of the drug and issued a statement the following October.[114,115] The warning informs healthcare professionals of the availability of tests to identify genetic differences in CYP2C19 function and advises consideration of alternative antiplatelet medications or alternative dosing strategies for clopidogrel in patients identified as poor metabolizers. The FDA emphasized additional facts that may be a source of confusion among healthcare professionals: (1) with regard to the PPI drug class, this recommendation applies only to omeprazole and not to all PPIs as not all PPIs have the same inhibitory effect on the CYP2C19 enzyme that is crucial for conversion of clopidogrel into its active form; and (2) pantoprazole (Protonix) may be an alternative PPI for consideration since it is a weak inhibitor of CYP2C19 and has less effect on the pharmacological activity of clopidogrel than omeprazole. However, since this statement release, the clopidogrel label recommends against concomitant use of clopidogrel with omeprazole or esomeprazole.

In response to this warning, ACCF/AHA recommends that genetic testing may be considered before starting clopidogrel therapy in patients believed to be at moderate or high risk for poor outcomes (e.g., patients undergoing elective high-risk PCI procedures).[116,117] If such testing identifies a potential poor metabolizer, other therapies, particularly prasugrel (Effient) for coronary patients, should be considered. Higher loading doses (600 mg versus 300 mg), double-dose loading (600 mg twice over 2 hours), and higher maintenance doses of clopidogrel (150 mg daily) have been found to improve platelet inhibition and might be considered alternatives for high-risk patients who respond poorly to standard dosages of clopidogrel, although there is uncertainty of the long-term safety and efficacy of this approach. In November 2010, the ACCF/American College of Gastroenterology (ACG)/AHA updated their expert consensus document regarding gastrointestinal (GI) risk of antiplatelet and nonsteroidal inflammatory (NSAID) agents.[118] It states that clopidogrel alone, aspirin alone, and their combination are all associated with increased risk of GI bleeding and patients most at risk are those with prior GI bleeding; advanced age; concurrent use of anticoagulants, steroids, or NSAIDs, including aspirin; and *Helicobacter pylori* infection. This statement does not recommend routine use of PPIs in patients on antiplatelet therapy, but advises that PPIs are appropriate to reduce GI bleeding among patients with multiple risk factors for GI bleeding who require antiplatelet therapy. Clinical decisions regarding concomitant use of PPIs and thienopyridines must balance overall risks and benefits, considering both CV and GI complications.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

Prasugrel (Effient) is a prodrug that requires hepatic conversion via CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 (with lesser involvement of CYP2C9 and CYP2C19) to its active metabolite. Prasugrel and ticagrelor (Brilinta) are not significantly affected by genetic variations that reduce CYP2C19 enzymes and, therefore, are not expected to be affected by pharmacogenomics or CYP2C19 inhibitors (e.g., omeprazole). Ticagrelor and its major active metabolite are weak P-glycoprotein (P-gp) substrates and inhibitors. The major enzyme responsible for ticagrelor metabolism and the formation of its major active metabolite is CYP3A4.

CONTRAINDICATIONS/WARNINGS[119,120,121,122,123,124,125,126,127]

Clopidogrel (Plavix), prasugrel (Effient), ticagrelor (Brilinta), ticlopidine, and vorapaxar (Zontivity) are contraindicated in patients with active pathological bleeding, such as bleeding peptic ulcer or intracranial hemorrhage (ICH).

Prasugrel and vorapaxar are contraindicated in patients with prior TIA or stroke.

Ticlopidine is contraindicated in patients with a presence of neutropenia and thrombocytopenia, or a history of thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) or aplastic anemia. Ticlopidine should not be used in patients with severe liver impairment or in patients with a hemostatic disorder

Clopidogrel, prasugrel, and ticagrelor are contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to their active ingredient or any of the excipients. Cross-reactivity among thienopyridines may occur. Aspirin/dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox), aspirin ER (Durlaza), and aspirin/omeprazole DR (Yosprala) are contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to their respective active components or any excipients. Aspirin/dipyridamole ER, aspirin ER, and aspirin/omeprazole DR should not be administered to patients with known allergy to NSAIDs or to patients with the syndrome of asthma, rhinitis, and nasal polyps. Aspirin and aspirin-containing products should not be given to children or teenagers with viral infections, with or without fever, because of the risk of Reye's syndrome with concomitant use of aspirin in certain viral illnesses. Aspirin/omeprazole DR is also contraindicated in patients receiving rilpivirine-containing products.

Aspirin-containing products have several warnings in their labeling. Patients who consume 3 or more alcoholic drinks every day should be counseled about the bleeding risks involved with chronic heavy alcohol use while taking aspirin. Due to the aspirin component, the increase in bleeding time may adversely affect patients with inherited or acquired (liver disease or vitamin K deficiency) bleeding disorders. Aspirin has known gastrointestinal (GI) adverse effects that include stomach pain, heartburn, nausea, vomiting, and GI bleeding. Although minor upper GI symptoms, such as dyspepsia, are common and can occur anytime during therapy, it is important to monitor for signs of ulceration and bleeding, even in the absence of previous GI symptoms. Patients with a history of active peptic ulcer disease should avoid using aspirin (immediate or extended-release) due to the potential for gastric mucosal irritation and bleeding.

Aspirin products may cause fetal harm when administered to pregnant women and should be avoided during the third trimester due to the risk of premature closure of the ductus arteriosus.

Aspirin/omeprazole DR warrants additional warnings related to its omeprazole component, a PPI. Some of these are related to drug interactions (e.g., clopidogrel, strong CYP 3A4 inducers, methotrexate), which are described in more detail below. Other warnings related to the omeprazole component include masking of gastric malignancy symptoms (resolution of symptoms during omeprazole use does not indicate lack of malignancy), acute interstitial nephritis, *Clostridium difficile*-

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

associated diarrhea (CDAD), cutaneous and systemic lupus erythematosus, bone fracture with long-term use, cyanocobalamin deficiency, hypomagnesemia, and interactions with select diagnostic tests for neuroendocrine tumors.

Clopidogrel has a boxed warning stating that its effectiveness depends on adequate metabolism to the active metabolite. Tests are available to identify poor metabolizers. An alternative P2Y12 inhibitor should be used in poor CYP2C19 metabolizers.

Clopidogrel has been rarely associated with TTP. Onset of TTP is generally within the first 2 weeks of therapy.[128] Premature discontinuation may increase the risk of CV events. Likewise, clopidogrel increases the risk of bleeding. Clopidogrel should be discontinued 5 days prior to elective surgery with a major risk of bleeding and should be restarted as soon as possible. Clopidogrel impairs angiogenesis, a process critical for repair of GI mucosal disruptions, potentially leading to bleeding. Combining a PPI that inhibits CYP2C19 (e.g., omeprazole, esomeprazole) may reduce clopidogrel's efficacy. Concurrent use should be avoided.

In 2014, the FDA issued a safety announcement regarding preliminary data from a clinical trial showing that treatment for 30 months with dual antiplatelet therapy (aspirin plus either clopidogrel or prasugrel) decreased the risk of myocardial infarction and clot formation in stents, but there was an increased overall risk of death compared to 12 months of treatment.[129] However, after review, the FDA issued a drug safety communication stating that clopidogrel does not increase or decrease overall risk of death in patients with, or at risk for, heart disease or risk of cancer or death from cancer (prasugrel not addressed).[130]

Warnings associated with prasugrel include increased risk of bleeding (boxed warning; particularly CABG bleeding) and increased risk of stent thrombosis, MI, and death when prasugrel is discontinued prematurely. Prasugrel should not be started if CABG is anticipated, and it should be discontinued at least 7 days prior to any surgery. Other bleeding risk factors include weight less than 60 kg, propensity to bleeding, or use of other medications that increase bleeding risk. Prasugrel is generally not recommended in patients who are ≥ 75 years old due to increased risk of fatal and intracranial bleeding and uncertain benefit except in high-risk patients who are ≥ 75 years (e.g., with prior MI, diabetes). TTP has been reported with the use of prasugrel, sometimes with brief exposures of < 2 weeks.

Ticagrelor has boxed warnings regarding potential for significant, sometimes fatal, bleeding; recommendation against use in patients planned to undergo urgent CABG, when possible discontinue at least 5 days prior to any surgery. Bleeding should be a suspected cause in any patient who is hypotensive and has recently undergone coronary angiography, PCI, CABG, or other surgical procedures when ticagrelor is used, and bleeding should be managed without discontinuing ticagrelor whenever possible, since stopping the drug may increase the risk of subsequent CV events. After any initial dose, use with aspirin 75 to 100 mg per day; however, maintenance doses of aspirin above 100 mg reduce the effectiveness of ticagrelor and should be avoided. Ticagrelor carries a warning and should be avoided in patients with severe hepatic impairment, which may increase drug exposure. Dyspnea was reported more frequently with ticagrelor than clopidogrel, and the resulting dyspnea was self-limiting. Ticagrelor also carries as warning regarding bradyarrhythmias as it may cause ventricular pauses, including AV block. Patients with a history of sick sinus syndrome, second or third degree AV block, or bradycardia-related syncope without a pacemaker were excluded from key clinical trials and may be at a greater risk for bradyarrhythmias.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

Ticlopidine has a boxed warning stating that ticlopidine has been associated with life-threatening hematologic adverse reactions, including neutropenia, agranulocytosis, TTP, and aplastic anemia. Periodic monitoring of complete blood count is recommended, especially during the first 3 months of therapy.

Vorapaxar also increases the risk of bleeding, including ICH and fatal bleeding, in proportion to the patient's underlying bleeding risk. General risk factors for bleeding include older age, low body weight, reduced renal or hepatic function, history of bleeding disorders, and use of certain concomitant medications that increase the risk of bleeding. Avoid concomitant use of warfarin or other anticoagulants. Significant inhibition of platelet aggregation remains 4 weeks after discontinuation. Therefore, withholding vorapaxar for a brief period will not be useful in managing an acute bleeding event because of its long half-life; there is no known treatment to reverse the antiplatelet effect of vorapaxar.

Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS)[131]

Clopidogrel, ticagrelor, and prasugrel previously had REMS requirements, but they have subsequently been removed.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

DRUG INTERACTIONS[132,133,134,135,136,137,138,139,140]

Drug	theophylline	warfarin	NSAIDs	Comment
aspirin ER (Durlaza)	-	May be at higher risk for bleeding	May be at higher risk for bleeding; additive renal impairment; interference with antiplatelet effect	-
aspirin/dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox)	-	May be at higher risk for bleeding	May be at higher risk for bleeding	Dipyridamole may increase the CV effects of adenosine
aspirin/omeprazole DR (Yosprala)	-	May be at higher risk for bleeding	May be at higher risk for serious adverse effects, including bleeding and renal impairment	-
clopidogrel (Plavix)	-	May be at higher risk for bleeding	May increase risk of GI bleeding	-
dipyridamole	-	-	-	Dipyridamole may increase the CV effects of adenosine
prasugrel (Effient)	-	May be at higher risk for bleeding	May increase the risk of bleeding	Can be administered with drugs that are inducers or inhibitors of cytochrome P450 enzymes
ticagrelor (Brilinta)	-	May be at higher risk for bleeding	May be at higher risk for bleeding	Use with aspirin maintenance doses above 100 mg reduced the effectiveness of ticagrelor
ticlopidine	Increased theophylline level	-	Ticlopidine potentiates the effect of NSAIDS on platelet aggregation	Monitor theophylline level
vorapaxar (Zontivity)	-	May be at higher risk for bleeding	May be at higher risk for bleeding	Avoid concomitant use with warfarin

Alcohol may interfere with the controlled-release mechanism of aspirin ER; do not take aspirin ER 2 hours before or 1 hour following alcohol consumption. When combined with inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system, aspirin may result in deterioration of renal function, which is usually reversible, in patients who are elderly, volume-depleted, or have impaired renal function. Aspirin may displace protein-bound agents, such as phenytoin and valproic acid and may also inhibit the renal clearance of methotrexate.

The omeprazole component of aspirin/omeprazole DR (Yosprala) may impact drugs that require an acidic gastric environment for adequate absorption (e.g., select antiviral drugs, iron salts, mycophenolate, erlotinib, dasatinib, nilotinib). Omeprazole may also interact with the following drugs: methotrexate (increased methotrexate exposure), CYP2C19 substrates (e.g., clopidogrel, citalopram), digoxin (increased digoxin exposure), and tacrolimus (increased tacrolimus exposure). Likewise, doses of aspirin > 100 mg are not recommended with ticagrelor as higher doses may reduce ticagrelor's efficacy as mentioned above.

Clopidogrel is a prodrug which requires hepatic conversion in part via CYP2C19 to its active metabolite.[141,142] As described above, select PPIs (e.g., omeprazole, esomeprazole) may impair

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

clopidogrel's efficacy due to decreased conversion to its active metabolite. [143,144,145,146,147,148,149,150,151] When concomitant administration of a PPI is required, another acid-reducing agent with minimal or no CYP2C19 inhibitory effect on the formation of clopidogrel active metabolite is recommended. In clinical trials, omeprazole reduced the antiplatelet activity of clopidogrel when given concomitantly or 12 hours apart. A similar reduction in antiplatelet activity was observed with esomeprazole when given concurrently with clopidogrel. Dexlansoprazole (Dexilant), lansoprazole (Prevacid), and pantoprazole (Protonix) had less effect on the antiplatelet activity of clopidogrel than omeprazole or esomeprazole.

Clopidogrel may increase the systemic exposure of drugs that are CYP2C8 substrates (e.g., repaglinide) as it is an inhibitor of this hepatic enzyme. When clopidogrel is used concomitantly with repaglinide, the initial dose of repaglinide is 0.5 mg with each meal with dose titration based on blood glucose levels (maximum, 4 mg/day). If the patient is already stabilized on doses > 4 mg/day, decrease the dose based on blood glucose levels to ≤ 4 mg/day).

Avoid ticagrelor use with strong inhibitors of CYP3A (e.g., ketoconazole, clarithromycin, ritonavir) or potent inducers of CYP3A (e.g., rifampin, phenytoin, carbamazepine). Ticagrelor inhibits the P-glycoprotein transporter; therefore, digoxin levels should be monitored with initiation of, or change in, ticagrelor therapy. Avoid simvastatin and lovastatin doses above 40 mg as coadministration may result in increased exposure.

Concomitant use of vorapaxar with strong inhibitors CYP3A (e.g., ketoconazole, clarithromycin, ritonavir) or strong inducers of CYP3A (e.g., rifampin, phenytoin, carbamazepine) should be avoided.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

ADVERSE EFFECTS[152,153,154,155,156,157,158,159,160]

Drug	Dyspepsia	Nausea	Rash	Dizziness	Headache	Diarrhea	Discontinuation Rate	Hemorrhage
placebo n=1,649 ESPS2 data	16.7	14.1	nr	nr	32.9	9.8	21	1.5
aspirin ER (Durlaza)	reported	nr	nr	reported	reported	nr	nr	nr
aspirin 25mg twice daily n=1,649	18.1	12.7	nr	nr	33.8	6.8	19	2.8
aspirin 325 mg daily n=9,586 CAPRIE data	6.1	3.8	3.5	6.7	7.2	3.4	13	2.7
aspirin/ dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox) n=1,650	18.4	16	nr	nr	39.2	12.7	25	3.3 (type not specified)
aspirin/ omeprazole DR (Yosprala) n=521	reported	3	reported	reported	reported	3	7	reported
clopidogrel (Plavix)[161] n=9,599	5.2	3.4	4.2	6.2	7.6	4.5	13	2 (GI)
clopidogrel (Plavix) (n=9,186) PLATO data	nr	3.8	nr	3.9	5.8	3.3	5.4	11.2
clopidogrel (Plavix) + aspirin n=6,716 TRITON-TIMI 38 data	nr	4.3	2.4	4.6	5.3	2.6	6.3	1.7 (p=0.029)
dipyridamole ER 200 mg twice daily n=1,654	17.4	15.4	nr	nr	38.3	15.5	25	1.5
dipyridamole n=147	reported	reported	2.3 (1.1)	13.6 (8.2)	2.3 (0)	reported	nr	reported with concurrent warfarin
prasugrel (Effient) + aspirin n=6,741	nr	4.6	2.8	4.1	5.5	2.3	7.2	major bleeding non-CABG related 2.2

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

Adverse Effects (continued)

Drug	Dyspepsia	Nausea	Rash	Dizziness	Headache	Diarrhea	Discontinuation Rate	Hemorrhage
ticagrelor (Brilinta)[162] (n=9,235)	nr	4.3	nr*	4.5	6.5	3.7	7.4	major bleed 11.6
ticlopidine n=2,048	7.0 (0.9)	7.0 (1.7)	5.1 (0.6)	1.1 (0)	reported	12.5 (4.5)	21	reported
vorapaxar (Zontivity) n=10,059	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	severe 1 (0.8) moderate-severe 3 (2) GUSTO bleeding (mild-severe) 25 (17.6)

Adverse effects are indicated as percentage occurrence. Adverse effects data are compiled from package inserts and cannot be considered comparative or all inclusive. Incidences for the placebo group are indicated in parentheses. nr = not reported

*Has been reported in postmarketing experience.

Within the fatal bleeding category in the PLATO study, the rate of fatal non-intracranial bleeding was greater in the clopidogrel group (n=21 [0.3%] than the ticagrelor group (n=9 [0.1%]); p=0.03) while a greater number of fatal intracranial bleeds occurred in the ticagrelor group (n=11 [0.1%]) versus the clopidogrel group (n=1 [0.01%]); p=0.02). Ticagrelor was associated with a higher rate of PLATO-defined non-CABG major bleeding than clopidogrel (4.5% versus 3.8%, respectively; p=0.03). The most common non-hemorrhagic adverse event that occurred when comparing ticagrelor and clopidogrel was dyspnea which was reported in 13.8 and 7.8% of patients, respectively.[163] Also, in a Holter substudy of about 3,000 patients in the PLATO study, more patients had ventricular pauses with ticagrelor then with clopidogrel (6% versus 3.5%, respectively, in the acute phase; and 2.2% versus 1.6%, respectively after 1 month). Laboratory test changes in the PLATO study included greater increases in serum uric acid levels and creatinine levels in the ticagrelor group compared to the clopidogrel group.

The most common adverse effect that occurred when comparing vorapaxar to placebo was bleeding. Twenty-five percent of patients in the vorapaxar group experienced any GUSTO bleeding (mild-severe) compared to 17.6% in the placebo group. Other adverse reactions that occurred at a rate of at least 2% and at least 10% greater than placebo were anemia (5%), depression (2.4%), and rashes, eruptions, and exanthemas (2.2%).

A meta-analysis evaluated the risk of bleeding complications associated with antiplatelet agents in 51 randomized trials with 338,191 patients.[164] Low-dose aspirin (less than 100 mg daily) and dipyridamole have the lowest risk of bleeding (3.6% and 6.7%, respectively). Aspirin doses exceeding 100 mg daily had a similar risk for bleeding as clopidogrel and ticlopidine. A systematic review of 22 clinical trials evaluated the adverse events with aspirin (75 to 325 mg daily) and clopidogrel associated with therapy

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

for primary or secondary prophylaxis for CV events.[165] Aspirin was associated with an increased risk of major bleeding, major GI bleeding, and intracranial bleeding compared to placebo. The increased risk with low-dose aspirin was 1.7- to 2.1-fold; however, the absolute increased risk was only a 0.13% per year. A dose-related effect was not observed when dividing the aspirin doses into 2 groups of 75 to 162.5 mg daily doses versus 162.5 to 325 mg daily doses in the analysis, which conflicts with other available data. Of the studies included, clopidogrel was not compared to placebo. One study included in the analysis had increased major GI bleeding with aspirin 325 mg compared to clopidogrel (relative risk [RR], 1.45; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1 to 2.1) with an absolute increase in risk of 0.12% per year associated with aspirin use (95% CI, 0 to 0.28).

SPECIAL POPULATIONS[166,167,168,169,170,171,172,173,174]

Pediatrics

Safety and effectiveness have not been established for aspirin/omeprazole DR (Yosprala), clopidogrel (Plavix), prasugrel (Effient), ticagrelor (Brilinta), ticlopidine, vorapaxar (Zontivity), aspirin ER (Durlaza), or aspirin/dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox) in pediatric patients. Safety and effectiveness of dipyridamole in patients below the age of 12 years have not been established. The use of aspirin in children should be avoided due to the risk of Reye's syndrome with aspirin usage in certain viral illnesses.

The 2012 ACCP evidence-based clinical guidelines state that aspirin remains the most common antiplatelet agent used in pediatrics.[175] The dose of aspirin for optimal inhibition of platelet aggregation is not known, although empiric low doses of 1 to 5 mg/kg/day have been suggested (Grade 2C). Dipyridamole has also been used in pediatrics, as a second-line antiplatelet agent or in combination with aspirin therapy. Dipyridamole doses of 2 to 5 mg/kg per day are used. Little in the literature is available on the use of dipyridamole in pediatrics.

Pregnancy

Clopidogrel, ticlopidine, and vorapaxar are Pregnancy Category B. Ticagrelor is Pregnancy Category C. Aspirin/dipyridamole ER is classified as Pregnancy Category D.

Previously prasugrel was assigned Pregnancy Category B; however, its labeling was updated for compliance with the Pregnancy and Lactation Labeling Rule (PLLR). There are no data with prasugrel use in pregnant women to inform a drug-associated risk; conduct a risk versus benefit assessment when prescribing prasugrel to a pregnant woman.

Aspirin should not be used within 1 week preceding or during labor and delivery, as the risk of hemorrhage is increased. Due to the risk of low birth weight, increased incidence for intracranial hemorrhage in premature infants, stillbirths, and neonatal death, aspirin/dipyridamole ER, and aspirin ER should be avoided in the third trimester of pregnancy. Aspirin may lead to premature closure of the fetal ductus arteriosus.

Aspirin/omeprazole DR has not been assigned a category based on the PLLR. Information regarding the aspirin component is described above. Data with omeprazole have not reported a clear association with major birth defects or miscarriage risk.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

Renal Impairment

Aspirin-containing products should be avoided in severe renal failure. No dosage adjustment is recommended for clopidogrel, dipyridamole, prasugrel, ticagrelor, or vorapaxar in renal impairment. Dose reduction or discontinuation of ticlopidine (if hemorrhagic or hematopoietic problems are encountered) may be required in renal impairment.

Hepatic Impairment

Aspirin-containing products should be avoided in severe hepatic insufficiency. Likewise, omeprazole exposure increases in hepatic impairment; thus, aspirin/omeprazole DR should be avoided in patients with any degree of hepatic impairment. No dosage adjustment is needed for clopidogrel in hepatic impairment. Elevations of hepatic enzymes and hepatic failure have been reported with dipyridamole. No dosage adjustment is needed for mild to moderate hepatic impairment with prasugrel. While prasugrel has not been studied in severe hepatic impairment, these patients are generally at higher risk of bleeding. Since ticlopidine is metabolized by the liver, dose adjustment may be needed for ticlopidine or other drugs metabolized in the liver and may require adjustment upon starting or stopping concomitant therapy. Because of limited experience in patients with severe hepatic disease who may have bleeding diatheses, the use of ticlopidine is not recommended in this population. Impaired hepatic function can increase risk of adverse events, such as bleeding for ticagrelor. Ticagrelor use should be avoided in patients with severe hepatic impairment and should be considered carefully in patients with moderate impairment. No dosage adjustment of ticagrelor is needed in patients with mild hepatic impairment. Based on the increased inherent risk of bleeding in patients with severe hepatic impairment, vorapaxar is not recommended in such patients.

Geriatrics

No dosage adjustment is recommended for elderly patients taking clopidogrel, dipyridamole, aspirin ER, aspirin/omeprazole DR, or vorapaxar.

The risk of bleeding with use of prasugrel increases with advancing age. According to the manufacturer's label, for patients 75 years of age and older, the use of prasugrel is not recommended except in high-risk situations, such as the presence of diabetes or history of MI. However, ACCP states in their 2012 guidelines that evidence suggests that prasugrel results in no net benefit or even harmed patients with age greater than 75 years.[176]

No overall differences in safety or effectiveness of ticagrelor have been observed between patients 65 years of age and older and younger patients; however, greater sensitivity of some older individuals cannot be ruled out. Relative risk of bleeding was similar in patients 65 years of age and older and those at least 75 years of age. Efficacy and overall safety of ticagrelor in high-risk patients including elderly patients (≥ 75 years old) with ACS and those with prior history of stroke or TIA were consistent with the overall PLATO trial.[177,178]

Clearance of ticlopidine decreases with age. Steady state trough values in elderly patients (mean age 70 years) are about twice those in younger volunteer populations. No overall differences in effectiveness or safety were observed between these patients and younger patients, and other reported clinical experience has not identified differences in responses between the elderly and younger patients, but greater sensitivity of some older individuals cannot be ruled out.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

Race

Asian patients have approximately a 4-fold higher exposure to omeprazole than Caucasians, which is thought to be related to CYP2C19 metabolism. Approximately 15% to 20% of Asians are CYP2C19 poor metabolizers. Avoid use of aspirin/omeprazole DR in Asian patients with an unknown CYP2C19 genotype or those who are known poor metabolizers.

DOSAGES[179,180,181,182,183,184,185,186,187]

Drug	Dose	Availability
aspirin ER (Durlaza)	162.5 mg (1 capsule) once daily Swallow whole; do not crush or chew	162.5 mg extended-release capsule
aspirin 25 mg/ dipyridamole ER 200 mg (Aggrenox)	1 capsule twice daily Alternative regimen for patients with intolerable headaches: during initial treatment, switch to 1 capsule at bedtime and low-dose aspirin in the morning Because there are no outcomes data with this regimen and headaches become less of a problem as treatment continues, patients should return to the usual regimen (1 capsule twice daily) as soon as possible, usually within 1 week	25 mg/200 mg capsule Aggrenox is not interchangeable with the individual components of aspirin and dipyridamole tablets
aspirin/omeprazole DR (Yosprala)	1 tablet once daily at least 60 minutes prior to a meal Swallow whole; do not split, chew, crush, or dissolve tablet	81 mg/40 mg, 325 mg/40 mg tablets Yosprala is not interchangeable with its individual components
clopidogrel (Plavix)	Recent MI, recent stroke, established PAD: 75 mg daily Acute coronary syndrome: 300 mg for 1 dose then 75 mg daily	75 mg, 300 mg tablets
dipyridamole	75 to 100 mg 4 times daily with concurrent coumarin anticoagulants	25 mg, 50 mg, 75 mg tablets
prasugrel (Effient)	60 mg for 1 dose then 10 mg daily plus aspirin 75 to 325 mg daily	5 mg, 10 mg tablets
ticagrelor (Brilinta)	Ticagrelor 180 mg for first dose, then 90 mg twice daily thereafter for the first year, followed by 60 mg twice daily thereafter After initial aspirin loading dose (usually 325 mg), use ticagrelor with a daily maintenance dose of aspirin of 75-100 mg For those unable to swallow, it may be crushed and mixed with water, then drunk or administered through a nasogastric tube Do not use with aspirin doses > 100 mg/day	60 mg, 90 mg tablets
ticlopidine	250 mg twice daily	250 mg tablet
vorapaxar (Zontivity)	2.08 mg daily*	2.08 mg tablet

* There is no experience with use of vorapaxar alone as the only administered antiplatelet agent. Vorapaxar has been studied only as an addition to aspirin and/or clopidogrel and should only be used with aspirin and/or clopidogrel according to their indications or standard of care.[188]

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

Pretreatment with clopidogrel therapy can reduce the risks associated with PCI. A loading dose of 600 mg instead of 300 mg has been studied in an effort to determine if this higher loading dose would shorten the time for clopidogrel to become effective and produce a greater antiplatelet effect, without an apparent adverse effect on safety.[189,190,191,192,193,194,195,196] Current recommendations recommend a loading dose of 600 mg of clopidogrel in patients undergoing PCI; however, this is not an FDA-approved dose.[197]

CLINICAL TRIALS

Search Strategy

Studies were identified through searches performed on PubMed and review of information sent by manufacturers. Search strategy included the FDA-approved use of all drugs in this class. Randomized, controlled trials comparing agents in ambulatory patients who are at high risk or have documented vascular disease due to thrombotic episodes are considered the most relevant in this category. Studies included also reflect the FDA-approved indications. Comparative trials are the most important, but when comparative trials are not available, placebo-controlled trials were considered relevant. Studies included for analysis in the review were published in English, performed with human participants, and randomly allocated participants to comparison groups. In addition, studies must contain clearly stated, predetermined outcome measure(s) of known or probable clinical importance, use data analysis techniques consistent with the study question, and include follow-up (endpoint assessment) of at least 80% of participants entering the investigation. Despite some inherent bias found in all studies including those sponsored and/or funded by pharmaceutical manufacturers, the studies in this therapeutic class review were determined to have results or conclusions that do not suggest systematic error in their experimental study design. While the potential influence of manufacturer sponsorship and/or funding must be considered, the studies in this review have also been evaluated for validity and importance. Several trials have established the role of these agents for their respective indications; thus, inclusion of newer comparative clinical trials within this class also considers the clinical impact of their findings.

aspirin

Aspirin has been extensively studied and found to prevent vascular events, both fatal and non-fatal, by 15% to 30% in many trials.[198] The Physicians' Health Study with 22,071 men (age > 50 years without CAD) provided the first strong support for aspirin 325 mg daily in reducing the risk of a first MI; however, the relative risk reductions for stroke and mortality due to CV causes were less clear.[199] Further study confirmed aspirin use also reduced mortality in patients at higher risk for CV disease.[200,201]

The Women's Health Study was a large randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of aspirin 100 mg daily in the primary prevention of CV disease among 39,876 healthy women, with a majority of women less than age 65 years.[202] Patients were followed for a mean of 10 years for the major CV events of MI, stroke, and death from CV causes. The risk of major CV events was slightly lower with aspirin; however, the risk reduction was not statistically significant (9% relative risk reduction, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.8 to 1.03; p=0.13). Aspirin reduced the relative risk of stroke by 17% (RR, 0.83; 9% CI, 0.69 to 0.99; p=0.04) but not of MI.

The findings from the large preventive trials—Physician's Health Study in men and Women's Health Study—differ. Aspirin doses and rate of MI are examples of the many differences between the 2

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

studies. Aspirin therapy was associated with a 32% reduction in MI but no significant effect on stroke in men.[203]

No large randomized trials with clinical outcomes have been published using aspirin ER (Durlaza).

aspirin/dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox)

The second European Stroke Prevention Study (ESPS-2) evaluated the effectiveness of dipyridamole ER plus low-dose aspirin in the secondary prevention of stroke versus monotherapy for 2 years.[204] The double-blind study randomized 6,602 patients who had experienced a TIA or ischemic stroke within the previous 3 months to placebo, aspirin 25 mg twice daily, dipyridamole ER 200 mg twice daily, or the combination of aspirin 25 mg plus dipyridamole ER 200 mg twice daily. The primary endpoints were stroke, death, or the combination. The aspirin/dipyridamole ER group showed a relative risk reduction for stroke of 37% versus placebo (p<0.001), 18% with ASA alone (p=0.013), and 16% with dipyridamole alone (p=0.039). The combination therapy had an absolute risk reduction of fatal and nonfatal stroke of 3% versus aspirin and dipyridamole monotherapy groups. Mortality rate was not significantly affected by any treatment. Beneficial effects of antiplatelet therapy were evident regardless of age.[205] Aspirin was associated with significantly more overall and gastrointestinal bleeding compared to dipyridamole or placebo.

In a randomized, placebo-controlled study of 149 patients from fibrinolytic trials who had a patent infarct-related artery 3 to 4 weeks after STEMI, quantitative coronary angiography of non-infarct arteries was performed on paired cine-angiograms at 1 year.[206] Patients had been randomized to either continue the daily combination of 50 mg aspirin and 400 mg dipyridamole or to placebo. There were no significant differences in these groups in changes in minimal luminal diameter (MLD) (-0.02 mm; 95% CI, -0.09 to 0.05). Progression of CAD was seen in two-thirds of patients and did not independently predict long-term death and/or reinfarction.

aspirin/omeprazole DR (Yosprala)

The efficacy of aspirin has been described above. Two randomized, multicenter, double-blind trials established the role of omeprazole in this combination product by comparing daily aspirin/omeprazole DR 325 mg/40 mg to enteric-coated aspirin 325 mg to determine the rate of gastric ulcer formation (n=1,049).[207] Patients included were actively taking aspirin 325 mg/day, ≥ 55 years old, and were expected to be on aspirin therapy of at least 6 months. Adults younger than 55 years were also included if they had a documented gastric or duodenal ulcer within the past 5 years. Notably, approximately 11% were also receiving at least 1 NSAID. After 6 months, gastric ulcers occurred in 3.8% of patients in the aspirin/omeprazole DR group compared to 8.7% of patients in the aspirin group in Study 1 (p=0.02). In Study 2, gastric ulcers occurred in 2.7% of patients in the aspirin/omeprazole DR group compared to 8.5% of patients in the aspirin group (p=0.005).

clopidogrel (Plavix) versus aspirin

In the CAPRIE study, clopidogrel 75 mg daily and aspirin 325 mg daily were compared for relative efficacy in reducing a composite outcome cluster of ischemic stroke, MI, or vascular death in a randomized, blinded trial.[208] A total of 19,185 patients with a documented stroke, MI, or symptomatic peripheral arterial disease were enrolled in the trial and followed for 1 to 3 years. Significant findings include an 8.7% relative risk reduction of all endpoints (ischemic stroke, MI, or vascular death) with

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

clopidogrel (5.32% annual risk) versus aspirin (5.83% annual risk) (95% CI, 0.3 to 16.5; p=0.043). The absolute risk reduction of clopidogrel over aspirin was 0.5% for the combined endpoints. Hemorrhagic events were similar between the groups.[209]

clopidogrel (Plavix) plus aspirin

The CURE study evaluated the efficacy and safety of clopidogrel when given with aspirin in 12,562 acute coronary syndrome patients.[210] Patients were randomized within 24 hours of onset of angina symptoms to clopidogrel 300 mg for 1 dose then 75 mg daily or placebo, in addition to aspirin (75 to 325 mg daily), for 3 to 12 months. The composite primary endpoint was CV death, nonfatal MI, or stroke, which occurred in 9.3% of the clopidogrel group and 11.4% in the placebo group (RR with clopidogrel as compared with placebo, 0.8; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.9; p<0.001). Clopidogrel reduced the risk of the second primary endpoint defined as the composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or stroke or the occurrence of refractory ischemia compared to the placebo group (16.5% clopidogrel group compared to 18.8% in the placebo group; RR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.79 to 0.94; p<0.001). Rates of the individual outcome endpoints of CV death, stroke, and refractory ischemia showed numerical improvement with clopidogrel but did not achieve statistical significance. Significantly more major bleeding episodes were observed in the clopidogrel group (3.7% in the clopidogrel group versus 2.7% in the placebo group, relative risk 1.38; p=0.001). Hemorrhagic strokes and life-threatening bleeding episodes were similar in both groups. Higher doses of aspirin with or without clopidogrel were associated with a higher risk of major bleeding.[211] Minor bleeding episodes were significantly higher in the clopidogrel group (5.1% versus 2.4% in the placebo group, p<0.001). Benefits of the combination of clopidogrel and aspirin are seen at all doses of aspirin; however, bleeding risk increased with higher doses of aspirin.[212]

In an evaluation of the 2,658 patients that underwent PCI after randomization in the CURE study (PCI-CURE study), clopidogrel and placebo in addition to aspirin were compared for safety and efficacy.[213] Patients received aspirin and the study drug (clopidogrel or placebo) for a median of 10 days prior to PCI. Open-label use of ticlopidine or clopidogrel in addition to aspirin 75 to 325 mg daily was permitted for 2 to 4 weeks after stent placement and then the randomly assigned medication resumed for a mean of 8 months. The primary endpoint was the composite of CV death, MI, or urgent revascularization within 30 days of the PCI. The rate of composite endpoint in the clopidogrel group was 4.5% compared to 6.4% in the placebo group within the first 30 days (RR, 0.7; 95% CI, 0.5 to 0.97; p=0.03). As seen in the CURE study, clopidogrel patients had a lower incidence of CV death and MI or any revascularization compared to placebo (p=0.03) and a lower rate of CV death or MI (p=0.047). Bleeding rates between the groups did not differ significantly.

The COURAGE trial was a randomized, multicenter, 4.6-year study of 2,287 patients with stable CAD.[214] Patients underwent PCI plus optimal medical therapy (PCI group) or optimal medical therapy alone. All patients received aspirin 81 to 325 mg per day or clopidogrel 75 mg per day, if patients were intolerant to aspirin. Patients undergoing PCI received both aspirin and clopidogrel. Both groups received beta blockers, statins, ACE inhibitors, as well as made lifestyle modifications of diet, exercise, and smoking cessation. The median follow-up period was 4.6 years. The primary outcome of death from any cause and nonfatal MI occurred in 19% of the PCI group versus 18.5% of the optimal medical therapy group (hazard ratio [HR], 1.05; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.27; p=0.62). More patients in the optimal medical therapy group required revascularization (32.6%) versus the PCI group (21.1%; p<0.001). PCI had the initial advantage of relieving angina; however, 74% of the PCI group versus 72% of the optimal medical

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

therapy group did not experience angina at 5 years (p=0.35). PCI did not reduce the risk of death, MI, or other major CV events in comparison to optimal medical therapy in patients with stable CAD.

In the CREDO study, 2,116 patients who planned to have angioplasty were randomized to clopidogrel or placebo and followed for 1 year for the combined event rate of death, MI, or stroke.[215] In the double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, patients randomized to clopidogrel received 300 mg prior to the revascularization or placebo. All patients received aspirin 325 mg daily and clopidogrel 75 mg daily for 28 days following stent placement. On day 29, patients then received clopidogrel or placebo, in addition to aspirin, as randomized prior to revascularization. At 1 year, 8.5% of the clopidogrel group had reached the composite endpoint (death, MI, or stroke at 1 year) compared to 11.5% in the placebo group (26.9% relative risk reduction; 95% CI, 3.9 to 44.4; p=0.02). Clopidogrel was not associated with a significant reduction in the combined event rate of death, MI, or urgent target vessel revascularization at 28 days. No significant difference was seen in the individual endpoints (death, MI, or death/MI) or bleeding over the 1-year study period. During 1 year of follow-up, any bleeding (major or minor) occurred in 8.1 and 8.9%, major bleeding in 3.9 and 5.6%, and minor bleeding in 4.2% and 3.3% of placebo and clopidogrel treated patients, respectively.[216] These differences were not significant. Major GI bleeding occurred in significantly more patients on clopidogrel compared to placebo (1.4 versus 0.3%; p=0.011).

In the MATCH trial, in patients who were already on clopidogrel 75 mg daily, the addition of aspirin 75 mg daily was compared to placebo to see if the combination had a greater benefit in preventing vascular events and to assess the potential for increased bleeding risk over 18 months.[217] The study was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in 7,599 high risk patients having had a recent ischemic stroke or TIA and at least 1 additional risk factor who were already receiving clopidogrel therapy. The primary endpoint was a composite of ischemic stroke, MI, vascular death, or rehospitalization for acute ischemic attack (TIA, angina, worsening PAD). The primary endpoint was seen in 15.7% of the aspirin/clopidogrel group and 16.7% in the clopidogrel alone group (relative risk reduction of 6.4%; 95% CI, -0.46 to 16.3; absolute risk reduction 1%; 95% CI, -0.6 to 2.71). Combination therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin did not reduce the risk of major vascular events compared with clopidogrel monotherapy. The combination of aspirin and clopidogrel was associated with a higher rate of life-threatening bleeding episodes (2.6%) compared to clopidogrel monotherapy (1.3%). Major bleeding episodes were more common with the combination; however, mortality was unaffected.

CLARITY-TIMI-28 study: Clopidogrel was evaluated for safety and efficacy in the treatment of STEMI in addition to standard treatment of fibrinolytics, aspirin, and weight-dosed heparin.[218] Patients were scheduled to undergo angiography 48 to 192 hours after the start of the study medication. Patients between ages 18 and 75 years (n=3,491) who presented within 12 hours of ST-elevation were randomized to clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose then 75 mg daily or placebo. The rates of primary efficacy endpoint of composite of angiography identified occluded infarct-related arteries (thrombolysis in myocardial infarction [TIMI] flow grade 0 or 1) or death or recurrent MI before angiography was reported in 21.7% of patients in the placebo group and 15% of patients in the clopidogrel group (6.7% difference; 95% CI, 24 to 47%; p<0.001). After 30 days, clopidogrel had a relative risk reduction of 20% for the composite of CV death, recurrent MI, or recurrent ischemia requiring revascularization (14.1% placebo versus 11.6% clopidogrel; p=0.03). Death from CV causes was similar between the groups and extremely low for the STEMI population (2.6% and 2.2% for

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

clopidogrel and placebo groups, respectively; p=0.49). Incidences of major bleeding (1.3% and 1.1% for clopidogrel and placebo groups, respectively) and intracranial hemorrhage were similar in both groups.

The PCI-CLARITY trial evaluated if pre-treatment with clopidogrel in the setting of PCI in patients with recent ST-segment elevation MI would affect the rate of major adverse CV events.[219] Patients (n=1,863) were those who underwent PCI after the required angiography as a part of the CLARITY trial discussed above. In the double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, patients received aspirin and were randomized to receive clopidogrel 300 mg once then 75 mg daily or placebo in addition to the fibrinolytics and weight-based heparin for 2 to 8 days until angiography. In patients undergoing PCI with stenting, open-labeled clopidogrel including the loading dose were administered after the angiography but prior to PCI. The primary outcome was the composite of CV death, MI, or stroke from date of PCI to 30 days after randomization. Pre-treatment with clopidogrel was associated with a significant reduction in the composite outcome (3.6% versus 6.2%, adjusted odds ratio [OR], 0.54; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.85; p=0.008). From randomization to 30 days, the clopidogrel group had a significant reduction in CV death, MI, or stroke (7.5 %versus 12%; adjusted OR 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.81; p=0.001). Bleeding was not significantly different between the groups. Authors concluded that aspirin plus clopidogrel in addition to fibrinolytics and heparin reduce the composite outcome and should be administered prior to and after PCI.

The CURRENT-OASIS 7 investigated doubling the loading dose (from 300 mg to 600 mg) and the initial maintenance dose (from 75 mg to 150 mg) of clopidogrel for 1 week followed by 75 mg daily thereafter, with high (300 to 325 mg) or low dose (75 to 100 mg) aspirin daily in patients (n=25,086) with ST or non-ST-segment-elevation ACS managed with an early invasive strategy.[220,221,222] There was no significant difference between high dose and standard dose clopidogrel (4.2% versus 4.4%; hazard ratio [HR] 0.94; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.06; p=0.3) for the primary outcome of CV death, MI, or stroke at 30 days. However, high-dose clopidogrel was associated with a significant reduction in the secondary outcome of stent thrombosis among patients who underwent PCI (n=17,263; 1.6% versus 2.3%; HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.85; p=0.001). The primary safety endpoint of major bleeding was significantly greater for the high-dose group compared to the standard regimen (2.5% versus 2%; HR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.05 to 1.46; p=0.01). There was no significant difference between higher-dose and lower-dose aspirin with respect to the primary outcome (4.2% versus 4.4%; HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.09; p=0.61) or major bleeding (2.3% versus 2.3%; HR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.84 to 1.17; p=0.9).

In the CHARISMA trial, clopidogrel and aspirin were compared to aspirin alone in the prevention of the composite endpoint of MI, stroke, or CV death in a population of patients at high risk for CV diseases.[223] In the prospective, double-blind, randomized trial, a total of 15,603 patients with a history of CV disease or multiple risk factors were randomized to clopidogrel 75 mg daily plus aspirin 75 to 162 mg daily or placebo plus aspirin 75 to 162 mg daily. After a median of 28 months, 6.8% of the clopidogrel-aspirin group and 7.3% of the placebo-aspirin group reported the primary endpoint (RR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.05; p=0.22). The clopidogrel-aspirin group had significantly fewer patients report the secondary efficacy endpoint of the composite of MI, stroke, CV-related death, or hospitalizations due to unstable angina, TIA, or revascularization procedures (clopidogrel-aspirin, 16.7%; placebo-aspirin, 17.9%; RR 0.92; 95% CI, 0.86 to 0.995; p=0.04). A subgroup analysis found that patients with only risk factors (approximately 20% of total population) had a higher risk of death from all causes (5.4% versus 3.8%; p=0.04) and CV death (3.9% versus 2.2%; p=0.01) with both drugs than with aspirin alone. Those with established CV disease (nearly 80% of total population) had a lower risk

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

in the primary endpoint with clopidogrel (6.9% versus 7.9% with placebo; RR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.998; p=0.046). The rate of severe bleeding was similar in both treatment groups with 1.7% and 1.3% for clopidogrel-aspirin and placebo-aspirin groups, respectively (RR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.97 to 1.61%; p=0.09). Moderate bleeding occurred more often in patients on the combination therapy (2.1% versus 1.3%; RR 1.62; 95% CI, 1.27 to 2.1; p<0.001). The rate of intracranial hemorrhage was similar in the 2 treatment groups. Treatment discontinuation was reported in 20.4 and 18.2% of the clopidogrel-aspirin versus placebo-aspirin groups, respectively (p<0.001).

The COMMIT trial was a randomized, double-blinded trial in which the combination of clopidogrel and aspirin was compared to aspirin alone in 45,852 patients with suspected acute MI in 1,250 sites in China.[224] Patients were randomized within 24 hours of suspected acute MI to clopidogrel 75 mg daily or placebo in addition to aspirin 162 mg daily until discharge or up to 4 weeks in the hospital. ST-segment elevation or bundle branch block was noted in 93% of patients, and ST-segment depression was noted in the remaining 7%. Fibrinolysis was administered in half of the patients. Metoprolol use was also being evaluated in the same population. The 2 primary endpoints were (1) the composite of death, reinfarction, or stroke and (2) death from any cause. Clopidogrel-aspirin combination significantly reduced the risk of the composite of death, reinfarction, or stroke compared to aspirin alone (clopidogrel, 9.2% [n=2,125] versus aspirin alone, 10.1% [n=2,311]; p=0.002). All-cause mortality by hospital discharge was significantly lower in the clopidogrel group (7.5% versus 8.1%; p=0.03). Any type of major bleed (fatal, transfused, and cerebral bleeds) occurred in 0.58 and 0.55% of the clopidogrel-aspirin and placebo-aspirin groups, respectively (p=not significant [NS]).

The Randomized Argentine Clopidogrel Stent (RACS) trial was a prospective, randomized, non-blinded study of 1,004 patients undergoing PCI who were randomized after successful bare metal stent (BMS) placement to 30 versus 180 days of clopidogrel.[225] All patients also received aspirin. The primary endpoint was a composite of death, MI, and stroke at 180 days. At hospital discharge and 30 days (when both arms received the same treatment), there were no significant differences in frequency of death, MI, or stroke. In comparison from 30 days to 180 days, the patients in the 180 days of clopidogrel reached the primary endpoint (death, MI, and stroke) less frequently (4.99% versus 1.74%; 65% relative risk reduction; p=0.01). No significant differences in frequency of total bleeding were reported.

Data from consecutive acute STEMI survivors and either concomitant therapy with aspirin or aspirin plus clopidogrel at discharge, who were prospectively enrolled in the Acute Coronary Syndromes (ACOS) registry, were analyzed.[226] The 5,886 patients were divided into 3 groups based on the initial reperfusion therapy (no reperfusion therapy n=1,445; fibrinolysis n=1,734; or primary PCI n=2,707). Mortality was significantly lower in the clopidogrel plus aspirin group versus the aspirin group in the total group, as well as the reperfusion therapy [total group OR, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.48 to 0.61; no reperfusion therapy OR, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.45; fibrinolysis OR, 0.53; 95% CI, 0.32 to 0.87; primary PCI OR, 0.38; 95% CI, 0.23 to 0.62].

clopidogrel (Plavix) versus ticlopidine in coronary stenting

Clopidogrel and ticlopidine have been shown to reduce major adverse cardiac events at 30 days following successful coronary stent implantation.[227,228,229,230,231,232] Differences in the studies include patient populations, dose regimens, timing of initial therapy, duration of therapy, outcome parameters, and follow-up study period. Many of the studies are small and located in single centers.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.
Magellan Rx MANAGEMENT℠

While both drugs have been shown to provide a reduction in major adverse cardiac events following successful coronary stent implantation, it is difficult to determine if one agent is superior as many studies are not powered to detect possible differences in efficacy.[233,234,235] In earlier studies, antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel or ticlopidine with or without aspirin was given after PCI or stent placement whereas currently, clopidogrel and aspirin are given prior to PCI in much higher loading doses than previously studied.[236,237,238,239,240]

clopidogrel (Plavix) versus aspirin/dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox)

The PRoFESS study was a randomized, double-blind, 2-by-2 factorial design, multicenter secondary stroke prevention trial.[241] A total of 20,332 patients, who had a noncardioembolic ischemic stroke within the previous 120 days, were randomized to aspirin 25 mg/dipyridamole ER 200 mg twice daily or to clopidogrel 75 mg daily and followed for a mean of 2.5 years. The comparison for the primary outcome of recurrent stroke did not meet the pre-defined criterion for non-inferiority (margin of 1.075 or a 75% noninferiority difference), and the number of recurrent strokes was similar between groups: recurrent stroke occurred in 9% and 8.8% of patients receiving aspirin/dipyridamole ER and clopidogrel, respectively (HR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.11). The secondary outcome of composite stroke, MI, or vascular death was identical between groups: 13.1% in each group (HR for aspirin/dipyridamole ER, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.07). More major hemorrhagic events were reported in the aspirin/dipyridamole ER group compared to clopidogrel, 4.1% versus 3.6%, respectively (HR, 1.15; 95% CI, 1 to 1.32), including intracranial hemorrhage (HR, 1.42; 95% CI, 1.11 to 1.83). Despite the increase in hemorrhage, the net risk of recurrent stroke or major hemorrhagic events was similar in the both groups: aspirin/dipyridamole ER 11.7% compared with clopidogrel 11.4% (HR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.95 to 1.11).

dipyridamole

Very little new clinical data are available for dipyridamole monotherapy. Older data with dipyridamole provides evidence that in patients with prosthetic heart valves, the addition of dipyridamole to warfarin therapy reduces the incidence of systemic emboli.[242] It should be noted that the extended-release dipyridamole formulation, not immediate-release dipyridamole, was used in the ESPS-2 and ESPIRIT trials.[243,244]

prasugrel (Effient) versus clopidogrel (Plavix)

TRITON-TIMI 38: To compare prasugrel with clopidogrel, 13,608 patients with moderate to high risk acute ACS with scheduled PCI were randomized to receive prasugrel (60 mg loading dose, then 10 mg daily) or clopidogrel (300 mg loading dose, then 75 mg daily) for 6 to 15 months.[245] The primary efficacy endpoint was death from CV causes, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke. The key safety endpoint was major bleeding. The primary endpoint occurred in 12.1% of patients receiving clopidogrel and 9.9% of patients receiving prasugrel (HR versus clopidogrel, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.9; p<0.001). There were also significant reductions in the prasugrel group in the rates of MI (9.7% versus 7.4%; p<0.001), urgent target-vessel revascularization (3.7% versus 2.5%; p<0.001), and stent thrombosis (2.4% versus 1.1%; p<0.001). Prasugrel patients experienced more major bleeding than those on clopidogrel (2.4% versus 1.8%; HR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.03 to 1.68; p=0.03). Life-threatening bleeding (1.4% versus 0.9%; p=0.01), including nonfatal bleeding (1.1% versus 0.9%; p=0.23) and fatal bleeding (0.4% versus 0.1%; p=0.002), was also higher with prasugrel. The rate of study drug discontinuation because of adverse

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.
Magellan Rx MANAGEMENT℠

reactions was 7.2% for prasugrel and 6.3% for clopidogrel. Bleeding was the most common adverse reaction leading to study drug discontinuation for both drugs (2.5% for prasugrel and 1.4% for clopidogrel).

In patients undergoing PCI for STEMI, TIMI life-threatening bleeding and TIMI major or minor bleeding were similar with the 2 treatments. Only TIMI major bleeding after CABG surgery was significantly increased with prasugrel versus clopidogrel, 18.8% versus 2.7%, respectively (p=0.0033).[246] A post-hoc analysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 evaluated the efficacy and safety of prasugrel and clopidogrel in the setting of a glycoprotein (GP) IIb/IIIa inhibitor at 30 days.[247] A total of 54.5% received a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor. There was a consistent benefit of prasugrel over clopidogrel for reducing CV death, MI, or stroke in patients who did (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.9) or did not receive a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.97, p=0.83). Although subjects treated with a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor had greater rates of bleeding, the risk of major or minor bleeding with prasugrel versus clopidogrel was not significantly different in patients who were or were not treated with GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (p=0.19).

A pre-specified analysis, compared prasugrel with clopidogrel in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) in TRITON-TIMI 38.[248] A total of 3,146 subjects had a preexisting history of DM including 776 receiving insulin. The primary endpoint was reduced significantly with prasugrel among subjects without DM (9.2% versus 10.6%; HR, 0.86; p=0.02) and with DM (12.2% versus 17%; HR, 0.7; p<0.001). A benefit for prasugrel was observed among DM patients on insulin (14.3% versus 22.2%; HR, 0.63; p=0.009) and those not on insulin (11.5% versus 15.3%; HR, 0.74; p=0.009). MI was reduced with prasugrel by 18% among subjects without DM (7.2% versus 8.7%; HR, 0.82; p=0.006) and by 40% among subjects with DM (8.2% versus 13.2%; HR, 0.6; p<0.001). The TIMI major hemorrhage was increased among subjects without DM on prasugrel (1.6% versus 2.4%; HR, 1.43; p=0.02), but the rates were similar among subjects with DM for clopidogrel and prasugrel (2.6% versus 2.5%; HR, 1.06; p=0.81). Net clinical benefit (death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, and nonfatal TIMI major bleeding) with prasugrel was greater for DM patients (14.6% versus 19.2%; HR, 0.74; p=0.001) than for patients without DM (11.5% versus 12.3%; HR, 0.92; p=0.16).

TRILOGY ACS: In a randomized, double-blind trial, patients with UA/NSTEMI and receiving aspirin, who did not undergo revascularization, were evaluated for up to 30 months of treatment with prasugrel versus clopidogrel 75 mg.[249] Patients randomized to prasugrel who were under 75 years of age received 10 mg daily (n=7,243), while those 75 years of age and older received 5 mg daily (n=2,083). Median exposure to study drug was 14.8 months. Primary endpoint was death from CV causes, MI, or stroke. At a median follow-up of 17 months, the primary endpoint occurred in 13.9 of patients receiving prasugrel and 16% receiving clopidogrel (HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.79 to 1.05; p=0.21). Results were similar regardless of age. At 30 months, there was no significant difference between the study groups in occurrence rate of primary endpoint. While there was no difference in the rate of the primary composite endpoint or its separate components between the 2 study groups in the first year of the study, the investigators found that prasugrel appeared to reduce the risk of events from 12 months onward; hazard ratio for the time period of ≤ 12 months versus the time period > 12 months comparing prasugrel with clopidogrel for the primary efficacy endpoint were 0.99 (95% CI, 0.84 to 1.16) versus 0.72 (95% CI, 0.54 to 0.97; p=0.07 for interaction). There was no significant difference in the rate of severe, major, or life-threatening bleeding between the 2 study groups. A subsequent analysis which evaluated the CYP2C19 metabolizer status of enrolled patients found that this was not

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.
Magellan Rx MANAGEMENT℠

associated with the composite endpoint; however, CYP2C19 metabolizer status was not available for all those enrolled in the TRILOGY ACS trial.[250]

ticagrelor (Brilinta) versus clopidogrel (Plavix)

The study of Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes (PLATO) was a randomized, double-blind trial that compared ticagrelor and clopidogrel for the prevention of CV events in 18,624 patients admitted to the hospital with an acute coronary syndrome (ACS), unstable angina, and myocardial infarction with or without ST-segment elevation.[251] Patients were randomized to receive ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, 90 mg twice daily thereafter, or clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, 75 mg daily thereafter. All patients also received a maintenance dose of aspirin (75 to 100 mg recommended). The primary endpoint was a composite of death from vascular causes, MI, or stroke. At 12 months, the primary endpoint had occurred in 9.8% of patients receiving ticagrelor and 11.7% of those receiving clopidogrel (HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.92; p<0.001). Secondary endpoints of MI alone occurred in 5.8% in the ticagrelor group and 6.9% in the clopidogrel group (p=0.005); and death from vascular causes occurred in 4% versus 5.1%, respectively (p=0.001). There was no significant difference in stroke alone between the 2 groups (1.5% versus 1.3%, respectively; p=0.22). The rate of death from any cause was also reduced with ticagrelor (4.5% versus 5.9% with clopidogrel; p<0.001). No significant difference in the rates of major bleeding was found between the ticagrelor and clopidogrel groups (11.6% and 11.2%, respectively; p=0.43), but ticagrelor was associated with a higher rate of major bleeding not related to CABG (4.5% versus 3.8%; p=0.03), including more instances of fatal intracranial bleeding and fewer fatal bleeding of other types. In PLATO, use of > 100 mg of aspirin decreased the effectiveness of ticagrelor. In the North American (mostly U.S.) PLATO subgroup, ticagrelor was numerically inferior to clopidogrel. Among 11,289 patients with PCI receiving any stent during PLATO, there was a lower risk of definite stent thrombosis for ticagrelor than with clopidogrel. The results were similar for drug-eluting and bare metal stents.

ticagrelor (Brilinta) versus aspirin

SOCRATES, a multinational, double-blind, randomized trial, compared the efficacy of ticagrelor and aspirin for secondary prevention of recurrent stroke and CV events in 13,199 patients with a nonsevere ischemic stroke or high-risk TIA.[252] Patients who had not received intravenous or intraarterial thrombolysis and without a cardioembolic stroke were randomized 1:1 within 24 hours of symptom onset to either ticagrelor (180 mg on day 1 and 90 mg twice daily thereafter) or aspirin (300 mg on day 1 and 100 mg daily thereafter) for 90 days. The primary outcome was the composite endpoint of time to occurrence of stroke, MI, or death, which occurred in 6.7% of patients treated with ticagrelor compared to 7.5% of patients treated with aspirin (HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.01; p=0.07). Major bleeding occurred in 0.6% of patients treated with aspirin compared to 0.5% of those treated with ticagrelor (HR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.52 to 1.34; p=0.45). Thus, the authors concluded that ticagrelor was not superior to aspirin in decreasing stroke, MI, or death at 90 days in select patients with a prior stroke or TIA. Notably, ticagrelor is not approved for prevention of stroke or CV-related events in patients with a prior stroke or TIA.

ticlopidine

The Canadian American Ticlopidine Study (CATS) followed patients (n=1,072) for a mean of 24 months and reported that ticlopidine 250 mg twice daily reduced the relative risk of stroke, MI, or vascular death by 30% (95% CI, 7.5 to 48.3; p=0.006) compared with placebo in stroke patients.[253] An intention-to-treat analysis gave a smaller estimate of relative risk reduction for stroke, MI, or vascular death

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

(23.3%, p=0.02). Adverse experiences associated with ticlopidine included neutropenia (severe in about 1% of cases) and skin rash and diarrhea (severe in 2% of cases each); all were reversible.

ticlopidine versus aspirin

A randomized, double-blind trial enrolling 1,809 African American patients with a recent history of noncardioembolic ischemic stroke compared the efficacy and safety of aspirin and ticlopidine to prevent recurrent strokes, MI, and vascular death.[254] Patients were given either aspirin 650 mg daily or ticlopidine 250 mg twice daily and were followed for 2 years. The study was stopped early due to the low probability of ticlopidine being superior to aspirin. The primary composite outcome was recurrent stroke, MI, or vascular death that occurred in 14.7% of ticlopidine patients and 12.3% of aspirin patients (HR, 1.22; 95% CI, 0.94 to 1.57). Neutropenia was reported in 3.4% of ticlopidine patients compared to 2.2% of aspirin patients (p=0.12). One possible case of thrombotic thrombocytopenia purpura was reported in the ticlopidine treated group.

vorapaxar (Zontivity)

A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (TRA 2P – TIMI) compared vorapaxar to placebo.[255,256] There are 2 important differences between data in the TRA 2P publication and the approved prescribing information. The original cohort included patients with a history of MI (≥ 2 weeks but ≤ 12 months prior), ischemic stroke, or peripheral artery disease (PAD; history of intermittent claudication and an ankle-brachial index of < 0.85, or amputation or revascularization of the extremities secondary to ischemia). During the trial, after a median of 24 months of follow-up, an increased risk of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) was observed in patients with a previous stroke; thus, all patients with a previous stroke were discontinued from the study. The prescribing information presents data from both the original cohort and the indicated population (post-MI and PAD). The protocol-defined primary endpoint was the composite of CV death, MI, stroke, or urgent coronary revascularization (UCR) and the major secondary endpoint was the composite of CV death, MI, or stroke. During the trial, prior to data lock or unblinding, the Steering Committee reordered the hierarchy of the efficacy endpoints based on another completed trial's results. The prescribing information considers the primary outcome measure to be the composite of CV death, MI, stroke, or UCR.

In TRA 2P – TIMI, patients who had evidence or a history of atherosclerosis involving the coronary, cerebral, or peripheral vascular systems were randomized to receive daily treatment with vorapaxar (n=13,225) or placebo (n=13,224) in addition to standard of care.[257,258] Standard-of-care included antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and/or clopidogrel, statins, beta-blockers, and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs). The study's primary endpoint was the composite of CV death, MI, stroke, and UCR. The composite of CV death, MI, and stroke was assessed as key secondary endpoint. The qualifying diagnosis was prior-MI in 67.3% and 67.2% of vorapaxar and placebo patients respectively, ischemic stroke in 18.4% and 18.5%, respectively of vorapaxar and placebo patients, and PAD in 14.3% in both groups. The median follow-up was 2.5 years (up to 4 years). In the original cohort (including those with a history of stroke), the findings in all randomized patients for the primary efficacy composite endpoint showed a 3-year Kaplan-Meier (K-M) event rate of 11.2% in the vorapaxar group compared to 12.4% in the placebo group (HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.82 to 0.95; p=0.001). The findings for the key secondary efficacy endpoint showed a 3 year K-M event rate of 9.3% in the vorapaxar group compared to 10.5% in placebo group (HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.8 to 0.94; p<0.001).

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

The difference between vorapaxar and placebo in the secondary endpoint of death or MI was significant favoring vorapaxar (p=0.002). The difference between groups in individual components of the composite endpoints was significant for MI (p=0.001) but not for the endpoints of stroke, UCR, or death from any cause. In patients weighing less than 60 kg, vorapaxar did not favorably influence outcomes. The primary safety endpoint of GUSTO moderate or severe bleeding occurred in 4.2% in the vorapaxar group versus 2.5% in the placebo group (HR, 1.66; p<0.001). Overall, ICH occurred in 102 patients (1%) in the vorapaxar group versus 53 patients (0.5%) in the placebo group (HR, 1.94; p<0.001). The difference between groups in fatal bleeding was not significant. Among those in the post-stroke cohort, the rate of ICH in the vorapaxar group was 2.4% versus 0.9% in the placebo group (p<0.001).

In the pre-defined sub-group analysis of patients with a qualifying diagnosis of MI, the CV death, MI, or stroke composite was reduced from 9.6% (K-M 3-year estimate) in the placebo group to 8.3% in the vorapaxar group (HR, 0.8; p<0.001). The composite of CV death, MI, stroke, or UCR was reduced from 11.8% in the placebo group to 10.6% in the vorapaxar group (HR 0.83; p<0.001). In this cohort, GUSTO moderate or severe bleeding occurred in 3.4% of vorapaxar patients (K-M 3-year estimate) versus 2.1% of placebo patients (HR, 1.61; p<0.001). The differences in rate of ICH and fatal bleeding were not significant.

In the pre-specified sub-group analysis of patients with a qualifying diagnosis of PAD, neither the CV death, MI, or stroke composite nor the CV death, MI, stroke or UCR composite were significant for vorapaxar versus placebo.[259] However, the secondary endpoint rates of hospitalization for acute limb ischemia occurred in 2.3% of vorapaxar patients versus 3.9% of placebo patients (HR, 0.58; p=0.006). Peripheral revascularization occurred in 18.4% versus 22.2% of vorapaxar and placebo patients, respectively (HR, 0.84; p=0.0017). The reduction was consistent for both urgent and elective revascularization. In this cohort, GUSTO moderate or severe bleeding occurred in 7.4% versus 4.5% of patients in the vorapaxar and placebo groups, respectively (HR, 1.62; p=0.001). When patients with a history of cerebrovascular disease were excluded, the rates of ICH were 0.7% for vorapaxar versus 0.4% for placebo (p=0.37).

META-ANALYSIS

Assessment of conventional and network meta-analyses for inclusion in this class review considers clinical importance and validity.

A meta-analysis of serious vascular events (MI, stroke, or vascular death) and major bleeds in 6 primary prevention trials and 16 secondary prevention trials compared long-term immediate release aspirin versus control.[260] In the primary prevention trials, aspirin allocation yielded a 12% proportional reduction in serious vascular events (0.51% aspirin versus 0.57% control per year, p=0.0001), due mainly to a reduction of about a fifth in non-fatal MI (0.18% versus 0.23% per year; p<0.0001). The net effect on stroke was not significant (0.2% versus 0.21% per year; p=0.4). Aspirin increased major GI and extracranial bleeds (0.1% versus 0.07% per year; p<0.0001). In the secondary prevention trials, aspirin yielded a greater absolute reduction in serious vascular events (6.7% versus 8.2% per year; p<0.0001), with a non-significant increase in hemorrhagic stroke but reductions of about one fifth in total stroke (2.08% versus 2.54% per year; p=0.002) and in coronary events (4.3% versus 5.3% per year; p<0.0001). In both primary and secondary prevention trials, the proportional reductions in the aggregate of all serious vascular events seemed similar for men and women. In a similar meta-analysis (12 trials;

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

n=15,778), the authors evaluated pooled data comparing aspirin to control in recurrent stroke following ischemic stroke or TIA.[261] The authors found that aspirin reduced 6 week early recurrent ischemic stroke by nearly 60% (HR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.32 to 0.55; p<0.0001). It also reduced fatal ischemic stroke by approximately 70% (HR, 0.29; 95% CI, 0.2 to 0.42; p<0.0001). Data for aspirin also was compared to aspirin plus dipyridamole (8 trials; n=11,937). No difference was found with the addition of dipyridamole within 12 weeks (OR, 0.9; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.25; p=0.53), but a difference favoring dipyridamole was found thereafter (OR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.92; p=0.005).

Dipyridamole has no clear evidence of substantial benefit on vascular death compared to controls based on a systematic review evaluating the role of dipyridamole for preventing stroke and other vascular events in patients with vascular disease.[262] A total of 29 trials with 23,019 participants were included. Compared to the control group, dipyridamole had no effect on vascular death (relative risk [RR], 0.99; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.12). The dose of dipyridamole did not influence the outcome nor did the type of vascular disease at presentation. For the risk of vascular events, dipyridamole did significantly reduce the risk only for patients presenting with cerebral ischemia. There was no evidence that dipyridamole monotherapy was more efficacious than aspirin.

The combination of aspirin with dipyridamole ER (Aggrenox) has shown to be beneficial in the Second European Stroke Prevention Study (ESPS-2) in patients with cerebral ischemia.[263] A meta-analysis pooling data from 5 trials with a total of 11,459 patients with a history of TIA or ischemic stroke found that aspirin/dipyridamole reduced the composite of nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI, and vascular death as compared with aspirin alone (OR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.97), dipyridamole alone (OR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.9), or control (OR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.75).[264] It should be noted that 57% of the data were from the ESPS-2 trial.

A meta-analysis of 6 randomized trials with 7,648 patients showed a significant reduction in the overall stroke risk ratio with aspirin plus dipyridamole compared with aspirin alone (RR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.89) and composite outcome of stroke, MI, or vascular death with relative risk 0.85 (95% CI, 0.76 to 0.94).[265] Studies using immediate-release dipyridamole showed a nonstatistically significant trend in favor of the combination for stroke alone with relative risk 0.83 (95% CI, 0.59 to 1.15) and for the composite outcome with relative risk 0.95 (95% CI, 0.75 to 1.19). Studies using predominantly extended-release dipyridamole showed a statistically significant difference in favor of the combination for stroke alone with relative risk 0.76 (95% CI, 0.65 to 0.89) and for the composite outcome with relative risk 0.82 (95% CI, 0.73 to 0.92). Approximately 80% of the patients in this meta-analysis were from the ESPS-2 and ESPIRIT trials.

A meta-analysis of 3 randomized trials, PCI-CURE, CREDO, and PCI-CLARITY, was performed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of clopidogrel (Plavix) pre-treatment before PCI intervention with and without glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor (GPI) use.[266] A total of 6,325 patients were included; 32.4% of them received a GPI. There was a consistent benefit of clopidogrel pretreatment in reducing the incidence of CV death, MI, or stroke after PCI both in patients who did not receive a GPI (OR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.53 to 0.98; p=0.03) and in those who did (OR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.47 to 1; p=0.05). Clopidogrel pretreatment was not associated with a significant increase in bleeding.

In a network meta-analysis (30 trials; n=34,563) comparing the safety and efficacy of prasugrel, ticagrelor, and both high- and standard-dose clopidogrel in patients undergoing PCI, prasugrel was found to be the most effective for definite or probable stent thrombosis (statistically significant odds ratio versus ticagrelor and standard-dose clopidogrel), followed by high-dose clopidogrel and ticagrelor

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

(both statistically superior to clopidogrel standard dose).[267] Standard-dose clopidogrel was found to be the least effective. MI was least likely to be prevented by standard-dose clopidogrel (OR > 1 versus other agents) with no statistically significant difference between the other agents. No statistically significant difference was found in prevention of CV death. On the other hand, high-dose clopidogrel outperformed prasugrel in bleeding complications, but no statistically significant difference was found in TIMI major bleeding. As it is a network meta-analysis, results should be interpreted cautiously. A meta-analysis (12 trials; n=3,956) evaluating the benefit of a prasugrel switch in patients undergoing PCI, found that a switch did not increase major bleeding compared to standard therapy (OR, 0.7; 95% CI, 0.39 to 1.25; p=0.23).[268] However, a difference in mortality was not found.

A meta-analysis of 4 randomized trials (n=31,470; DISPERSE-2, TRILOGY ACS, PLATO [NSTE-ACS], and TRITON-TIMI 38 [NSTE-ACS]) compared the efficacy and safety of prasugrel and ticagrelor to clopidogrel in patients non-ST-elevated ACS.[269] The primary outcome was a composite endpoint of major CV events (CV death, MI, or stroke). Secondary outcomes were components of major CV events, all-cause mortality, and TIMI major and minor bleeding. The newer agents, prasugrel and ticagrelor, decreased major CV events (RR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.8 to 0.95) and MI (RR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.96) compared to clopidogrel, but no statistically significant difference was found in CV death (RR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.71 to 1.01). However, there was a significant increase in TIMI major and minor bleeding with the newer agents compared to clopidogrel (RR, 1.2; 95% CI, 1.02 to 1.42). Due to paucity of data, stent thrombosis was not evaluated. The authors concluded that the newer agents, prasugrel and ticagrelor, are more effective than clopidogrel at decreasing the composite of major CV events and MI but have a higher risk of bleeding associated with their use.

Another meta-analysis of 9 randomized trials (n=25,214; TRITON-TIMI 38, TRILOGY ACS, PRASFIT-ACS, JUMBO-TIMI26, PRINCIPLE-TIMI 44, OPTIMUS-3, TAILOR, ACAPULCO, and Alexopoulos, et al.), was performed to evaluate if the higher bleeding risk associated with prasugrel compared to clopidogrel would be outweighed by its improvement in decreased major CV events.[270] Overall, the risks of major CV events outweighed the risks of major (OR, 7.48; 95% CI, 3.75 to 14.94; p<0.0001) and minor (OR, 3.77; 95% CI, 1.73 to 8.22; p=0.009) bleeding using a random-effects model, suggesting an advantage of prasugrel over clopidogrel despite an increased risk of bleed. Results with fixed-effects were comparable. Limitations of this meta-analysis include that not all trials included were conducted in the U.S. and heterogeneity of the studies.

SUMMARY

Platelet aggregation inhibitors are used to prevent and treat a variety of thrombotic events including myocardial infarction, stroke and transient ischemic attack, and peripheral arterial disease. Various guidelines have specific recommendations for platelet aggregation inhibitor use.

Clopidogrel (Plavix) has been established for use in the management of a variety of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular conditions associated with thrombotic events. The effectiveness of clopidogrel is dependent on its conversion to its active metabolite, largely by CYP2C19. However, recent evidence indicates that patients with genetically-reduced CYP2C19 function have lower systemic exposure to the active metabolite of clopidogrel, diminished antiplatelet responses, and generally exhibit higher cardiovascular event rates following myocardial infarction than patients with normal CYP2C19 function. Also, concomitant use of proton-pump inhibitors (particularly proton-pump inhibitors

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

extensively inhibited by CYP2C19) with clopidogrel may increase cardiovascular events. Concomitant use of clopidogrel with omeprazole or esomeprazole should be avoided.

Prasugrel (Effient) is approved to reduce cardiovascular events in acute coronary syndrome patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention, and it is reported to be more effective than clopidogrel in preventing myocardial infarction and stent thrombosis in this population. However, these gains are tempered by a significant increase in bleeding events. Prasugrel should not be used in patients with a prior history of stroke or transient ischemic attack.

Ticagrelor (Brilinta) differs from the thienopyridines (clopidogrel and prasugrel) as it binds reversibly instead of irreversibly with $P2Y_{12}$ platelet receptor, and it has a more rapid onset of action than clopidogrel. Ticagrelor is indicated for the reduction of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome (unstable angina, NSTEMI, or STEMI). Ticagrelor has shown to significantly reduce the rate of death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction, or stroke compared with clopidogrel. However, this benefit did not show in a subgroup analysis of patients enrolled in North America. Although ticagrelor does not increase the risk of major bleeding overall, it does increase major non-coronary artery bypass graft bleeding. When given with ticagrelor, the daily maintenance doses of aspirin should not exceed 100 mg daily since higher doses will decrease ticagrelor effectiveness. Both ticagrelor and prasugrel result in more intense platelet inhibition compared to clopidogrel. Unlike clopidogrel, prasugrel and ticagrelor are not expected to interact with proton-pump inhibitors.

Current guidelines from the American College of Chest Physicians and the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association include prasugrel and ticagrelor, in addition to clopidogrel, as options for dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin for the treatment of patients with unstable angina/non-ST-segment elevated myocardial infarction/non-ST-segment elevation-acute coronary syndrome. Prasugrel and ticagrelor were also added to the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association 2013 guidelines for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.

Ticlopidine is indicated for prevention of thrombotic stroke, but is considered second-line therapy; serious hematologic adverse effects limit the utility of ticlopidine. It is also indicated as an adjunct treatment to aspirin to reduce the incidence of subacute stent thrombosis in patients undergoing stent placement.

Vorapaxar (Zontivity), the first protease-activated receptor-1 (PAR-1) antagonist, is an option to reduce thrombotic cardiovascular events as add-on therapy to standard-of-care treatment (aspirin and/or clopidogrel) to further reduce the risk of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, and urgent cardiovascular revascularization in patients with a prior myocardial infarction or with peripheral arterial disease. Although the place in therapy for vorapaxar has not yet been clearly established or fully addressed in clinical practice guidelines, the demonstrated benefit appears to be strongest in the stable post-MI population without risk factors for bleeding. Caution should be used when choosing vorapaxar therapy due to an increase in moderate and severe bleeding in the pivotal clinical trial.

Immediate release aspirin is available over the counter and used for secondary prevention of myocardial infarction, stable and unstable angina, including coronary artery disease, arterial thromboembolism prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic heart valves in combination with warfarin, secondary prevention of stroke/transient ischemic attack, and acute treatment of stroke in patients not eligible for thrombolysis. An extended-release formulation (Durlaza) was approved for risk

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

reduction of myocardial infarction and death in patients with chronic coronary artery disease and risk reduction of recurrent stroke or death in patient with prior stroke or transient ischemic attack based on efficacy and safety data with immediate-release aspirin. More recently, Yosprala, a delayed-release combination product containing aspirin and omeprazole, a proton pump inhibitor, was approved for patients who require aspirin therapy who are also at risk for developing gastric ulcers.

Aspirin/dipyridamole (Aggrenox) is indicated for risk reduction of stroke in patients who have had transient ischemia of the brain or completed ischemic thrombotic stroke due to thrombosis.

The American Heart Association/American Stroke Association recommends aspirin monotherapy or aspirin/dipyridamole ER monotherapy for the secondary stroke prevention. Clopidogrel monotherapy is a reasonable option for secondary prevention of stroke in place of aspirin or aspirin/dipyridamole ER, especially for patients allergic to aspirin. The American College of Chest Physicians states all 3 are acceptable options for long-term secondary stroke prevention.

Dipyridamole is indicated as adjunctive therapy to coumarin anticoagulants in the prevention of postoperative thromboembolic complications of cardiac valve replacement.

REFERENCES

1 Durlaza [package insert]. North Haven, CT; New Haven; September 2015.
2 Aggrenox [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
3 Yosprala [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Aralez; September 2016.
4 Plavix [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi; July 2017.
5 Persantine [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; August 2005.
6 Effient [package insert]. Indianapolis, IN; Eli Lilly; July 2016.
7 Brilinta [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; September 2016.
8 Ticlopidine [package insert]. Detroit, MI; Caraco; May 2013.
9 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
10 Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics 2017 Update: A Report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2017 Mar 7;135(10):e146-e603. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000485. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2017/01/25/CIR.0000000000000485. Accessed October 4, 2017.
11 Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics 2017 Update: A Report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2017 Mar 7;135(10):e146-e603. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000485. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2017/01/25/CIR.0000000000000485. Accessed October 4, 2017.
12 Antiplatelet Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative overview of randomized trials of antiplatelet therapy: I. Prevention of death, myocardial infarction and stroke by prolonged antiplatelet therapy in various categories of patients. BMJ. 1994; 308:81–106.
13 Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. BMJ. 2002; 324:71–86.
14 Antiplatelet Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative overview of randomized trials of antiplatelet therapy: I. Prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke by prolonged antiplatelet therapy in various categories of patients. BMJ. 1994; 308:81–106.
15 Gum Pa, Thamilarasan M, Watanabe J, et al. Aspirin use and all-cause mortality among patients being evaluated for known or suspected coronary artery disease. A propensity analysis. JAMA. 2001; 286:1187–1194.
16 de Gaetano G for the Collaborative Group of the Primary Prevention Project. Low-dose aspirin and vitamin E in people at cardiovascular risk: a randomised trial in general practice. Collaborative Group of the Primary Prevention Project. Lancet. 2001; 357(9250):89–95.
17 Steering Committee of the Physicians' Health Study Research Group. Final report on the aspirin component of the ongoing Physicians' Health Study. N Engl J Med. 1989; 321:12–135.
18 ISIS-2 (Second International Study of Infarct Survival) Collaborative Group. Randomized, trial of intravenous streptokinase, oral aspirin, both, or neither among 17,187 cases of suspected acute myocardial infarction. Lancet. 1988; 2(8607):349-360.
19 Gum PA, Kottke-Marchant K, Welsh PA, et al. A prospective, blinded determination of the natural history of aspirin resistance among stable patients with cardiovascular disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41(6):961–65.
20 Eikelboom JW, Hirsh J, Weitz JI, et al. Aspirin-resistant thromboxane biosynthesis and the risk of myocardial infarction, stroke, or cardiovascular death in patients at high risk for cardiovascular events. Circulation. 2002; 105; 1650–1655.
21 Eikelboom JW, Hankey GJ, Thom J, et al. Enhanced antiplatelet effect of clopidogrel in patients whose platelets are least inhibited by aspirin: a randomized crossover trial. J Thromb Haemost. 2005; 3:2649–2655.
22 Lev EI, Patel RT, Maresh KJ, et al. Aspirin and clopidogrel drug response in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention the role of dual drug resistance. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006; 47:27–33.
23 Lev EI, Patel RT, Guthikonda S, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of the platelet receptors P2Y(12), P2Y(1), and GPIIIa and response to aspirin and clopidogrel. Thromb Res. 2007; 119(3):355-360.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

24 Snoep JD, Hovens MMC, Eikenboom JCJ, et al. Association of laboratory-defined aspirin resistance with a higher risk of recurrent cardiovascular events: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Intern Med. 2007; 167:1593–1599.
25 Chen WH. Antiplatelet resistance with aspirin and clopidogrel: is it real and does it matter? Curr Cardiol Rep. 2006; 8(4):301–306.
26 Hovens MM, Snoep JD, Eikenboom JC, et al. Prevalence of persistent platelet reactivity despite use of aspirin: a systematic review. Am Heart J. 2007; 153(2):175-181.
27 Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357(20):2001-2015.
28 Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357(20):2001–2015.
29 Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes. NEJM. 2009; 361(11):1045–1057.
30 Snoep JD, Hovens MM, Eikenboom JC, et al. Clopidogrel nonresponsiveness in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention with stenting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am Heart J. 2007; 154(2):221–31.
31 Campo G, Valgimigli M, Gemmati D, et al. Poor responsiveness to clopidogrel: drug-specific or class-effect mechanism? Evidence from a clopidogrel-to-ticlopidine crossover study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007; 50(12):1132–1137.
32 De Miguel A, Ibanez B, Badimón JJ. Clinical implications of clopidogrel resistance. Thromb Haemost. 2008; 100(2):196–203.
33 Serebruany V, Pokov I, Kuliczkowski W, et al. Baseline platelet activity and response after clopidogrel in 257 diabetics among 822 patients with coronary artery disease. Thromb Haemost. 2008; 100(1):7–8.
34 Gilard M, Arnaud B, Cornily JC, et al. Influence of omeprazole on the antiplatelet action of clopidogrel associated with aspirin: the randomized, double-blind OCLA (Omeprazole Clopidogrel Aspirin) Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 51(3):256–260.
35 Juurlink DN, Gomes T, Ko DT, et al. A population-based study of the drug interaction between proton pump inhibitors and clopidogrel. CMAJ. 2009; 180(7):699-700.
36 Aubert RE, Epstein RS, Teagarden JR, et al. Proton pump inhibitors effect on clopidogrel effectiveness: The Clopidogrel Medco Outcomes Study (abstract). Circulation. 2008; 118:S815.
37 Michelson AD, Linden MD, Furman MI, et al. Evidence that pre-existent variability in platelet response to ADP accounts for 'clopidogrel resistance'. J Thromb Haemost. 2007; 5(1):75–81.
38 Nguyen TA, Diodati JG, Pharand C. Resistance to clopidogrel: a review of the evidence. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005; 45(8):1157-1164.
39 Umemura K, Furuta T, Kondo K. The common gene variants of CYP2C19 affect pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in an active metabolite of clopidogrel in health subjects. J Thromb Haemost. 2008; 6(8):1439–1441.
40 Trenk D, Hochholzer W, Fromm MF, et al. Cytochrome P450 2C19 681G?A polymorphism and high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity associated with adverse 1-year clinical outcome of elective percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting or bare-metal stents. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 51(20):1925–1934.
41 Collet JP, Hulot JS, Pena A, et al. Cytochrome P450 2C19 polymorphism in young patients treated with clopidogrel after myocardial infarction: a cohort study. Lancet. 2009; 373(9660):309–317.
42 Simon T, Verstuyft C, Mary-Krause M, et al. Genetic determinants of response to clopidogrel and cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360(4):363–375.
43 Frere C, Cuisset T, Morange PE, et al. Effect of cytochrome P450 polymorphism on platelet reactivity after treatment with clopidogrel in acute coronary syndrome. Am J Cardiol. 2008; 101:1088–1093.
44 Varenhorst C, James S, Erlinge D, et al. Assessment of P2Y(12) inhibition with the point-of-care device VerifyNow P2Y12 in patients treated with prasugrel or clopidogrel coadministered with aspirin. Am Heart J. 2009. 157(3):562.e1–9. Epub 2009 Feb 6.
45 Gori AM, Marcucci R, Migliorini A, et al. Incidence and clinical impact of dual nonresponsiveness to aspirin and clopidogrel in patients with drug-eluting stents. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 52(9):740–742.
46 Bibbins-Domingo K on behalf of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Aspirin use for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and colorectal cancer: U.S. Preventative Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med. 2016; 164(12):836–845. DOI: 10.7326/M16-0577. Available at: https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/RecommendationStatementFinal/aspirin-to-prevent-cardiovascular-disease-and-cancer. Accessed October 4, 2017.
47 Vandvik O, Lincoff AM, Gore JM, et al. American College of Chest Physicians. The primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: Antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (9th edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S):e637S–e668S. Available at: http://www.chestnet.org/Guidelines-and-Resources. Accessed October 4, 2017.
48 FDA. Use of aspirin in primary prevention of heart attack and stroke. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/ResourcesForYou/Consumers/ucm390574.htm. Accessed October 4, 2017.
49 Meschia JF, Bushnell C, Boden-Albala B, et al. Guidelines for the Primary Prevention of Stroke: A Statement for Healthcare Professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014; 45: 00-00. Available at: http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/early/2014/10/28/STR.0000000000000046. Accessed October 4, 2017.
50 US Preventive Services Task Force draft recommendation statement: aspirin to prevent cardiovascular disease and cancer. Available at: http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/draft-recommendation-statement/aspirin-to-prevent-cardiovascular-disease-and-cancer. Accessed October 4, 2017.
51 Lansberg MG O'Donnell MJ, Khatri P, et al. American College of Chest Physicians. Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for ischemic stroke: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (9th edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S): e601S-e636S. Available at: http://www.chestnet.org/Guidelines-and-Resources. Accessed October 4, 2017.
52 Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HP, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke. A guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2013; 44:870–947. Available at: http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/44/3/870. Accessed October 4, 2017.
53 Kernan WN, Ovbiagele B, Black DM, et al. Guidelines for the Prevention of Stroke in Patients With Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014; 45(7): 2160-2236. DOI: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000024. Available at: http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/45/7/2160.long. Accessed October 4, 2017.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

54 Whitlock RP, Sun JC, Fremes SE, et al. American College of Chest Physicians. Antithrombotic Therapy for Valvular Disease: Antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (9th edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S):5 e576S-e600S. Available at: http://www.chestnet.org/Guidelines-and-Resources. Accessed October 4, 2017.
55 Meschia JF, Bushnell C, Boden-Albala B, et al. Guidelines for the Primary Prevention of Stroke: A Statement for Healthcare Professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014; 45: 00-00. Available at: http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/early/2014/10/28/STR.0000000000000046. Accessed October 4, 2017.
56 Bushnell C, McCullough LD, Awad IA, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in women: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Society/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 20114; 45(5): 1545-1588. DOI: 10.1161/01.str.0000442009.06663.48.Available at: http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/early/2014/02/06/01.str.0000442009.06663.48. Accessed October 4, 2017.
57 Amersterdan EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients with Non-ST Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64(24):e139–e228. DOI:10.1016. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/130/25/e344. Accessed October 4, 2017.
58 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline focused updated on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016; 134(13): e282-e293. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/03/28/CIR.0000000000000404. Accessed October 4, 2017.
59 Vandvik O, Lincoff M, Gore, JM, et al. Primary and Secondary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest 2012; 141(2S):e637A-e668S. Available at: http://www.chestnet.org/Guidelines-and-Resources. Accessed October 4, 2017.
60 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline focused updated on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016; 134(13): e282-e293. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/03/28/CIR.0000000000000404. Accessed October 4, 2017.
61 O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Casey DE, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61(4):e78–e140. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/127/4/529. Accessed October 4, 2017.
62 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline focused updated on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016; 134(13): e282-e293. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/03/28/CIR.0000000000000404. Accessed October 4, 2017.
63 O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Casey DE, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61(4):e78–e140. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/127/4/529. Accessed October 4, 2017.
64 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline focused updated on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016; 134(13): e282-e293. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/03/28/CIR.0000000000000404. Accessed October 4, 2017.
65 Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions [published online ahead of print November 7, 2011]. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011; 58(24). Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/124/23/e574. Accessed October 4, 2017.
66 Smith SC, Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, et al. AHA/ACCF secondary prevention and risk reduction therapy for patients with coronary and other atherosclerotic vascular disease: 2011 update. A guideline from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation. 2011; 124: DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e318235eb4d. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/124/22/2458. Accessed October 4, 2017.
67 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline focused updated on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016; 134(13): e282-e293. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/03/28/CIR.0000000000000404. Accessed October 4, 2017.
68 Spertus JA, Kettelkamp R, Vance C, et al. Prevalence, predictors, and outcomes of premature discontinuation of thienopyridine therapy after drug-eluting stent placement: results from the PREMIER registry. Circulation. 2006; 113(24):2803-9.
69 Grines CL, Bonow RO, Casey DE Jr., et al. Prevention of premature discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery stents: a science advisory from the American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, American College of Surgeons, and American Dental Association, with representation from the American College of Physicians. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007: 49(6):734-739. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/115/6/813. Accessed October 4, 2017.
70 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline focused updated on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016; 134(13): e282-e293. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/03/28/CIR.0000000000000404. Accessed October 4, 2017.
71 Moussa I, Oetgen M, Roubin G, et al. Effectiveness of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin in preventing stent thrombosis after coronary stent implantation. Circulation. 1999; 99(18):2364–2366.
72 Taniuchi M, Kurz HI, Lasala JM. Randomized comparison of ticlopidine and clopidogrel after intracoronary stent implantation in a broad patient population. Circulation. 2001; 104(5):539–43.
73 Bertrand ME, Rupprecht HJ, Urban P, et al. Double-blind study of the safety of clopidogrel with and without a loading dose in combination with aspirin compared with ticlopidine in combination with aspirin after coronary stenting: the clopidogrel aspirin stent international cooperative study (CLASSICS). Circulation. 2000; 102(6):624–9.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

74 Casella G, Ottani F, Pavesi PC, et al. Safety and efficacy evaluation of clopidogrel compared to ticlopidine after stent implantation: an updated meta-analysis. Ital Heart J. 2003; 4(10):677–84.
75 O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Casey DE, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61(4):e78–e140. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/127/4/529. Accessed October 4, 2017.
76 Amersterdan EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients with Non-ST Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64(24):e139-e228. DOI: 10.1016. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1910086. Accessed October 4, 2017.
77 Vandvik O, Lincoff AM, Gore JM, et al. American College of Chest Physicians. The primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: Antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (9th edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S):e637S-e668S.
78 Hillis LD, Smith PK, Anderson JL, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011; 58(24). Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/124/23/e652. Accessed October 4, 2017.
79 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline focused updated on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016; 134(13): e282-e293. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/03/28/CIR.0000000000000404. Accessed October 4, 2017.
80 Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Growther M, et al. Executive Summary: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest; 141(2S):7S-47S.
81 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline focused updated on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016; 134(13): e282-e293. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/03/28/CIR.0000000000000404. Accessed October 4, 2017.
82 Barrett C, Barshes NR, Corriere MA, et al. 2016 AHA/ACC Guideline for the management of patients with lower extremity peripheral arterial disease: A report from the American College of Cardiology /American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000471. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/11/11/CIR.0000000000000471. Accessed October 4, 2017.
83 Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Growther M, et al. Executive Summary: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest; 141(2S):7S-47S.
84 FDA. Use of aspirin in primary prevention of heart attack and stroke. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/ResourcesForYou/Consumers/ucm390574.htm. Accessed October 4, 2017.
85 Patrono C, Coller B, Fitzgerald GA, et al. Platelet Active Drugs: the relationships among dose, effectiveness, and side effects. Chest. 2004; 126:234S-264S.
86 Available at: www.clinicalpharmacology.com. Accessed November 21, 2016.
87 Durlaza [package insert]. North Haven, CT; New Haven; September 2015.
88 Aggrenox [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
89 Yosprala [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Aralez; September 2016.
90 Plavix [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi; July 2017.
91 Persantine [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; June 2006.
92 Effient [package insert]. Indianapolis, IN; Eli Lilly; July 2016.
93 Brilinta [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; September 2016.
94 Ticlopidine [package insert]. Detroit, MI; Caraco; May 2013.
95 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
96 Durlaza [package insert]. North Haven, CT; New Haven; September 2015.
97 Aggrenox [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
98 Yosprala [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Aralez; September 2016.
99 Plavix [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi; July 2017.
100 Persantine [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; June 2006.
101 Effient [package insert]. Indianapolis, IN; Eli Lilly; July 2016.
102 Brilinta [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; September 2016.
103 Ticlopidine [package insert]. Detroit, MI; Caraco; May 2013.
104 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
105 Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/ucm203888.htm. Accessed October 4, 2017.
106 Mega JL, Thakuria JV, Cannon CP, et al. Sequence variations in CYP metabolism genes and cardiovascular outcomes following treatment with clopidogrel: insights from the CLARITY-TIMI 28 genomic study. 2008; ACC Meeting Abstract.
107 Mega JL, Close SL, Wiviott SD, et al. Cytochrome P-450 polymorphisms and response to clopidogrel. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360:354-362.
108 Trenk D, Hochholzer W, Fromm MF, et al. Cytochrome P450 2C19 681G>A polymorphism and high on clopidogrel platelet reactivity associated with adverse 1 year clinical outcome of elective percutaneous coronary intervention with drug eluting or bare-metal stents. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 51, 20: 1952.
109 Collet JP, Hulot JS, Pena A, et al. Cytochrome P450 2C19 polymorphism in young patients treated with clopidogrel after myocardial infarction: a cohort study. Lancet. 2009; 373: 309-317.
110 Sibbing D, Stegherr J, Latz W, et al. Cytochrome P450 2C19 loss-of-function polymorphism and stent thrombosis following percutaneous coronary intervention. Eur Heart J. 2009:1–7.
111 Giusti B, Gori AM, Marcucci R, et al. Relation of cytochrome P450 2C19 loss-of-function polymorphism to occurrence of drug-eluting coronary stent thrombosis. Am J Cardiol. 2009; 103:806–811.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

112 Simon T, Verstuyft C, Mary-Krause M, et al. Genetic determinants of response to clopidogrel and cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360(4):363-375.
113 Yin T, Miyata T. Pharmacogenomics of clopidogrel: evidence and perspectives. Thromb Res. 2011; 128(4): 307-316.
114 Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/ucm203888.htm. Accessed October 4, 2017.
115 FDA Drug Alerts and Statements. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm231161.htm. Accessed October 4, 2017.
116 Holmes DR Jr, Dehmer GJ, Kaul S, et al. ACCF/AHA clopidogrel clinical alert: approaches to the FDA "boxed warning": a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on clinical expert consensus documents and the American Heart Association endorsed by the Society of Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 56(4): 321-341. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.05.013.
117 Abraham NS, Hlatky MA, et al. ACCF/ACG/AHA 2010 Expert Consensus Document on the Concomitant Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors and Thienopyridines: A Focused Update of the ACCF/ACG/AHA 2008 Expert Consensus Document on Reducing the Gastrointestinal Risks of Antiplatelet Therapy and NSAID Use. Circulation published online November 8, 2010. Available at: https://gi.org/guideline/accfacgaha-2010-expert-consensus-document-on-the-concomitant-use-of-proton-pump-inhibitors-and-thienopyridines-a-focused-update-of-the-accfacgaha-2008-expert-consensus-document-on-reducing-the-ga/. Accessed October 4, 2017.
118 Abraham NS, Hlatky MA, et al. ACCF/ACG/AHA 2010 Expert Consensus Document on the Concomitant Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors and Thienopyridines: A Focused Update of the ACCF/ACG/AHA 2008 Expert Consensus Document on Reducing the Gastrointestinal Risks of Antiplatelet Therapy and NSAID Use. Circulation published online November 8, 2010. Available at: https://gi.org/guideline/accfacgaha-2010-expert-consensus-document-on-the-concomitant-use-of-proton-pump-inhibitors-and-thienopyridines-a-focused-update-of-the-accfacgaha-2008-expert-consensus-document-on-reducing-the-ga/. Accessed October 4, 2017.
119 Durlaza [package insert]. North Haven, CT; New Haven; September 2015.
120 Aggrenox [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
121 Yosprala [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Aralez; September 2016.
122 Plavix [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi; July 2017.
123 Persantine [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; June 2006.
124 Effient [package insert]. Indianapolis, IN; Eli Lilly; July 2016.
125 Brilinta [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; September 2016.
126 Ticlopidine [package insert]. Detroit, MI; Caraco; May 2013.
127 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
128 Zakarija A, Bandarenko N, Pandey DK, et al. Clopidogrel-associated TTP: an update of pharmacovigilance efforts conducted by independent researchers, pharmaceutical suppliers, and the Food and Drug Administration. Stroke. 2004; 35(2):533–537.
129 Long-term antiplatelet therapy: FDA safety announcement. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm423079.htm. Accessed October 4, 2017.
130 Plavix (clopidogrel): FDA drug safety communication. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm471531.htm. Accessed October 4, 2017.
131 FDA approved REMS. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/rems/index.cfm. Accessed October 4, 2017.
132 Durlaza [package insert]. North Haven, CT; New Haven; September 2015.
133 Aggrenox [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
134 Yosprala [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Aralez; September 2016.
135 Plavix [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi; July 2017.
136 Persantine [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; June 2006.
137 Effient [package insert]. Indianapolis, IN; Eli Lilly; July 2016.
138 Brilinta [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; September 2016.
139 Ticlopidine [package insert]. Detroit, MI; Caraco; May 2013.
140 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
141 Available at: www.clinicalpharmacology.com. Accessed November 21, 2016.
142 Bhatt DL, Scheiman J, Abraham NS, et al. ACCF/ACG/AHA 2008 expert consensus document on reducing the gastrointestinal risks of antiplatelet therapy and NSAID use: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 52(18):1–18. Available at: https://gi.org/guideline/accfacgaha-2008-expert-consensus-document-on-reducing-the-gastrointestinal-risks-of-antiplatelet-therapy-and-nsaid-use/. Accessed October 4, 2017.
143 Gilard M, Arnaud B, Cornily JC, et al. Influence of omeprazole on the antiplatelet action of clopidogrel associated with aspirin: the randomized, double-blind OCLA (Omeprazole Clopidogrel Aspirin) Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 51(3):256–260.
144 Ho PM, Maddox TM, Wang L, et al. Risk of adverse outcomes associated with concomitant use of clopidogrel and proton pump inhibitors following acute coronary syndrome. JAMA. 2009; 301(9):937–944.
145 Aubert RE, Epstein RS, Teagarden JR, et al. Proton pump inhibitors effect on clopidogrel effectiveness: The Clopidogrel Medco Outcomes Study (abstract). Circulation. 2008; 118:S815.
146 SCAI statement on "A National Study of the Effect of Individual Proton Pump Inhibitors on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients Treated with Clopidogrel Following Coronary Stenting: The Clopidogrel Medco Outcomes Study." The Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. May 2009. http://www.scai.org/Press/detail.aspx?cid=d5661afe-976d-46fa-aed0-101ab694a9c6. Accessed October 4, 2017.
147 O'Donoghue ML, Braunwald E, Antman EM, et al. Pharmacodynamic effect and clinical efficacy of clopidogrel and prasugrel with or without a proton pump inhibitor: an analysis of two randomized trials. Lancet. 2009; 10.1016 (online):1-9.
148 Wiviott SD, Trenk D, Frelinger AL, et al. (PRINCIPLE-TIMI 44 Investigators). Prasugrel compared with high loading-and maintenance-dose clopidogrel in patients with planned percutaneous coronary intervention: the Prasugrel in Comparison to Clopidogrel for Inhibition of Platelet Activation and Aggregation-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 44 trial. Circulation. 2007; 116(25):2923–2932.
149 Bhatt DL, Cryer BL, et al. Clopidogrel with or without Omeprazole in Coronary Artery Disease. Available at: http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1007964. Accessed October 4, 2017.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

150 Chan FK, Ching JY, Hung LC, et al. Clopidogrel versus aspirin and esomeprazole to prevent recurrent ulcer bleeding. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352(3):238-44.
151 Lai KC, Chu KM, Hui WM, et al. Esomeprazole with aspirin versus clopidogrel for prevention of recurrent gastrointestinal ulcer complications. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2006; 4(7):860–865.
152 Durlaza [package insert]. North Haven, CT; New Haven; September 2015.
153 Aggrenox [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
154 Yosprala [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Aralez; September 2016.
155 Plavix [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi; July 2017.
156 Persantine [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; June 2006.
157 Effient [package insert]. Indianapolis, IN; Eli Lilly; July 2016.
158 Brilinta [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; September 2016.
159 Ticlopidine [package insert]. Detroit, MI; Caraco; May 2013.
160 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
161 CAPRIE Steering Committee. A randomized, blinded trial of clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk for ischemic events (CAPRIE). Lancet. 1996; 348:1329-1339.
162 Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes. NEJM. 2009; 361(11):1045–1057.
163 Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes. NEJM. 2009; 361(11):1045–1057.
164 Serebruany VL, Malinin AI, Eisert RM, et al. Risk of bleeding complications with antiplatelet agents: meta-analysis of 338,191 patients enrolled in 50 randomized controlled trials. Am J Hematol. 2004; 75(1):40–47.
165 McQuaid KR, Laine L. Systematic review and meta-analysis of adverse events of low-dose aspirin and clopidogrel in randomized controlled trials. Am J Med. 2006; 119(8):624–638.
166 Durlaza [package insert]. North Haven, CT; New Haven; September 2015.
167 Aggrenox [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
168 Yosprala [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Aralez; September 2016.
169 Plavix [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi; July 2017.
170 Persantine [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; June 2006.
171 Effient [package insert]. Indianapolis, IN; Eli Lilly; July 2016.
172 Brilinta [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; September 2016.
173 Ticlopidine [package insert]. Detroit, MI; Caraco; May 2013.
174 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
175 Monagle P, Chan AKC, Goldenberg NA, et al. Antithrombotic Therapy in neonates and children: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest; 141(2S): e737S–e801S.
176 Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Growther M, et al. Executive Summary: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest; 141(2S):7S-47S.
177 Husted S, James S, Becker RC, et al. PLATO study group. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in elderly patients with acute coronary syndromes: a substudy from the prospective randomized PLATelet inhibition and patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2012; 5(5):680–688.
178 James SK, Storey RF, Khurmi NS, et al. for the PLATO study group. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes and a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack. Circulation. 2012; 125:2914–2921.
179 Durlaza [package insert]. North Haven, CT; New Haven; September 2015.
180 Aggrenox [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
181 Yosprala [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Aralez; September 2016.
182 Plavix [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi; July 2017.
183 Persantine [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; June 2006.
184 Effient [package insert]. Indianapolis, IN; Eli Lilly; July 2016.
185 Brilinta [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; September 2016.
186 Ticlopidine [package insert]. Detroit, MI; Caraco; May 2013.
187 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
188 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
189 Hochholzer W, Trenk D, Fundi D, et al. Time dependence of platelet inhibition after a 600-mg loading dose of clopidogrel in a large, unselected cohort of candidates for percutaneous coronary intervention. Circulation. 2005; 111(20):2560–2564.
190 Kandzari DE, Berger PB, Kastrati A, et al. Influence of treatment duration with a 600-mg dose of clopidogrel before percutaneous coronary revascularization. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004; 44(11):2133–2136.
191 Patti G, Colonna G, Pasceri V, et al. Randomized trial of high loading dose of clopidogrel for reduction of periprocedural myocardial infarction in patients undergoing coronary intervention: results from the ARMYDA-2 (Antiplatelet therapy for reduction of Myocardial Damage during Angioplasty) study. Circulation. 2005; 111(16):2099–2106.
192 Cuisset T, Free C, Quilici J, et al. Benefit of a 600-mg loading dose of clopidogrel on platelet reactivity and clinical outcomes in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome undergoing coronary stenting. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006; 48(7):1339–1345.
193 Lotrionte M, Biondi-Zoccai GG, Agostoni P, et al. Meta-analysis appraising high cholesterol loading in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Am J Cardiol. 2007; 100(8):1199–1206.
194 Abuzahra M, Pillai M, Caldera A, et al. Comparison of higher clopidogrel loading and maintenance dose to standard dose on platelet function and outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention using drug-eluting stents. Am J Cardiol. 2008; 102(4):401–403.
195 Yong G, Rankin J, Ferguson L, et al. Randomized trials comparing 600- with 300-mg loading dose in patients with non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: results of the Platelet Responsiveness to Aspirin and Clopidogrel and Troponin Increment after Coronary intervention in Acute coronary Lesions (PRACTICAL) trial. Am Heart J. 2009; 157(1):60.e1–9.
196 Eikelboom JW, Hirsh J, Spencer FA et al. American College of Chest Physicians. Antiplatelet Drugs. Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (9th edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S):e89S-e119S.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

197 Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention: executive summary. Circulation. 2011; 124: 2574-2609. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e31823a5596. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/124/23/2574. Accessed October 4, 2017.
198 Antiplatelet Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative overview of randomized trials of antiplatelet therapy: I. Prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke by prolonged antiplatelet therapy in various categories of patients. BMJ. 1994; 308:81–106.
199 Steering Committee of the Physicians' Health Study Research Group. Final report on the aspirin component of the ongoing Physicians' Health Study. N Engl J Med. 1989; 321(3):129–35.
200 Gum Pa, Thamilarasan M, Watanabe J, et al. Aspirin use and all-cause mortality among patients being evaluated for known or suspected coronary artery disease. A propensity analysis. JAMA. 2001; 286:1187–1194.
201 de Gaetano G for the Collaborative Group of the Primary Prevention Project. Low-dose aspirin and vitamin E in people at cardiovascular risk: a randomised trial in general practice. Collaborative Group of the Primary Prevention Project. Lancet. 2001; 357(9250):89–95.
202 Ridker PM, Cook NR, Lee IM, et al. A Randomized Trial of Low-Dose Aspirin in the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Women. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352(13):1293–1304.
203 Berger JS, Roncaglioni MC, Avanzini F, et al. Aspirin for the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Events in Women and Men. A Sex-Specific Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. JAMA. 2006; 295:306–313.
204 Diener HC, Cunha L, Forbes C, et al. European Stroke Prevention Study 2. Dipyridamole and acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of stroke. J Neurol Sci. 1996; 143:1–13.
205 Sivenius J, Cunha L, Diener HC, et al. Second European Stroke Prevention Study: antiplatelet therapy is effective regardless of age. ESPS2 Working Group. Acta Neurol Scand. 1999; 99(1):54–60.
206 Dieker HJ, French JK, Joziasse IC, et al. Antiplatelet therapy and progression of coronary artery disease: a placebo-controlled trial with angiographic and clinical follow-up after myocardial infraction. Am Heart J. 2007; 153(1):66.e1–8.
207 Yosprala [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Aralez; September 2016.
71 CAPRIE Steering Committee. A randomized, blinded trial of clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk for ischemic events (CAPRIE). Lancet. 1996; 348:1329-1339.
209 Harker LA, Boissel JP, Pilgrim AJ, et al. Comparative safety and tolerability of clopidogrel and aspirin: results from CAPRIE. CAPRIE Steering Committee and Investigators. Clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischemic events. Drug Saf. 1999; 21(4):325-35.
210 Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, et al. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345:494–502.
211 Peters RJ, Mehta SR, Fox KA, et al. Effects of aspirin dose when used alone or in combination with clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes: observations from the Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent Events (CURE) study. Circulation. 2003; 108(14):1682–1687.
212 Peters RJ, Mehta SR, Fox KA, et al for the Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent Events (CURE) Trial Investigators. Effects of aspirin dose when used alone or in combination with clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes: observations from the Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent Events (CURE) study. Circulation. 2003; 108(14):1682–1687.
213 Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJ, et al for the Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent Events trial (CURE) Investigators. Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. Lancet. 2001; 358:527–533.
214 Boden WE, O'Rourke RA, Koon KT, et al. for the COURAGE Trial Research Group. Optimal medical therapy with or without PCI for stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356(15):1503–1516.
215 Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, Mann JT, et al and the CREDO Investigators. Clopidogrel for the Reduction of Events during Observation. JAMA. 2002; 288:2411–20.
216 Aronow HD, Steinhubl SR, Brennan DM, et al. CREDO Investigators. Bleeding risk associated with 1 year of dual antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the Clopidogrel for the Reduction of Events During Observation trial. Am Heart J. 2009; 157(2):369–374.
217 Diener HC, Bogousslavsky J, Brass LM, et al. Aspirin and clopidogrel compared with clopidogrel alone after recent ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack in high-risk patients (MATCH): randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2004; 364(9431):331–337.
218 Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, for the CLARITY-TIMI 28 Investigators. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin and fibrinolytic therapy for myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352(12):1179–89.
219 Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, et al for the Clopidogrel as Adjunctive Reperfusion Therapy (CLARITY)-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) 28 Investigators. Effect of clopidogrel pretreatment before percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction treated with fibrinolytics: the PCI-CLARITY study. JAMA. 2005; 294(10):1224–32.
220 Mehta SR, Bassand JP, et al. Design and rationale of CURRENT-OASIS 7: A randomized, 2 × 2 factorial trial evaluating optimal dosing strategies for clopidogrel and aspirin in patients with ST and non–ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes managed with an early invasive strategy. Amer Heart J. 2008; 156(6):1080-1088.
221 The CURRENT–OASIS 7 Investigators. Dose Comparisons of Clopidogrel and Aspirin in Acute Coronary Syndromes. N Engl J Med 2010; 363:930-942.
222 Mehta SR, Tanguay, JF, et. al. Double-dose versus standard-dose clopidogrel and high-dose versus low-dose aspirin in individuals undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for acute coronary syndromes (CURRENT –OASS 7): a randomised factorial trial. Lancet. 2010 Oct 9;376(9748):1203-5.
223 Bhatt DL, Fox KA, Hacke W, et al for the CHARISMA Investigators. Clopidogrel and aspirin versus aspirin alone for the prevention of atherothrombotic events. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354(16):1706-17.
224 Chen ZM, Jiang LX, Chen YP, et al for the COMMIT (ClOpidogrel and Metoprolol in Myocardial Infarction Trial) collaborative group. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin in 45,852 patients with acute myocardial infarction: randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2005; 366(9497):1607–1621.
225 Bernardi V, Szarfer J, Summary G, et al. Long-term versus short-term clopidogrel therapy in patients undergoing coronary stenting (from the Randomized Argentine Clopidogrel Stent [RACS] trial. Am J Cardiol. 2007; 99(3):349–352.
226 Zeymer U, Gitt AK, Junger C, et al. Acute Coronary Syndromes (ACOS). Effect of clopidogrel on 1-year mortality in hospital survivors of acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction in clinical practice. Eur Heart J. 2006; 27(22):2661–2666.
227 L'Allier PL; Aronow HD; Cura FA, et al. Clopidogrel is associated with better in-hospital and 30-day outcomes than ticlopidine after coronary stenting. Can J Cardiol. 2003; 19(9):1041–1046.
228 Mueller C, Roskamm H, Neumann FJ, et al. A randomized comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin after the placement of coronary artery stents. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41(6):969–973.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

229 Juergens CP, Wong AM, Leung DY, et al. A randomized comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin after coronary stent implantation. Am Heart J. 2004; 147(4):E15.
230 Berger PB. Results of the Ticlid or Plavix Post-Stents (TOPPS) trial: do they justify the switch from ticlopidine to clopidogrel after coronary stent placement? Curr Control Trials Cardiovasc Med. 2000; 1(2):83–87.
231 Taniuchi M, Kurz HI, Lasala JM. Randomized comparison of ticlopidine and clopidogrel after intracoronary stent implantation in a broad patient population. Circulation. 2001; 104(5):539–543.
232 Bertrand ME, Rupprecht HJ, Urban P, et al. Double-blind study of the safety of clopidogrel with and without a loading dose in combination with aspirin compared with ticlopidine in combination with aspirin after coronary stenting: the clopidogrel aspirin stent international cooperative study (CLASSICS). Circulation. 2000; 102(6):624–629.
233 Bertrand ME, Rupprecht HJ, Urban P, et al. Double-blind study of the safety of clopidogrel with and without a loading dose in combination with aspirin compared with ticlopidine in combination with aspirin after coronary stenting: the clopidogrel aspirin stent international cooperative study (CLASSICS). Circulation. 2000; 102(6):624–629.
234 Taniuchi M, Kurz HI, Lasala JM. Randomized comparison of ticlopidine and clopidogrel after intracoronary stent implantation in a broad patient population. Circulation. 2001; 104(5):539–43.
235 Juergens CP, Wong AM, Leung DY, et al. A randomized comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin after coronary stent implantation. Am Heart J. 2004; 147(4):E15.
236 Mueller C, Roskamm H, Neumann FJ, et al. A randomized comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin after the placement of coronary artery stents. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41(6):969–73.
237 Bertrand ME, Rupprecht HJ, Urban P, et al. Double-blind study of the safety of clopidogrel with and without a loading dose in combination with aspirin compared with ticlopidine in combination with aspirin after coronary stenting: the clopidogrel aspirin stent international cooperative study (CLASSICS). Circulation. 2000; 102(6):624–629.
238 Muller C, Buttner HJ, Petersen J, et al. A randomized comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin after the placement of coronary-artery stents. Circulation. 2000; 101(6):590–593.
239 Juergens CP, Wong AM, Leung DY, et al. A randomized comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin after coronary stent implantation. Am Heart J. 2004; 147(4):E15.
240 Biondi-Zoccai GG, Lotrionte M, Agostoni P, et al. Benefits of clopidogrel in patients undergoing coronary stenting significantly depend on loading dose: evidence from a meta-regression. Am Heart J. 2007; 153(4);587–593.
241 Sacco RL, Diener HC, Yusuf S, et al. PRoFESS Study Group. Aspirin and extended-release dipyridamole versus clopidogrel for recurrent stroke. N Engl J Med. 2008; 359(12):1238–1251.
242 Sullivan JM, Harken DE, Gorlin R. Pharmacologic control of thromboembolic complications of cardiac-valve replacement. N Engl J Med. 1971; 284:1391–1394.
243 Diener HC, Cunha L, Forbes C, et al. European Stroke Prevention Study 2. Dipyridamole and acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of stroke. J Neurol Sci. 1996; 143:1–13.
244 ESPRIT Study Group, Halkes PH, van Gijn J, et al. Aspirin plus dipyridamole versus aspirin alone after cerebral ischaemia of arterial origin (ESPRIT): randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2006; 367:1665–1673.
245 Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357(20):2001–2015.
246 Montalescot G, Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, et al. TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators. Prasugrel compared with clopidogrel in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (TRITON-TIMI 38): double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2009; 373(9665):723–731.
247 O'Donoghue M, Antman EM, Braunwald E, et al. The efficacy and safety of prasugrel with and without a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous intervention: a TRITON-TIMI 38 (Trial to assess improvement in therapeutic outcomes by optimizing platelet inhibition with prasugrel-thrombolysis in myocardial infarction 38) analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009; 54(8):678–685.
248 Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, Angiolillo DJ, et al. TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators. Greater clinical benefit of more intensive oral antiplatelet therapy with prasugrel in patients with diabetes mellitus in the trial to assess improvement in therapeutic outcomes by optimizing platelet inhibition with prasugrel-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38. Circulation. 2008; 118(16):1626–1636.
249 Roe MT, Armstrong PW, Fox KAA, et al. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel for acute coronary syndromes without revascularization. N Engl J Med. 2012; 367(14):1297-309. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1205512.
250 Doll JA, Neely ML, Roe MT, et al. Impact of CYP2C19 metabolizer status on patients with ACS treated with prasugrel verus clopidogrel. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016; 67 (8): 936-947. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.12.036.
251 Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361(11):1045–1057.
252 Johnston SC, Amarenco P, Albers GW, et al for the SOCRATES investigators. Ticagrelor versus aspirin in acute stroke or transient ischemic attack. N Engl J Med. 2016; 375(1): 35-43. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1603060.
253 Gent M, Blakely JA, Easton JD. The Canadian American Ticlopidine Study (CATS) in thromboembolic stroke. Lancet. 1989; 1:1215–1220.
254 Gorelick PB, Richardson D, Kelly M, et al. Aspirin and Ticlopidine for Prevention of Recurrent Stroke in Black Patients. A Randomized Trial. JAMA. 2003; 289:2947–2957.
255 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
256 Morrow DA, Braunwald E, Bonaca MP, et al for the TRA 2P-TIMI 50 Steering Committee and Investigators. Vorapaxar in the secondary prevention of atherothrombotic events. NEJM 2012; 366:1404–13. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1200933.
257 Zontivity [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; April 2015.
258 Morrow DA, Braunwald E, Bonaca MP, et al for the TRA 2P-TIMI 50 Steering Committee and Investigators. Vorapaxar in the secondary prevention of atherothrombotic events. NEJM 2012; 366:1404–13. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1200933.
259 Bonaca MP, Scirica BM, Creager MA, et al. Vorapaxar in patients with peripheral artery disease, Results from TRA 2ºP-TIMI 50. Circulation 2013; 127:1522-1529. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000679.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.

260 Antithrombotic Trialists' (ATT) Collaboration. Aspirin in the primary and secondary prevention of vascular disease: collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomized trials. Lancet. 2009; 373(9678):1849–1860.
261 Rothwell PM, Algra A, Chen Z, et al. Effects of aspirin on risk and severity of early recurrent stroke after transient ischaemic attack and ischaemic stroke: time-course analysis of randomized trials. Lancet. 2016; 388(10042): 365-375. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30468-8.
262 De Schryver EL, Algra A, van Gijn J. Dipyridamole for preventing stroke and other vascular events in patients with vascular disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007; (3):CD001820.
263 Sivenius J, Cunha L, Diener HC, et al. Second European Stroke Prevention Study: antiplatelet therapy is effective regardless of age. ESPS2 Working Group. Acta Neurol Scand. 1999; 99(1):54–60.
264 Leonardi-Bee J, Bath PM, Bousser MG, et al. Dipyridamole for preventing recurrent ischemic stroke and other vascular events: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomized controlled trials. Stroke. 2005; 36(1):162–168.
265 Verro P, Gorelick PB, Nguyen D. Aspirin plus dipyridamole versus aspirin for prevention of vascular events after stroke or TIA: a meta-analysis. Stroke. 2008; 39(4):1358–1363.
266 Sabatine MS, Hamdalla HN, Mehta SR, et al. Efficacy and safety of clopidogrel pretreatment before percutaneous coronary intervention with and without glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor use. Am J Heart. 2008; 155(5):910–917.
267 Singh S, Singh M, Grewal N, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety or prasugrel, ticagrelor, and standard-dose and high-dose clopidogrel in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a network meta-analysis. Am J the. 2016; 23(1): e52-e62. DOI: 10.1097/MJT.0000000000000350.
268 Verdoia M, Barbieri L, Suryapranata H, et al. Switching from clopidogrel to prasugrel in patients undergoing PCI: a meta-analytic overview. Platelets. 2016; 27(2): 93-104. DOI: 10.3109/09537104.2015.1042447.
269 Bavishi C, Panwar S, Messerli FH, et al. Meta-analysis of comparison of the newer oral P2Y12 inhibitors (prasugrel or ticagrelor) to clopidogrel in patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome. Am J Cardiol. 2015; 116(5): 809-817. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.05.058.
270 Chen HB, Zhang XL, Liang HB, et al. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials comparing risk of major adverse cardiac events and bleeding in patients with prasugrel versus clopidogrel. Am J Cardiol. 2015; 116(3): 384-392. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.04.054.

Proprietary Information. Restricted Access – Do not disseminate or copy without approval.
© 2004–2017 Magellan Rx Management. All Rights Reserved.